

JÖRG LACKENBAUER

EQUITY, EFFICIENCY, AND  
PERSPECTIVES FOR COHESION  
POLICY IN THE ENLARGED  
EUROPEAN UNION

**Editor of the Series:**

**Prof. Dr. Heinz-Dieter Wenzel**

Director of BERG

Bamberg University

*public-finance@sowi.uni-bamberg.de*

**Author:**

**Jörg Lackenbauer**

ISBN: 3-931052-59-1

BERG-Verlag, Bamberg

Bibliographic information is published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet  
<http://dnb.ddb.de>.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from BERG-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

BERG-Verlag Bamberg 2006

Our service provider for printing:

Buch bücher dd ag

[www.DD-AG.de](http://www.DD-AG.de)

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

## Vorwort

Die vorliegende Arbeit entstand während meiner Zeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter am Lehrstuhl für VWL, insb. Finanzwissenschaft (Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel) der Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg (2002-2006).

Danken möchte ich insbesondere meinem Erstgutachter Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel für jahrelange Unterstützung und Förderung, die mir auch schon als Student und während der Diplomarbeit zuteil wurde. Sein Angebot, nach meinem Postgraduiertenstudium am Europa-Kolleg Brügge zur Promotion wieder an seinen Lehrstuhl zurückzukehren, habe ich sehr gerne angenommen.

Großer Dank ergeht auch an meinen Zweitgutachter Prof. Dr. Dietmar Meyer von der Technischen Universität Budapest, der mich ebenfalls weitreichend unterstützt hat, insbesondere was meine häufigen Recherchen zur Fallstudie Ungarn vor Ort in Budapest betraf.

Allerdings wäre die Zeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter und Doktorand auch ohne den Teamgeist und die äußerst angenehme Atmosphäre am Lehrstuhl für VWL, insb. Finanzwissenschaft lange nicht so ergiebig und produktiv gewesen. Danken möchte ich daher – neben Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel – insbesondere auch meinen Kollegen Holger Kächelein, Michael Teig und Felix Stübben, die sich zudem um das Korrekturlesen meiner ersten Dissertationsfassung besonders verdient gemacht haben.

Last but not least ergeht – wie schon der Widmung zu entnehmen – herzlicher Dank an meine Verlobte Keri Sohrabi und meine Eltern für jahrelange allergrößte Unterstützung in jeglicher Hinsicht.



# Table of contents

Table of contents.....	III
List of tables .....	IX
List of figures.....	XI
List of maps .....	XIII
List of abbreviations.....	XV
1. Introduction .....	1
2. EU cohesion policy: An overview.....	5
2.1 An introduction to EU cohesion policy.....	5
2.1.1 EU cohesion policy in the EC Treaty .....	5
2.1.2 How can EU regional policy be justified? .....	8
2.1.2.1 Divergence theories and the “cohesion problem”: How the European Commission justifies its regional policy .....	8
2.1.2.2 The economic case for (EU) regional policy .....	12
2.1.2.3 A critical review of the case for EU regional policy: The political economy of EU cohesion policy .....	15
2.1.3 The development of EU cohesion policy (1957-1999) .....	19
2.1.4 EU cohesion policy 2000-2006.....	25
2.1.4.1 The three priority objectives: Objective 1, Objective 2, and Objective 3 .....	26
2.1.4.2 The Community Initiatives, innovative actions and the Cohesion Fund.....	29
2.1.4.3 How are the new Member States taken into account? .....	30
2.1.4.4 The overall financial allocation .....	32
2.1.5 Cohesion policy after 2006: A synopsis of the debate .....	38
2.1.5.1 Debated issues characterized by consensus and agreement .....	40
2.1.5.2 The controversial issues in the debate.....	43
2.1.6 The European Commission’s proposals for EU cohesion policy 2007-2013.....	48
2.1.6.1 Priority 1: Convergence .....	49
2.1.6.2 Priority 2: Regional competitiveness and employment.....	50

2.1.6.3 Priority 3: European territorial cooperation.....	52
2.1.6.4 The financial resources .....	52
2.1.6.5 Further reforms proposed by the European Commission .....	53
2.1.6.6 Assessing the European Commission's reform proposals .....	56
2.1.7 The financial perspective 2007-2013 .....	59
2.1.7.1 The financial perspective 2007-2013: The results of the Brussels European Council .....	59
2.1.7.2 Assessing the financial perspective 2007-2013 with respect to cohesion policy .....	61
2.1.7.3 The European Parliament's objections .....	62
2.2 Is GDP per capita a good indicator for EU cohesion policy?.....	62
2.2.1 How well does GDP per capita measure (regional) economic development?.....	64
2.2.1.1 Problems caused by including indirect taxes, depreciation and subsidies in GDP ..	65
2.2.1.2 Data availability and comparability .....	65
2.2.1.3 Problems caused by interregional differences in purchasing powers.....	66
2.2.1.4 Problems caused by calculating the per capita figures .....	66
2.2.1.5 The omission of non-traded activities, informal activities, and leisure.....	67
2.2.1.6 The omission of income inequality and environmental externalities, the double accounting problem, and the neglect of the future .....	69
2.2.2 The original purpose of GDP and its current <i>raison d'être</i> .....	70
2.2.3 The search for more sophisticated (regional) development indicators and concepts.....	72
2.2.3.1 Revisions and extensions of the GDP (per capita) indicator .....	73
2.2.3.2 Composite (social) indicators .....	75
2.2.3.3 Indicator sets to portray regional problems or regional living conditions .....	78
2.2.3.4 Taking into account subjective well-being and happiness .....	79
2.2.4 GDP per capita as an indicator for regional policy: Some concluding remarks and suggestions for policy.....	80
3. Income disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15: Convergence or not? .....	85
3.1 Disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15 .....	85
3.2 Some catching-up scenarios .....	90

Table of contents	V
3.2.1 Calculations by Brzeski and Colombatto (1999) .....	91
3.2.2 Calculations by Kolodko (2002) .....	93
3.2.3 Calculations by Wagner and Hlouskova (2002) .....	97
3.2.4 Calculations by the European Commission (2004a) .....	102
3.3 A critical look at the convergence hypothesis .....	105
3.3.1 Questioning the convergence hypothesis .....	105
3.3.2 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from theory? .....	110
3.3.2.1 Neoclassical growth theory .....	110
3.3.2.2 Endogenous growth theory .....	111
3.3.2.3 New economic geography .....	114
3.3.3 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from the empirical evidence? .....	116
3.3.3.1 The classical concepts of convergence.....	116
3.3.3.2 The worldwide evidence .....	117
3.3.3.3 The evidence for the OECD economies and the EU.....	120
3.3.3.4 The evidence for the EU's cohesion countries .....	123
3.3.3.5 Can the Irish growth model be replicated in the CEECs?.....	128
3.4 Convergence or not? Some additional and concluding remarks.....	134
4. Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: A case study of Hungary.....	139
4.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition and European integration via trade and FDI.....	139
4.1.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition..	139
4.1.2 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of European integration .....	141
4.1.2.1 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via trade....	142
4.1.2.2 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via FDI.....	144
4.1.3 Evidence for growing regional disparities within the new Member States .....	146
4.2 Growing regional disparities within Hungary: An overview .....	148
4.2.1 Hungary's territorial organization .....	150
4.2.2 Evidence for growing regional disparities within Hungary .....	152

4.2.2.1 Growing regional disparities at all levels of spatial aggregation: The Hoover index .....	152
4.2.2.2 Spatial distribution of income per capita: Some illustrative maps .....	154
4.2.2.3 Regional economic indicators: Growing regional disparities along all dimensions .....	156
4.3 A closer look at Hungary's regions and counties .....	163
4.3.1 Budapest and Central Hungary: Winners of transition and integration .....	166
4.3.2 Another winner of transition and integration: Western Transdanubia – the case study examples of Győr-Moson-Sopron county and the city of Győr .....	168
4.3.3 Northern Hungary, Eastern Hungary, and Southern Hungary: So far the losers of transition and integration.....	173
4.4 A short look at the role of Hungarian regional policy in the 1990s .....	176
4.5 Regional disparities in Hungary: Some additional remarks and an outlook .....	177
5. EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Incompatible goals? .....	183
5.1 EU cohesion policy after 2006: The double challenge.....	183
5.2 The empirical evidence for an equity-efficiency trade-off: Convergence between countries, divergence within countries.....	184
5.3 How can the empirical evidence be explained?.....	188
5.4 Equity and efficiency: are the two main goals of regional structural policy incompatible?193	193
5.5 EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Some concluding remarks198	198
6. EU cohesion policy and the equity-efficiency trade-off: A theoretical perspective.....	203
6.1 Regional policies, equity, and efficiency: Some theoretical underpinnings.....	203
6.1.1 The “uneven development theories” of the 1950s .....	204
6.1.2 Economics of agglomeration and the “growth pole” concept .....	205
6.1.2.1 Economics of agglomeration: Marshallian externalities and local spillovers of information, knowledge, and technology .....	207
6.1.2.2 Economics of agglomeration: Increasing returns to scale.....	210
6.1.2.3 The “growth pole” concept.....	211
6.1.3 The equity-efficiency trade-off and the case for regional policies .....	215
6.2 The Martin model .....	219

6.2.1 The assumptions, variables, and the relationship between growth, regional income disparities and agglomeration .....	220
6.2.2 The effects of different regional policy approaches.....	223
6.2.2.1 The effects of an income transfer to the poor region .....	223
6.2.2.2 The effects of an interregional infrastructure policy.....	224
6.2.2.3 The effects of an intraregional infrastructure policy .....	227
6.2.2.4 The effects of a regional policy fostering innovation and technology spillovers...229	
6.3 The regional policy prescriptions of the Martin model: Good guidelines for the new EU Member States? .....	231
6.3.1 An agglomeration-driven growth pattern and national catching-up as main goal .....	231
6.3.2 The main bottleneck in the CEECs: Infrastructure .....	234
6.3.3 The situation of innovation, R&D and scientific education: The example of Hungary	236
7. Adding dynamics to Martin's equations: The model and the policy implications.....	241
7.1 A dynamic version of Martin's equations.....	241
7.2 The policy implications of the dynamic model version .....	249
7.2.1 A regional policy in two steps: The case for sequencing.....	249
7.2.2 Ireland: Again a role model to follow? .....	257
7.2.3 The case for a cohesion policy based on national wealth.....	258
8. Conclusions .....	263
References .....	273
List of expert interviews.....	295