

JÖRG LACKENBAUER

EQUITY, EFFICIENCY, AND
PERSPECTIVES FOR COHESION
POLICY IN THE ENLARGED
EUROPEAN UNION

Editor of the Series:
Prof. Dr. Heinz-Dieter Wenzel
Director of BERG
Bamberg University
public-finance@sowi.uni-bamberg.de

Author:
Jörg Lackenbauer

ISBN: 3-931052-59-1

BERG-Verlag, Bamberg

Bibliographic information is published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutschen National-
bibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet
<http://dnb.ddb.de>.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from BERG-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

BERG-Verlag Bamberg 2006

Our service provider for printing:
Buch bücher dd ag
www.DD-AG.de

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Vorwort

Die vorliegende Arbeit entstand während meiner Zeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter am Lehrstuhl für VWL, insb. Finanzwissenschaft (Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel) der Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg (2002-2006).

Danken möchte ich insbesondere meinem Erstgutachter Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel für jahrelange Unterstützung und Förderung, die mir auch schon als Student und während der Diplomarbeit zuteil wurde. Sein Angebot, nach meinem Postgraduiertenstudium am Europa-Kolleg Brügge zur Promotion wieder an seinen Lehrstuhl zurückzukehren, habe ich sehr gerne angenommen.

Großer Dank ergeht auch an meinen Zweitgutachter Prof. Dr. Dietmar Meyer von der Technischen Universität Budapest, der mich ebenfalls weitreichend unterstützt hat, insbesondere was meine häufigen Recherchen zur Fallstudie Ungarn vor Ort in Budapest betraf.

Allerdings wäre die Zeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter und Doktorand auch ohne den Teamgeist und die äußerst angenehme Atmosphäre am Lehrstuhl für VWL, insb. Finanzwissenschaft lange nicht so ergiebig und produktiv gewesen. Danken möchte ich daher – neben Prof. Dr. H.-Dieter Wenzel – insbesondere auch meinen Kollegen Holger Kächelein, Michael Teig und Felix Stübgen, die sich zudem um das Korrekturlesen meiner ersten Dissertationsfassung besonders verdient gemacht haben.

Last but not least ergeht – wie schon der Widmung zu entnehmen – herzlicher Dank an meine Verlobte Keri Sohrabi und meine Eltern für jahrelange allergrößte Unterstützung in jeglicher Hinsicht.

Table of contents

Table of contents.....	III
List of tables	IX
List of figures.....	XI
List of maps	XIII
List of abbreviations.....	XV
1. Introduction	1
2. EU cohesion policy: An overview.....	5
2.1 An introduction to EU cohesion policy.....	5
2.1.1 EU cohesion policy in the EC Treaty	5
2.1.2 How can EU regional policy be justified?	8
2.1.2.1 Divergence theories and the “cohesion problem”: How the European Commission justifies its regional policy	8
2.1.2.2 The economic case for (EU) regional policy	12
2.1.2.3 A critical review of the case for EU regional policy: The political economy of EU cohesion policy	15
2.1.3 The development of EU cohesion policy (1957-1999)	19
2.1.4 EU cohesion policy 2000-2006.....	25
2.1.4.1 The three priority objectives: Objective 1, Objective 2, and Objective 3	26
2.1.4.2 The Community Initiatives, innovative actions and the Cohesion Fund.....	29
2.1.4.3 How are the new Member States taken into account?	30
2.1.4.4 The overall financial allocation	32
2.1.5 Cohesion policy after 2006: A synopsis of the debate	38
2.1.5.1 Debated issues characterized by consensus and agreement	40
2.1.5.2 The controversial issues in the debate.....	43
2.1.6 The European Commission’s proposals for EU cohesion policy 2007-2013.....	48
2.1.6.1 Priority 1: Convergence	49
2.1.6.2 Priority 2: Regional competitiveness and employment.....	50

2.1.6.3 Priority 3: European territorial cooperation.....	52
2.1.6.4 The financial resources	52
2.1.6.5 Further reforms proposed by the European Commission	53
2.1.6.6 Assessing the European Commission's reform proposals	56
2.1.7 The financial perspective 2007-2013	59
2.1.7.1 The financial perspective 2007-2013: The results of the Brussels European Council	59
2.1.7.2 Assessing the financial perspective 2007-2013 with respect to cohesion policy	61
2.1.7.3 The European Parliament's objections	62
2.2 Is GDP per capita a good indicator for EU cohesion policy?.....	62
2.2.1 How well does GDP per capita measure (regional) economic development?.....	64
2.2.1.1 Problems caused by including indirect taxes, depreciation and subsidies in GDP	65
2.2.1.2 Data availability and comparability	65
2.2.1.3 Problems caused by interregional differences in purchasing powers.....	66
2.2.1.4 Problems caused by calculating the per capita figures	66
2.2.1.5 The omission of non-traded activities, informal activities, and leisure.....	67
2.2.1.6 The omission of income inequality and environmental externalities, the double accounting problem, and the neglect of the future	69
2.2.2 The original purpose of GDP and its current <i>raison d'être</i>	70
2.2.3 The search for more sophisticated (regional) development indicators and concepts	72
2.2.3.1 Revisions and extensions of the GDP (per capita) indicator	73
2.2.3.2 Composite (social) indicators	75
2.2.3.3 Indicator sets to portray regional problems or regional living conditions	78
2.2.3.4 Taking into account subjective well-being and happiness.....	79
2.2.4 GDP per capita as an indicator for regional policy: Some concluding remarks and suggestions for policy.....	80
3. Income disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15: Convergence or not?	85
3.1 Disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15	85
3.2 Some catching-up scenarios	90

3.2.1 Calculations by Brzeski and Colombatto (1999)	91
3.2.2 Calculations by Kolodko (2002)	93
3.2.3 Calculations by Wagner and Hlouskova (2002)	97
3.2.4 Calculations by the European Commission (2004a)	102
3.3 A critical look at the convergence hypothesis	105
3.3.1 Questioning the convergence hypothesis	105
3.3.2 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from theory?	110
3.3.2.1 Neoclassical growth theory	110
3.3.2.2 Endogenous growth theory	111
3.3.2.3 New economic geography	114
3.3.3 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from the empirical evidence?	116
3.3.3.1 The classical concepts of convergence	116
3.3.3.2 The worldwide evidence	117
3.3.3.3 The evidence for the OECD economies and the EU	120
3.3.3.4 The evidence for the EU's cohesion countries	123
3.3.3.5 Can the Irish growth model be replicated in the CEECs?	128
3.4 Convergence or not? Some additional and concluding remarks	134
4. Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: A case study of Hungary	139
4.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition and European integration via trade and FDI	139
4.1.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition ..	139
4.1.2 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of European integration	141
4.1.2.1 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via trade	142
4.1.2.2 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via FDI	144
4.1.3 Evidence for growing regional disparities within the new Member States	146
4.2 Growing regional disparities within Hungary: An overview	148
4.2.1 Hungary's territorial organization	150
4.2.2 Evidence for growing regional disparities within Hungary	152

4.2.2.1 Growing regional disparities at all levels of spatial aggregation: The Hoover index	152
4.2.2.2 Spatial distribution of income per capita: Some illustrative maps	154
4.2.2.3 Regional economic indicators: Growing regional disparities along all dimensions	156
4.3 A closer look at Hungary's regions and counties	163
4.3.1 Budapest and Central Hungary: Winners of transition and integration	166
4.3.2 Another winner of transition and integration: Western Transdanubia – the case study examples of Győr-Moson-Sopron county and the city of Győr	168
4.3.3 Northern Hungary, Eastern Hungary, and Southern Hungary: So far the losers of transition and integration	173
4.4 A short look at the role of Hungarian regional policy in the 1990s	176
4.5 Regional disparities in Hungary: Some additional remarks and an outlook	177
5. EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Incompatible goals?	183
5.1 EU cohesion policy after 2006: The double challenge	183
5.2 The empirical evidence for an equity-efficiency trade-off: Convergence between countries, divergence within countries	184
5.3 How can the empirical evidence be explained?	188
5.4 Equity and efficiency: are the two main goals of regional structural policy incompatible?	193
5.5 EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Some concluding remarks	198
6. EU cohesion policy and the equity-efficiency trade-off: A theoretical perspective	203
6.1 Regional policies, equity, and efficiency: Some theoretical underpinnings	203
6.1.1 The “uneven development theories” of the 1950s	204
6.1.2 Economics of agglomeration and the “growth pole” concept	205
6.1.2.1 Economics of agglomeration: Marshallian externalities and local spillovers of information, knowledge, and technology	207
6.1.2.2 Economics of agglomeration: Increasing returns to scale	210
6.1.2.3 The “growth pole” concept	211
6.1.3 The equity-efficiency trade-off and the case for regional policies	215
6.2 The Martin model	219

6.2.1 The assumptions, variables, and the relationship between growth, regional income disparities and agglomeration	220
6.2.2 The effects of different regional policy approaches	223
6.2.2.1 The effects of an income transfer to the poor region	223
6.2.2.2 The effects of an interregional infrastructure policy	224
6.2.2.3 The effects of an intraregional infrastructure policy	227
6.2.2.4 The effects of a regional policy fostering innovation and technology spillovers...	229
6.3 The regional policy prescriptions of the Martin model: Good guidelines for the new EU Member States?	231
6.3.1 An agglomeration-driven growth pattern and national catching-up as main goal	231
6.3.2 The main bottleneck in the CEECs: Infrastructure	234
6.3.3 The situation of innovation, R&D and scientific education: The example of Hungary	236
7. Adding dynamics to Martin's equations: The model and the policy implications.....	241
7.1 A dynamic version of Martin's equations.....	241
7.2 The policy implications of the dynamic model version	249
7.2.1 A regional policy in two steps: The case for sequencing	249
7.2.2 Ireland: Again a role model to follow?	257
7.2.3 The case for a cohesion policy based on national wealth.....	258
8. Conclusions	263
References	273
List of expert interviews	295