

## **(De)legitimation strategies in company e-mail replies to customer complaints and their related social media comments by (potential) customers**

Rebecca Van Herck & Sofie Decock (Ghent University)

When a service recovery attempt fails, customers turn to social media to confront the company with their inaptitude to solve the complaint, hoping to elicit reactions from the company and other customers. This interaction between (potential) customers and companies is examined from a legitimacy perspective: Which strategies do they use to (de)legitimize themselves or the other party in private and public CMC communication? And how do these strategies contribute to their interactional dynamics? Based on a move analysis, we performed a discursive legitimation analysis of 150 e-mails (company replies to complaints) and 300 related Facebook comments (Reyes, 2011). Results showed that companies seek legitimacy in e-mail replies to customers by balancing interpersonal (e.g. apology) and transactional (e.g. compensation) legitimation strategies. Customers try to delegitimize companies by attacking their moral legitimacy, the pragmatic legitimacy of the decision, and the customer service agent's communication skills. When responding publicly to these attacks, we notice a shift in the companies' approach: they tend to restrict themselves to interpersonal legitimation strategies and are reluctant to be publicly transparent about the transactional side of complaint handling. This seems to silence the public discussion, but its disadvantage is the lack of public evidence of successful complaint handling.

### References

- Bhatia, V., 1993. *Analyzing genre: Language use in professional settings*. London: Longman.
- Bhatia, V., 2008. Genre analysis, ESP and professional practice. *English for Specific Purposes* 27(2): 161-174.
- Einwiller, S. and Steilen, S., 2015. Handling complaints on social network sites – An analysis of complaints and complaint responses on Facebook and Twitter pages of large US companies. *Public Relations Review* 41: 195-204.
- Reyes, A., 2011. Strategies of legitimization in political discourse: From words to actions. *Discourse & Society* 22(6): 781-807.
- Suchman, M., 1995. Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *The Academy of Management Review* 20(3): 571-610.
- Swales, J. and Najjar, H., 1987. The writing of research article introductions. *Written Communication* 4(2): 175-191.
- Upton, T. and Cohen, M. A., 2009. An approach to corpus-based discourse analysis: The move analysis as example. *Discourse Studies* 11(5): 585-605.
- Vaara, E. and Tienari, J. (2008). A Discursive Perspective on Legitimation Strategies in Multinational Corporations. *The Academy of Management Review* 33(4): 985-993.
- Van Leeuwen, T. (2007). Legitimation in discourse and communication. *Discourse and Communication* 1(1): 91-112.