

Codebook

prepared by:



Introduction

Project background

TRI was established in 2000 to carry out a comparative study of the transformation to democracy in five countries. The countries, which included South Africa, South Korea, (East) Germany, Poland and Chile, were at the time seen as leaders in democratic transformations in their respective geo-political regions. The project was completed in 2004 and its results were disseminated in a book published in 2005 by Barbara Budrich Publishers in Germany and the United States, and in 2006 by van Schaik Publishers in South Africa. The volume, entitled: *Democracy under construction: Patterns from four continents* (ed. Ursula J. van Beek) has received positive comments and reviews from internationally recognized experts in the field.

Project website: www.sun.ac.za/tri

The team

The 14 team members come from all the participating countries and represent the disciplines of political science, sociology, economy, history and theology.

<u>Ursula van Beek</u>: Project coordinator, professor of history, Centre for Knowledge Dynamics, Stellenbosch University

Dirk Berg-Schlosser: Professor of political science, Philipps University Marburg

Yilmaz Esmer: Professor of political science, Bahcesehir University, Istanbul

Sang-Jin Han: Professor of sociology, Seoul National University

<u>Ursula Hoffmann-Lange</u>: Professor of political science, University of Bamberg

Hennie Kotzé: Professor of political science, Stellenbosch University

Hans-Dieter Klingemann: Professor of political science, Social Science Research Center (WZB), Berlin

Bernard Lategan: Professor of Theology, Stellenbosch Institute for Advanced Study (STIAS)

<u>Radoslaw Markowski</u>: Political scientist, Warsaw School of Social Psychology, Warsaw

Philip Mohr: Professor of economy, University of South Africa, Pretoria
Thorleif Pettersson: Professor in the sociology of religion, Uppsala University
Jörn Rüsen : Professor of history, University of Witten-Herdecke, Essen
Pierre du Toit: Professor of political science, University of Stellenbosch
Edmund Wnuk-Lipinski: Professor of sociology, Collegium Civitas, Warsaw

Surveys of elites and voters

The first TRI project focused on the ways in which a new democracy is crafted. Building on the findings and knowledge already gained, the present follow-up project is concerned with the question of democratic consolidation and the quality of democracy achieved after the transition period. In addition to the five countries studied previously, Turkey has been added to include a Muslim case and Sweden, owing to its long-standing high quality of democracy, has been taken as a benchmark case against which to measure all the others.

The project examines democratic value orientations and political attitudes in the seven countries. It draws on survey data for both elites and voters. The questionnaire used in the elite surveys was based mainly on questions developed for the 2005/2006 wave of World Values Survey (WVS). The WVS questions were then supplemented by a number of questions pertaining specifically to the quality of democratic institutions and government performance. The elite surveys were carried out by TRI team members between November 2006 and August 2007.

The survey data are being used to compare both elites and publics across the seven nations as well as the degree of correspondence between elites and voters within each country. The analyses will therefore provide insights into the country-specific patterns of democratic consolidation and will also allow establishing to what degree support for democratic values has taken a hold in different subgroups of the elites and the general public.

Beyond studying similarities and differences between elites and mass publics, the project also aims to uncover the impact of historical and cultural traditions on the conceptions of democracy prevalent in the participating countries. The data will allow determining country-specific as well as universal values that are shared across the range of old and new democracies under study. These findings will help shed light on traditions that might work towards or against improving the quality of democracy in each case.

For a full project description see: The quality of young democracies

Content of the Codebook

In all seven countries, the elite surveys targeted both parliamentarians and media elites. It is obvious that parliamentarians are a crucial elite group serving as a link between voters and political institutions. They represent the spectrum of relevant political parties, thus showing the degree of consensus on basic democratic values and institutions among the parties in each of the countries. This is the group that can most readily be compared across the seven countries.

The structure and political make-up of the media elites is instead much more difficult to compare. At the same time, it is obvious that the media are crucial for the dissemination of politically relevant information and can therefore promote or oppose democratic institutions and values. They therefore contribute to shaping the public's perceptions regarding the performance of democracy as compared to the previous non-democratic regime. Because the value orientations and political attitudes of media elites are more difficult to compare without additional information on the different national media systems, the present codebook provides results only for parliamentary elites. This decision was also made in order to increase the readability of the tables.

The codebook presents the marginals and percentages for all questions included in the elite survey. Percentages are given per country and are based on the total number of valid answers for each question, i.e. omitting missing values (refused, no answer). For questions involving ratings, e.g. degrees of support for certain values or institutions, the tables also provide mean values. Missing values for a country indicate that a question was not asked in that specific country.

CODEBOOK

				-		-		
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q4 Importance in life: family								
valid cases	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Very important	94.4	97.0	87.1	93.9	100.0	87.0	93.1	100.0
(2) Rather important	5.5	3.0	12.9	6.1	-	12.0	6.9	-
(3) Not very important	0.1	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-
(4) Not at all important	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	1.06	1.03	1.13	1.06	1.00	1.14	1.07	1.00
-								
top-2-box	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0
bottom-2-box	0.1	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-

TRI - The Q	uality of Y	oung Der	nocracies:	Values and	d Attitude:	s of Parlia	mentary E	lites
For each of the follo	wing, indica	ate how imp	ortant it is in	your life: Wo	ould you say	/ it is?		
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q5 Importance in life: friends								
valid cases	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3
missing	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
(1) Very important	58.8	47.5	49.5	38.4	52.0	51.0	69.3	89.1
(2) Rather important	39.9	51.5	49.5	57.6	46.0	47.0	30.7	10.9
(3) Not very important	1.3	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	-	-
(4) Not at all important	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	1.43	1.54	1.51	1.66	1.50	1.51	1.31	1.11
top-2-box	98.7	99.0	99.0	96.0	98.0	98.0	100.0	100.0
bottom-2-box	1.3	1.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	-	-

	wing, indica	ate now imp	ortant it is in	your life: Wo	ould you say	/ It IS?		
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q6 Importance in life: leisure time								
valid cases	99.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	0.1	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Very important	23.7	31.3	12.0	13.1	35.0	13.0	24.8	32.4
(2) Rather important	51.0	50.5	45.0	47.5	49.0	56.0	64.4	46.6
(3) Not very important	23.8	17.2	42.0	36.4	15.0	31.0	10.9	17.6
(4) Not at all important	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	-	-	3.4
Mean	2.03	1.88	2.32	2.29	1.82	2.18	1.86	1.92
L								
top-2-box	74.7	81.8	57.0	60.6	84.0	69.0	89.1	79.1
bottom-2-box	25.3	18.2	43.0	39.4	16.0	31.0	10.9	20.9

For each of the follo	wing, indica	te how imp	ortant it is in	your life: Wo	ould you say	/ it is?		
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q7 Importance in life: politics								
valid cases	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3
missing	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
_								
Very important	69.1	52.5	64.4	34.3	83.0	84.0	74.3	83.7
(2) Rather important	28.8	44.4	35.6	57.6	16.0	16.0	25.7	13.6
(3) Not very important	2.0	3.0	-	8.1	1.0	-	-	2.0
(4) Not at all important	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
Mean	1.33	1.51	1.36	1.74	1.18	1.16	1.26	1.20
L								
top-2-box	97.9	97.0	100.0	91.9	99.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
bottom-2-box	2.1	3.0	-	8.1	1.0	-	-	2.7

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q8 Importance in life: work								
valid cases	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Very important	66.6	65.7	58.4	60.6	83.0	63.0	66.3	68.2
(2) Rather important	30.2	34.3	41.6	37.4	17.0	34.0	32.7	19.6
(3) Not very important	2.1	-	-	2.0	-	3.0	1.0	6.8
(4) Not at all important	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.4
Mean	1.38	1.34	1.42	1.41	1.17	1.40	1.35	1.49
L								
top-2-box	96.8	100.0	100.0	98.0	100.0	97.0	99.0	87.8
bottom-2-box	3.2	-	-	2.0	-	3.0	1.0	12.2

	•	•		your life: Wo				
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q9 Importance in life: religion								
valid cases	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	0.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
(1) Very important	38.8	35.4	16.8	51.5	51.5	23.0	8.9	70.3
(2) Rather important	29.7	34.3	34.7	32.3	27.3	44.0	21.8	18.9
(3) Not very important	20.9	23.2	33.7	11.1	10.1	28.0	41.6	5.4
(4) Not at all important	10.6	7.1	14.9	5.1	11.1	5.0	27.7	5.4
Mean	2.03	2.02	2.47	1.70	1.81	2.15	2.88	1.46
L								
top-2-box	68.5	69.7	51.5	83.8	78.8	67.0	30.7	89.2
bottom-2-box	31.5	30.3	48.5	16.2	21.2	33.0	69.3	10.8

Taking all things to	gether: woul	d you say y	ou are?					
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q10 Extent of happiness								
valid cases	86.0	100.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	-	99.0	99.3
missing	14.0	-	2.0	1.0	-	100.0	1.0	0.7
(1) Very happy	45.7	43.4	33.3	36.7	55.0	-	52.0	51.0
(2) Rather happy	50.9	53.5	64.6	63.3	42.0	-	47.0	40.1
(3) Not very happy	2.3	2.0	2.0	-	3.0	-	1.0	4.8
(4) Not at all happy	1.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	4.1
Mean	1.59	1.61	1.69	1.63	1.48	-	1.49	1.62
top-2-box	96.6	97.0	98.0	100.0	97.0	-	99.0	91.2
bottom-2-box	3.4	3.0	2.0	-	3.0	-	1.0	8.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q11 Qualities: Independence								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	61.4	46.5	90.1	43.4	58.0	-	80.2	53.4
Not mentioned	38.6	53.5	9.9	56.6	42.0	-	19.8	46.6

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q12 Qualities: Hard work								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	50.5	32.3	17.8	77.8	70.0	-	9.9	81.1
Not mentioned	49.5	67.7	82.2	22.2	30.0	-	90.1	18.9

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q13 Qualities: Feeling of responsibility								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	90.7	92.9	96.0	94.9	79.0	-	91.1	90.5
Not mentioned	9.3	7.1	4.0	5.1	21.0	-	8.9	9.5

lint of بادا دا ما ما Which if -1

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q14 Qualities: Imagination								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	40.9	47.5	60.4	32.3	26.0	-	63.4	23.6
Not mentioned	59.1	52.5	39.6	67.7	74.0	-	36.6	76.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q15 Qualities: Tolerance and respect for other people								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	86.7	84.8	91.1	68.7	91.0	-	99.0	85.8
Not mentioned	13.3	15.2	8.9	31.3	9.0	-	1.0	14.2

Here is a list of qualities that children can be operatinged to learn at home. Which if any do you

Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important? Please choose up to five!

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q16 Qualities: Thrift, saving money and things								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	14.4	8.1	6.9	17.2	12.0	-	18.8	20.3
Not mentioned	85.6	91.9	93.1	82.8	88.0	-	81.2	79.7
		_						

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q17 Qualities: Determination, perseverance								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	59.6	63.6	78.2	49.5	60.0	-	47.5	58.8
Not mentioned	40.4	36.4	21.8	50.5	40.0	-	52.5	41.2

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q18 Qualities: Religious faith								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	32.1	37.4	17.8	51.5	42.0	-	4.0	37.8
Not mentioned	67.9	62.6	82.2	48.5		-	96.0	62.2

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q19 Qualities: Unselfishness								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	37.0	64.6	5.0	40.4	31.0	-	44.6	37.2
Not mentioned	63.0	35.4	95.0	59.6	69.0	-	55.4	62.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q20 Qualities: Obedience								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	12.3	16.2	1.0	13.1	23.0	-	-	18.2
Not mentioned	87.7	83.8	99.0	86.9	77.0	-	100.0	81.8

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q21 Satisfaction with life								
valid cases	94.9	100.0	98.0	66.7	99.0	100.0	99.0	99.3
missing	5.1	-	2.0	33.3	1.0	-	1.0	0.7
(1) Completely dissatisfied	0.6	1.0	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	0.7
2	0.3	1.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	-
3	0.8	-	1.0	-	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.7
4	2.0	-	-	1.5	-	4.0	3.0	4.1
5	5.4	4.0	1.0	4.5	7.1	5.0	2.0	10.9
6	5.8	3.0	2.0	1.5	9.1	15.0	1.0	6.8
7	17.5	11.1	21.2	16.7	22.2	20.0	15.0	16.3
3	29.2	31.3	40.4	-	27.3	28.0	42.0	26.5
9	22.8	33.3	25.3	53.0	7.1	21.0	25.0	10.9
(10) Completely satisfied	15.8	15.2	9.1	22.7	25.3	3.0	11.0	23.1
Mean	7.92	8.21	8.10	8.59	7.84	7.23	8.07	7.70
op-5-box	9.0	6.1	2.0	6.1	9.1	13.0	6.0	16.3
bottom-5-box	91.0	93.9	98.0	93.9	90.9	87.0	94.0	83.7

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q22 Trusting most people vs. careful in dealing with								
valid cases	98.1	99.0	95.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	95.9
missing	1.9	1.0	5.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	4.1
(1) Most people can be trusted	56.3	64.3	76.0	62.2	20.2	62.0	87.1	32.4
(2) Need to be very careful	43.7	35.7	24.0	37.8	79.8	38.0	12.9	67.6

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you need to be very careful in dealing with people?

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q23 Don't like to have as neighbors: People of a different race								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	2.9	-	1.0	11.1	3.0	-	1.0	2.0
Mentioned		11	99.0	88.9	97.0		99.0	98.0

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q24 Don't like to have as neighbors: People who have AIDS								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	17.7	6.1	4.0	25.3	-	-	1.0	53.4
Not mentioned	82.3	93.9	96.0	74.7	100.0	-	99.0	46.6

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q25 Don't like to have as neighbors: Immigrants/ foreign workers								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
		J						
					10.0	_	-	11.5
Mentioned	6.8	-	1.0	14.1	12.0	-		11.5

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q26 Don't like to have as neighbors: People of a different religion								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
missing								
moong								
Mentioned	2.6	1.0	-	10.1	3.0	-	-	2.0

What proportion of the population do you think would not want a person of a different religion as a neighbour?

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q27 Would not want person of different religion as neighbor: estimated proportion of the population								
valid cases	81.0	96.0	89.1	86.9	90.0	-	97.0	99.3
missing	19.0	4.0	10.9	13.1	10.0	100.0	3.0	0.7
Mean	26.06	12.83	30.97	28.76	16.27	-	14.65	43.63
BACES								

	Country of interview									
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q28 Would not want person of different religion as neighbor: estimated proportion of voters/ supporters of own party										
valid cases	79.1	96.0	85.1	86.9	92.0	-	86.1	98.6		
	20.9	4.0	14.9	13.1	8.0	100.0	13.9	1.4		
missing										

What proportion of the population do you think would not want a person of a different religion as a neighbour?

Some people feel they have completely free choice and control over their lives, while other people feel that what they do has no real effect on what happens to them. Please use this scale where 1 means 'none at all' and 10 means 'a great deal' to indicate how much freedom of choice and control you feel you have over the way your life turns out.

			Country of interview					
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q29 No freedom of choice vs. great deal of control over own life								
valid cases	95.5	100.0	100.0	67.7	100.0	100.0	99.0	99.3
missing	4.5	-	-	32.3	-	-	1.0	0.7
(1) None at all	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0
2	0.6	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	1.4
3	0.8	2.0	1.0	-	1.0	-	-	1.4
4	1.4	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	-	2.0	1.4
5	5.5	3.0	5.9	6.0	8.0	4.0	2.0	8.2
6	11.5	7.1	19.8	17.9	4.0	12.0	7.0	13.6
7	21.3	21.2	28.7	35.8	14.0	16.0	20.0	19.0
8	31.0	36.4	30.7	-	29.0	34.0	42.0	33.3
9	16.9	20.2	9.9	31.3	15.0	25.0	20.0	6.8
(10) A great deal	10.6	9.1	2.0	7.5	27.0	7.0	7.0	12.9
Mean	7.61	7.80	7.15	7.51	8.10	7.75	7.86	7.27
top-5-box	8.7	6.1	8.9	7.5	11.0	6.0	4.0	14.3
bottom-5-box	91.3	93.9	91.1	92.5	89.0	94.0	96.0	85.7

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair? Please show your response on this card, where 1 means that 'people would try to take advantage of you,' and 10 means that 'people would try to be fair'.

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q30 People taking advantage of you if they got a chance vs. people try to be fair								
valid cases	95.6	99.0	100.0	71.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	4.4	1.0	-	28.3	-	-	-	2.7
(1) People would try to take advantage of	2.1	-	-	-	7.0	1.0	-	4.9
you 2	2.5	3.1	-	1.4	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.5
3	6.3	9.2	3.0	5.6	4.0	13.0	2.0	6.9
4	8.1	13.3	5.0	7.0	4.0	15.0	-	11.1
5	17.1	21.4	12.9	25.4	24.0	16.0	3.0	18.8
6	19.3	22.4	22.8	22.5	20.0	19.0	8.9	20.1
7	21.3	13.3	35.6	25.4	15.0	20.0	21.8	19.4
8	13.4	9.2	17.8	-	9.0	8.0	39.6	8.3
9	6.0	4.1	3.0	9.9	5.0	4.0	16.8	2.1
(10) People would try to be fair	3.9	4.1	-	2.8	8.0	1.0	5.9	4.9
Mean	6.09	5.72	6.49	6.04	5.85	5.50	7.58	5.62
top-5-box	36.1	46.9	20.8	39.4	43.0	48.0	6.9	45.1
bottom-5-box	63.9	53.1	79.2	60.6	57.0	52.0	93.1	54.9

People sometimes talk about what the aims of this country should be for the next ten years. On this card are listed some of the goals which different people would give top priority. Would you please say which one of these you, yourself, consider the most important?

			Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q31 Country's most important aim - first choice									
valid cases	84.6	98.0	92.1	97.0	100.0	-	99.0	99.3	
missing	15.4	2.0	7.9	3.0	-	100.0	1.0	0.7	
Maintaining order in the nation	29.9	19.6	17.2	41.7	35.0	-	12.0	45.6	
Giving people more say in important government decisions	38.9	66.0	36.6	42.7	40.0	-	51.0	10.9	
Fighting rising prices	3.5	2.1	4.3	6.3	6.0	-	-	2.7	
Protecting freedom of speech	27.8	12.4	41.9	9.4	19.0	-	37.0	40.8	
BACES									

And which would be	the next m	ost importa	nt?					
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q32 Country's most important aim - second choice								
valid cases	83.8	98.0	88.1	96.0	100.0	-	99.0	98.6
missing	16.2	2.0	11.9	4.0	-	100.0	1.0	1.4
Maintaining order in the nation	22.8	20.6	14.6	16.8	32.0	-	26.0	24.7
Giving people more say in important government decisions	27.0	27.8	31.5	28.4	24.0	-	28.0	24.0
Fighting rising prices	10.2	5.2	9.0	13.7	15.0	-	5.0	12.3
Protecting freedom of speech	40.0	46.4	44.9	41.1	29.0	-	41.0	39.0

				Country of	interview		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	Sweden	Turkey
N	633	97	93	96	100	100	147
ALL_IMP Index materialism- postmaterialism (based on Q31 and Q32)							
valid cases	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(1) Materialist	5.4	1.0	4.3	7.3	6.0	-	10.9
(2) Materialist- Postmaterialist	28.1	20.6	17.2	40.6	35.0	12.0	38.1
(3) Postmaterialist- Materialist	28.0	24.7	20.4	24.0	41.0	31.0	26.5
(4) Postmaterialist	38.5	53.6	58.1	28.1	18.0	57.0	24.5
Mean	3.00	3.31	3.32	2.73	2.71	3.45	2.65
top-2-box	33.5	21.6	21.5	47.9	41.0	12.0	49.0
bottom-2-box	66.5	78.4	78.5	52.1	59.0	88.0	51.0

I'm going to read out a list of various changes in our way of life that might take place in the near future. Please tell me for each one, if it were to happen, whether you think it would be a good thing, a bad thing, or don't you mind?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q33 Changes: Less importance placed on work in our lives								
valid cases	98.1	100.0	95.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	98.0	98.0
missing	1.9	-	5.0	4.0	-	-	2.0	2.0
(1) Good	24.5	44.4	25.0	22.1	13.0	23.0	32.3	15.9
(2) Don't mind	9.7	6.1	11.5	9.5	8.0	23.0 8.0	1.0	19.3
(3) Bad	65.8	49.5	63.5	68.4	79.0	69.0	66.7	64.8
Mean	2.41	2.05	2.39	2.46	2.66	2.46	2.34	2.49
Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
---	-------	-------	---------	--------	-----------------	----------------	--------	--------
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q34 Changes: More emphasis on the development of technology								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.6
missing	0.8	-	2.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	1.4
(1) Good	83.0	76.8	87.9	87.8	96.0	78.0	61.0	90.4
(2) Don't mind	10.6	14.1	8.1	8.2	4.0	10.0	27.0	5.5
(3) Bad	6.3	9.1	4.0	4.1	-	12.0	12.0	4.1
Mean	1.23	1.32	1.16	1.16	1.04	1.34	1.51	1.14

I'm going to read out a list of various changes in our way of life that might take place in the near future

I'm going to read out a list of various changes in our way of life that might take place in the near future. Please tell me for each one, if it were to happen, whether you think it would be a good thing, a bad thing, or don't you mind?

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q35 Changes: Greater respect for authority								
valid cases	97.6	99.0	96.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	93.9
missing	2.4	1.0	4.0	3.0	-	-	1.0	6.1
(1) Good	52.7	78.6	28.9	71.9	80.0	58.0	14.0	42.4
(2) Don't mind	24.1	21.4	25.8	24.0	18.0	23.0	13.0	38.1
(3) Bad	23.2	-	45.4	4.2	2.0	19.0	73.0	19.4
Mean	1.70	1.21	2.16	1.32	1.22	1.61	2.59	1.77

Now I'd like you to look at this card. I'm going to read out some forms of political action that people can take, and I'd like you to tell me, for each one, whether you have done any of these things, whether you might do it or would never under any circumstances do it.

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q36 Political action: Signing a petition									
valid cases	97.5	92.9	98.0	99.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	94.6	
missing	2.5	7.1	2.0	1.0	-	1.0	-	5.4	
(1) Have done	79.8	83.7	98.0	89.8	81.0	84.8	94.1	42.9	
(2) Might do	16.6	12.0	2.0	9.2	15.0	15.2	5.0	45.7	
(3) Would never do	3.6	4.3	-	1.0	4.0	-	1.0	11.4	
Mean	1.24	1.21	1.02	1.11	1.23	1.15	1.07	1.69	

Now I'd like you to look at this card. I'm going to read out some forms of political action that people can take, and I'd like you to tell me, for each one, whether you have done any of these things, whether you might do it or would never under any circumstances do it.

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q37 Political action: Joining in boycotts								
valid cases	97.2	98.0	96.0	97.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	93.9
missing	2.8	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	-	6.1
(1) Have done	45.4	19.6	56.7	45.8	65.7	34.7	56.4	40.3
(2) Might do	45.4 34.4	27.8	29.9	45.6 36.5	16.2	63.3	31.7	40.3 35.3
(3) Would never do	20.2	52.6	13.4	17.7	18.2	2.0	11.9	24.5
Mean	1.75	2.33	1.57	1.72	1.53	1.67	1.55	1.84

Now I'd like you to I ake, and I'd like you night do it or would	u to tell me,	for each one	e, whether yo	u have done				
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q38 Political action: Attending peaceful demonstrations								
valid cases	98.4	99.0	98.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.3
missing	1.6	1.0	2.0	2.0	-	-	-	4.7
(1) Have done	74.0	79.6	96.0	80.4	80.0	65.0	80.2	48.2
(2) Might do	23.1	17.3	3.0	17.5	19.0	34.0	19.8	42.6
(3) Would never do	2.9	3.1	1.0	2.1	1.0	1.0	-	9.2
Mean	1.29	1.23	1.05	1.22	1.21	1.36	1.20	1.61

TOL THE Asselles of Versea Demon

In political matters, people talk of 'the left' and 'the right.' How would you place your views on this scale, generally speaking?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q39 Left-right scale: views of respondent								
valid cases	95.3	100.0	95.0	79.8	98.0	99.0	99.0	95.9
missing	4.7	-	5.0	20.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	4.1
(1) Left	4.5	3.0	1.0	1.3	15.3	4.0	2.0	4.2
2	7.7	10.1	11.5	8.9	5.1	8.1	7.0	4.9
3	15.8	14.1	21.9	6.3	14.3	13.1	22.0	16.9
4	12.9	20.2	14.6	8.9	21.4	10.1	14.0	4.2
5	17.1	8.1	16.7	12.7	23.5	21.2	13.0	21.8
6	14.3	19.2	18.8	12.7	7.1	22.2	8.0	12.7
7	11.2	9.1	9.4	24.1	3.1	10.1	13.0	12.0
8	7.7	6.1	6.3	-	6.1	6.1	17.0	9.9
9	5.5	9.1	-	19.0	1.0	5.1	3.0	4.2
(10) Right	3.2	1.0	-	6.3	3.1	-	1.0	9.2
Mean	5.12	5.06	4.59	6.15	4.24	5.04	5.15	5.59
top-5-box	58.1	55.6	65.6	38.0	79.6	56.6	58.0	52.1
bottom-5-box	41.9	44.4	34.4	62.0	20.4	43.4	42.0	47.9

And where would yo	ou place you	r party's po	sition?											
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew								
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey						
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148						
Q40 Left-right scale: position of respondent's party														
valid cases	94.3	100.0	91.1	74.7	98.0	99.0	99.0	96.6						
missing	5.7	-	8.9	25.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.4						
(1) Left	2.6	1.0	1.1	-	10.2	3.0	1.0	1.4						
2	5.1	6.1	7.6	5.4	6.1	4.0	3.0	4.2						
3	13.5	11.1	16.3	16.2	11.2	8.1	17.0	14.7						
4	15.3	23.2	20.7	5.4	16.3	15.2	24.0	4.9						
5	17.0	11.1	12.0	14.9	29.6	16.2	9.0	23.1						
6	16.0	18.2	25.0	13.5	15.3	15.2	8.0	16.8						
7	15.5	13.1	9.8	32.4	6.1	19.2	19.0	13.3						
8	9.9	10.1	7.6	-	3.1	15.2	14.0	14.7						
9	3.3	5.1	-	10.8	-	4.0	5.0	0.7						
(10) Right	1.8	1.0	-	1.4	2.0	-	-	6.3						
Mean	5.31	5.32	4.87	5.74	4.49	5.60	5.37	5.69						
top-5-box bottom-5-box	53.5 46.5	52.5 47.5	57.6 42.4	41.9 58.1	73.5 26.5	46.5 53.5	54.0 46.0	48.3 51.7						

And your party's v								
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q41 Left-right scale: party's voters' position								
valid cases	92.9	99.0	90.1	70.7	97.0	99.0	98.0	95.3
missing	7.1	1.0	9.9	29.3	3.0	1.0	2.0	4.7
(1) Left	1.0	-	1.1	-	5.2	1.0	-	-
2	4.0	2.0	5.5	10.0	4.1	4.0	6.1	-
3	13.2	11.2	14.3	8.6	14.4	10.1	22.2	11.3
4	16.0	24.5	20.9	11.4	12.4	13.1	18.2	12.1
5	18.0	17.3	14.3	15.7	28.9	18.2	11.1	19.1
6	16.5	19.4	18.7	15.7	17.5	24.2	6.1	14.9
7	19.1	16.3	18.7	31.4	10.3	17.2	18.2	23.4
8	7.9	5.1	5.5	-	3.1	10.1	16.2	11.3
9	2.9	4.1	1.1	7.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.5
(10) Right	1.3	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	4.3
Mean	5.38	5.31	5.07	5.49	4.93	5.47	5.18	5.96
top-5-box	52.2	55.1	56.0	45.7	64.9	46.5	57.6	42.6
bottom-5-box	47.8	44.9	44.0	54.3	35.1	53.5	42.4	57.4

Г

And where would yo	ou place the	position of	the [country]	population	as a whole?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q42 Left-right scale: position of population as a whole								
valid cases	97.2	98.0	94.1	93.9	98.0	99.0	99.0	98.0
missing	2.8	2.0	5.9	6.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
(1) off	0.1	1.0	-	-	_	-	_	
(1) Left 2	0.1	1.0	-	- 1.1	- 3.1	- 1.0	-	-
3	3.2	2.1	- 4.2	5.4	8.2	1.0	2.0	- 1.4
4	12.5	17.5	16.8	8.6	19.4	3.0	21.0	4.8
5	30.3	56.7	37.9	31.2	29.6	22.2	31.0	12.4
6	32.9	19.6	33.7	35.5	23.5	53.5	35.0	30.3
7	15.4	2.1	7.4	18.3	8.2	16.2	11.0	35.2
8	3.6	-	-	-	3.1	2.0	-	14.5
9	0.8	1.0	-	-	2.0	2.0	-	0.7
(10) Right	0.6	-	-	-	3.1	-	-	0.7
Mean	5.59	5.02	5.23	5.49	5.28	5.94	5.32	6.43
top-5-box	46.8	77.3	58.9	46.2	60.2	26.3	54.0	18.6
bottom-5-box	53.2	22.7	41.1	53.8	39.8	73.7	46.0	81.4

Now I'd like you to tell me your views on various issues. How would you place your views on this scale? 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 10 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q43 Income equality vs. income differences as incentives for individual effort								
valid cases	95.7	97.0	98.0	75.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0
missing	4.3	3.0	2.0	24.2	-	-	-	2.0
(1) Incomes should be made more equal	16.2	35.4	21.2	6.7	19.0	5.0	9.9	15.2
2	6.6	11.5	8.1	4.0	2.0	3.0	10.9	6.2
3	10.6	8.3	16.2	13.3	9.0	12.0	14.9	4.1
4	11.5	14.6	14.1	13.3	14.0	5.0	12.9	8.3
5	13.8	7.3	7.1	21.3	15.0	14.0	11.9	19.3
6	10.2	12.5	9.1	12.0	7.0	16.0	5.9	9.7
7	14.1	7.3	9.1	16.0	12.0	26.0	8.9	17.9
8	9.1	-	14.1	-	6.0	14.0	13.9	11.7
9	2.8	1.0	-	5.3	4.0	2.0	7.9	0.7
(10) We need larger income differences as incentives	5.2	2.1	1.0	8.0	12.0	3.0	3.0	6.9
Mean	4.85	3.34	4.19	5.27	5.11	5.75	4.97	5.22
-								
top-5-box	58.7	77.1	66.7	58.7	59.0	39.0	60.4	53.1
bottom-5-box	41.3	22.9	33.3	41.3	41.0	61.0	39.6	46.9

Now I'd like you to tell me your views on various issues. How would you place your views on this scale? 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 10 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q44 Private vs. government ownership of business and industry								
valid cases	99.2	98.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
missing	0.8	2.0	1.0	3.0	-	-	-	-
(1) Private ownership should be increased	20.2	14.4	15.0	12.5	28.0	6.0	20.8	36.5
2	11.5	9.3	12.0	20.8	11.0	4.0	7.9	14.2
3	14.4	8.2	14.0	22.9	10.0	19.0	11.9	14.9
4	11.9	12.4	10.0	14.6	7.0	19.0	5.9	13.5
5	16.8	22.7	18.0	15.6	11.0	22.0	20.8	10.8
6	8.9	9.3	7.0	7.3	8.0	14.0	14.9	4.1
7	5.7	9.3	4.0	3.1	9.0	6.0	5.9	3.4
8	4.0	7.2	6.0	-	3.0	5.0	5.9	2.0
9	2.8	4.1	7.0	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.0	-
(10) Government ownership should be increased	3.8	3.1	7.0	1.0	11.0	2.0	3.0	0.7
Mean	4.07	4.67	4.62	3.54	4.26	4.70	4.35	2.89
top-5-box	74.8	67.0	69.0	86.5	67.0	70.0	67.3	89.9
bottom-5-box	25.2	33.0	31.0	13.5	33.0	30.0	32.7	10.1

Now I'd like you to tell me your views on various issues. How would you place your views on this scale? 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 10 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q45 Private vs. government responsibility for provision								
valid cases	97.2	100.0	100.0	81.8	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.6
missing	2.8	-	-	18.2	-	-	1.0	1.4
(1) Government should take more responsibility	7.7	8.1	2.0	3.7	11.0	14.0	1.0	11.6
2	8.7	16.2	9.9	11.1	2.0	11.0	7.0	5.5
3	10.2	12.1	11.9	12.3	6.0	19.0	5.0	6.8
4	12.2	14.1	6.9	16.0	7.0	23.0	16.0	6.2
5	19.1	18.2	9.9	23.5	27.0	20.0	13.0	21.9
6	10.7	11.1	10.9	11.1	13.0	6.0	16.0	8.2
7	9.8	8.1	9.9	16.0	10.0	2.0	13.0	10.3
8	10.3	6.1	16.8	-	7.0	3.0	15.0	18.5
9	4.5	1.0	10.9	3.7	4.0	-	9.0	3.4
(10) People should take more responsibility	6.7	5.1	10.9	2.5	13.0	2.0	5.0	7.5
Mean	5.24	4.56	6.11	4.81	5.66	3.79	5.97	5.53
top-5-box	57.9	68.7	40.6	66.7	53.0	87.0	42.0	52.1
bottom-5-box	42.1	31.3	59.4	33.3	47.0	13.0	58.0	47.9

Now I'd like you to tell me your views on various issues. How would you place your views on this scale? 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 10 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q46 Positive vs. negative competition effects								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	98.0	98.6
missing	0.8	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	2.0	1.4
(1) Competition stimulates to work hard and develop ideas	27.5	21.2	18.0	28.6	38.0	15.0	16.2	46.6
2	18.9	18.2	22.0	30.6	17.0	14.0	20.2	13.0
3	19.7	15.2	24.0	22.4	13.0	29.0	13.1	20.5
4	10.5	15.2	15.0	9.2	7.0	15.0	6.1	7.5
5	13.2	18.2	17.0	6.1	12.0	19.0	14.1	8.2
6	4.4	7.1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	11.1	0.7
7	3.4	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	11.1	1.4
8	1.3	1.0	2.0	-	1.0	-	6.1	-
9	0.4	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0	0.7
(10) Competition brings out the worst in people	0.7	1.0	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.4
Mean	3.04	3.38	3.08	2.45	2.88	3.35	4.03	2.39
top-5-box	89.8	87.9	96.0	96.9	87.0	92.0	69.7	95.9
bottom-5-box	10.2	12.1	4.0	3.1	13.0	8.0	30.3	4.1

page 44

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q47 Trust in people from various groups: family									
valid cases	99.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6	
missing	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	
 (1) Trust completely (2) Trust somewhat 	92.1 7.6	97.0 3.0	83.2 16.8	89.9 9.1	86.0 14.0	95.0 5.0	95.0 4.0	96.6 3.4	
(3) Do not trust very much	0.1	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	
(4) Do not trust at all	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	
Mean	1.08	1.03	1.17	1.11	1.14	1.05	1.07	1.03	
top-2-box	99.7	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	
bottom-2-box	0.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	1.0	-	

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q48 Trust in people from various groups: neighborhood									
valid cases	98.3	100.0	98.0	97.0	99.0	100.0	97.0	97.3	
missing	1.7	-	2.0	3.0	1.0	-	3.0	2.7	
 (1) Trust completely (2) Trust somewhat 	22.4 69.0	25.3 62.6	6.1 81.8	2.1 78.1	18.2 70.7	22.0 74.0	43.9 53.1	34.0 64.6	
(3) Do not trust very much	8.2	12.1	11.1	19.8	9.1	4.0	3.1	1.4	
(4) Do not trust at all	0.4	-	1.0	-	2.0	-	-	-	
Mean	1.87	1.87	2.07	2.18	1.95	1.82	1.59	1.67	
top-2-box	91.4	87.9	87.9	80.2	88.9	96.0	96.9	98.6	
bottom-2-box	8.6	12.1	12.1	19.8	11.1	4.0	3.1	1.4	

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q49 Trust in people from various groups: people respondent knows personally								
valid cases	97.7	96.0	95.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	99.0	97.3
missing	2.3	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	-	1.0	2.7
(1) Trust completely	25.4	35.8	19.8	6.1	37.8	20.0	36.0	23.6
(2) Trust somewhat	70.5	62.1	80.2	83.7	50.0	80.0	63.0	72.9
(3) Do not trust very much	3.7	2.1	-	9.2	12.2	-	-	2.8
(4) Do not trust at all	0.4	-	-	1.0	-	-	1.0	0.7
Mean	1.79	1.66	1.80	2.05	1.74	1.80	1.66	1.81
L								
top-2-box	95.9	97.9	100.0	89.8	87.8	100.0	99.0	96.5
bottom-2-box	4.1	2.1	-	10.2	12.2	-	1.0	3.5

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q50 Trust in people from various groups: people respondent meets for the first time								
valid cases	97.3	98.0	93.1	94.9	98.0	99.0	99.0	98.6
missing	2.7	2.0	6.9	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.4
(1) Trust completely	2.9	3.1	1.1	1.1	3.1	-	13.0	-
(2) Trust somewhat	51.5	47.4	56.4	35.1	48.0	46.5	80.0	47.9
(3) Do not trust very much	40.9	45.4	39.4	56.4	37.8	52.5	7.0	46.6
(4) Do not trust at all	4.7	4.1	3.2	7.4	11.2	1.0	-	5.5
Mean	2.47	2.51	2.45	2.70	2.57	2.55	1.94	2.58
L								
top-2-box	54.4	50.5	57.4	36.2	51.0	46.5	93.0	47.9
bottom-2-box	45.6	49.5	42.6	63.8	49.0	53.5	7.0	52.1

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q51 Trust in people from various groups: people of another religion								
valid cases	76.9	90.9	76.2	87.9	93.0	-	91.1	91.9
missing	23.1	9.1	23.8	12.1	7.0	100.0	8.9	8.1
(1) Trust completely	13.9	23.3	2.6	6.9	20.4	-	26.1	5.9
(2) Trust somewhat	74.4	58.9	85.7	78.2	61.3	-	72.8	86.0
(3) Do not trust very much	11.0	16.7	10.4	13.8	17.2	-	1.1	8.1
(4) Do not trust at all	0.7	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.1	-	-	-
Mean	1.98	1.96	2.10	2.09	1.99	-	1.75	2.02
top-2-box	88.3	82.2	88.3	85.1	81.7	-	98.9	91.9
bottom-2-box	11.7	17.8	11.7	14.9	18.3	-	1.1	8.1

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q52 Trust in people from various groups: people of another nationality										
valid cases	90.9	92.9	78.2	87.9	93.0	98.0	92.1	93.2		
missing	9.1	7.1	21.8	12.1	7.0	2.0	7.9	6.8		
	10.1	00.0	0.0	0.0	10.4		05.0			
(1) Trust completely	12.1	22.8	3.8	9.2	19.4	-	25.8	5.8		
(2) Trust somewhat	70.4	59.8	84.8	69.0	64.5	52.0	73.1	85.5		
(3) Do not trust very much	16.5	16.3	10.1	20.7	15.1	45.9	1.1	8.0		
(4) Do not trust at all	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.1	2.0	-	0.7		
Mean	2.06	1.96	2.09	2.14	1.98	2.50	1.75	2.04		
L										
top-2-box	82.5	82.6	88.6	78.2	83.9	52.0	98.9	91.3		
bottom-2-box	17.5	17.4	11.4	21.8	16.1	48.0	1.1	8.7		

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 94.6 5.4 19.3 66.4 7.1 7.1
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q53 Confidence in organizations: church(es)								
valid cases	97.9	99.0	97.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	99.0	94.6
missing	2.1	1.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	-	1.0	5.4
 A great deal Quite a lot 	23.2 52.9	46.9 41.8	12.2 60.2	39.8 38.8	27.6 38.8	14.0 64.0	5.0 54.0	
(3) Not very much	20.8	8.2	25.5	17.3	31.6	22.0	39.0	
(4) None at all	3.1	3.1	2.0	4.1	2.0		2.0	
Mean	2.04	1.67	2.17	1.86	2.08	2.08	2.38	2.02
top-2-box	76.1	88.8	72.4	78.6	66.3	78.0	59.0	85.7
bottom-2-box	23.9	11.2	27.6	21.4	33.7	22.0	41.0	14.3

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q54 Confidence in organizations: armed forces								
valid cases	98.1	99.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	95.9
missing	1.9	1.0	5.9	-	1.0	-	-	4.1
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	21.9 52.5	35.7 43.9	4.2 66.3	23.2 53.5	9.1 36.4	13.0 65.0	10.9 53.5	46.5 50.0
(3) Not very much	22.8	17.3	24.2	19.2	50.5	21.0	31.7	3.5
(4) None at all	2.9	3.1	5.3	4.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	-
Mean	2.07	1.88	2.31	2.04	2.49	2.10	2.29	1.57
top-2-box	74.4	79.6	70.5	76.8	45.5	78.0	64.4	96.5
bottom-2-box	25.6	20.4	29.5	23.2	54.5	22.0	35.6	3.5

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q55 Confidence in organizations: press								
valid cases	98.5	99.0	95.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	1.5	1.0	5.0	1.0	-	-	-	2.7
(1) A great deal	3.4	7.1	-	-	12.0	3.0	-	2.1
(2) Quite a lot	36.1	29.6	28.1	16.3	35.0	65.0	33.7	41.7
(3) Not very much	47.4	51.0	58.3	52.0	43.0	28.0	57.4	43.8
(4) None at all	13.2	12.2	13.5	31.6	10.0	4.0	8.9	12.5
Mean	2.70	2.68	2.85	3.15	2.51	2.33	2.75	2.67
		·						
top-2-box	39.5	36.7	28.1	16.3	47.0	68.0	33.7	43.8
bottom-2-box	60.5	63.3	71.9	83.7	53.0	32.0	66.3	56.3

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 97.3 2.7
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q56 Confidence in organizations: television								
valid cases	97.9	99.0	94.1	99.0	98.0	100.0	98.0	97.3
missing	2.1	1.0	5.9	1.0	2.0	-	2.0	2.7
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	1.9 36.3	3.1 34.7	- 25.3	- 14.3	5.1 20.4	2.0 64.0	2.0 52.5	1.4 40.3
(3) Not very much	50.4	50.0	60.0	59.2	67.3	32.0	43.4	44.4
(4) None at all	11.3	12.2	14.7	26.5	7.1	2.0	2.0	13.9
Mean	2.71	2.71	2.89	3.12	2.77	2.34	2.45	2.71
		٦						
top-2-box	38.3	37.8	25.3	14.3	25.5	66.0	54.5	41.7
bottom-2-box	61.7	62.2	74.7	85.7	74.5	34.0	45.5	58.3
ACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q57 Confidence in organizations: labor unions								
valid cases	98.1	99.0	96.0	97.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	1.9	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	-	-	2.7
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	8.2 42.5	11.2 61.2	1.0 32.0	1.0 22.9	14.3 36.7	3.0 45.0	22.8 46.5	4.9 49.3
(3) Not very much	40.3	24.5	56.7	57.3	40.8	48.0	26.7	32.6
(4) None at all	9.0	3.1	10.3	18.8	8.2	4.0	4.0	13.2
Mean	2.50	2.19	2.76	2.94	2.43	2.53	2.12	2.54
top-2-box	50.7	72.4	33.0	24.0	51.0	48.0	69.3	54.2
	49.3	27.6	67.0	76.0	49.0	52.0	30.7	45.8

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q58 Confidence in organizations: police									
valid cases	98.7	98.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3	
missing	1.3	2.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	2.7	
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	16.0 62.7	33.0 58.8	10.3 81.4	7.1 59.6	9.0 38.0	2.0 73.0	24.8 69.3	22.9 60.4	
(3) Not very much	19.1	7.2	8.2	29.3	49.0	24.0	5.9	12.5	
(4) None at all	2.2	1.0	-	4.0	4.0	1.0	-	4.2	
Mean	2.07	1.76	1.98	2.30	2.48	2.24	1.81	1.98	
top-2-box	78.7	91.8	91.8	66.7	47.0	75.0	94.1	83.3	
bottom-2-box	21.3	8.2	8.2	33.3	53.0	25.0	5.9	16.7	

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q59 Confidence in organizations: courts										
valid cases	98.5	99.0	96.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3		
missing	1.5	1.0	4.0	2.0	-	-	-	2.7		
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	21.4 56.9	11.2 52.0	20.6 72.2	6.2 50.5	24.0 31.0	7.0 77.0	42.6 55.4	32.6 59.0		
(3) Not very much	19.3	33.7	7.2	32.0	42.0	16.0	2.0	7.6		
(4) None at all	2.4	3.1	-	11.3	3.0	-	-	0.7		
Mean	2.03	2.29	1.87	2.48	2.24	2.09	1.59	1.76		
top-2-box	78.3	63.3	92.8	56.7	55.0	84.0	98.0	91.7		
bottom-2-box	21.7	36.7	7.2	43.3	45.0	16.0	2.0	8.3		

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q60 Confidence in organizations: government									
valid cases	98.5	100.0	95.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.9	
missing	1.5	-	5.0	-	-	-	-	4.1	
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	22.7 41.1	25.3 38.4	5.2 69.8	12.1 27.3	32.0 30.0	4.0 69.0	26.7 27.7	43.7 31.0	
(3) Not very much	24.4	30.3	20.8	33.3	31.0	24.0	28.7	9.2	
(4) None at all	11.8	6.1	4.2	27.3	7.0	3.0	16.8	16.2	
Mean	2.25	2.17	2.24	2.76	2.13	2.26	2.36	1.98	
top-2-box	63.8	63.6	75.0	39.4	62.0	73.0	54.5	74.6	
bottom-2-box	36.2	36.4	25.0	60.6	38.0	27.0	45.5	25.4	

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q61 Confidence in organizations: political parties								
valid cases	96.9	99.0	93.1	93.9	98.0	100.0	99.0	95.9
missing	3.1	1.0	6.9	6.1	2.0	-	1.0	4.1
(1) A great deal(2) Quite a lot	6.6 59.7	8.2 60.2	1.1 56.4	1.1 20.4	9.2 39.8	4.0 66.0	6.0 88.0	13.4 76.8
(3) Not very much	31.6	30.6	42.6	72.0	50.0	27.0	5.0	7.7
(4) None at all	2.1	1.0	-	6.5	1.0	3.0	1.0	2.1
Mean	2.29	2.24	2.41	2.84	2.43	2.29	2.01	1.99
top-2-box	66.3	68.4	57.4	21.5	49.0	70.0	94.0	90.1
bottom-2-box	33.7	31.6	42.6	78.5	51.0	30.0	6.0	9.9
ACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q62 Confidence in organizations: parliament								
valid cases	98.4	99.0	96.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.6
missing	1.6	1.0	4.0	2.0	-	-	-	3.4
 A great deal Quite a lot 	26.0 57.2	17.3 71.4	9.3 77.3	6.2 46.4	25.0 50.0	7.0 70.0	43.6 52.5	58.0 40.6
(3) Not very much	15.6	10.2	13.4	45.4	24.0	20.0	3.0	0.7
(4) None at all	1.2	1.0	-	2.1	1.0	3.0	1.0	0.7
Mean	1.92	1.95	2.04	2.43	2.01	2.19	1.61	1.44
top-2-box	83.2	88.8	86.6	52.6	75.0	77.0	96.0	98.6
bottom-2-box	16.8	11.2	13.4	47.4	25.0	23.0	4.0	1.4

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q63 Confidence in organizations: the civil service								
valid cases	97.9	98.0	95.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	95.9
missing	2.1	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	-	-	4.1
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	5.7 57.1	15.5 56.7	- 63.5	1.0 37.1	4.0 31.3	4.0 69.0	10.9 82.2	4.9 58.5
(3) Not very much	33.6	24.7	35.4	58.8	58.6	25.0	6.9	28.9
(4) None at all	3.6	3.1	1.0	3.1	6.1	2.0	-	7.7
Mean	2.35	2.15	2.38	2.64	2.67	2.25	1.96	2.39
top-2-box	62.8	72.2	63.5	38.1	35.4	73.0	93.1	63.4
bottom-2-box	37.2	27.8	36.5	61.9	64.6	27.0	6.9	36.6
ACES								

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q64 Confidence in organizations: major companies									
valid cases	96.9	97.0	94.1	97.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	93.9	
missing	3.1	3.0	5.9	3.0	2.0	-	-	6.1	
 A great deal Quite a lot 	4.4 49.8	5.2 46.9	- 27.4	3.1 35.4	10.2 30.6	3.0 57.0	- 71.3	7.9 69.8	
(3) Not very much	38.9	38.5	55.8	51.0	53.1	37.0	27.7	18.7	
(4) None at all	6.9	9.4	16.8	10.4	6.1	3.0	1.0	3.6	
Mean	2.48	2.52	2.89	2.69	2.55	2.40	2.30	2.18	
top-2-box	54.2	52.1	27.4	38.5	40.8	60.0	71.3	77.7	
bottom-2-box	45.8	47.9	72.6	61.5	59.2	40.0	28.7	22.3	

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 95.3 4.7 17.7 57.4 22.0
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q65 Confidence in organizations: environmental organizations								
valid cases	97.9	99.0	95.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	95.3
missing	2.1	1.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	-	-	4.7
(1) A great deal	12.6	10.2	8.3	6.2	24.2	3.0	15.8	17.7
(2) Quite a lot	52.9	44.9	55.2	25.8	44.4	70.0	69.3	57.4
(3) Not very much	29.0	40.8	33.3	45.4	29.3	22.0	13.9	22.0
(4) None at all	5.6	4.1	3.1	22.7	2.0	5.0	1.0	2.8
Mean	2.28	2.39	2.31	2.85	2.09	2.29	2.00	2.10
top-2-box	65.4	55.1	63.5	32.0	68.7	73.0	85.1	75.2
bottom-2-box	34.6	44.9	36.5	68.0	31.3	27.0	14.9	24.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q66 Confidence in organizations: women's organizations								
valid cases	96.5	97.0	90.1	98.0	98.0	100.0	98.0	95.3
missing	3.5	3.0	9.9	2.0	2.0	-	2.0	4.7
(1) A great deal	13.0	21.9	8.8	4.1	21.4	3.0	11.1	18.4
(2) Quite a lot	56.6	56.3	63.7	35.1	48.0	70.0	65.7	57.4
(3) Not very much	25.9	21.9	24.2	43.3	27.6	24.0	21.2	21.3
(4) None at all	4.4	-	3.3	17.5	3.1	3.0	2.0	2.8
Mean	2.22	2.00	2.22	2.74	2.12	2.27	2.14	2.09
top-2-box	69.7	78.1	72.5	39.2	69.4	73.0	76.8	75.9
bottom-2-box	30.3	21.9	27.5	60.8	30.6	27.0	23.2	24.1

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q67 Confidence in organizations: charitable or humanitarian organizations								
valid cases	97.1	98.0	95.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	97.0	93.9
missing	2.9	2.0	5.0	1.0	2.0	-	3.0	6.1
(1) A great deal	18.9	32.0	13.5	10.2	32.7	8.0	15.3	20.1
(2) Quite a lot	64.6	56.7	82.3	58.2	43.9	73.0	72.4	65.5
(3) Not very much	15.4	11.3	4.2	29.6	22.4	18.0	12.2	11.5
(4) None at all	1.1	-	-	2.0	1.0	1.0	-	2.9
Mean	1.99	1.79	1.91	2.23	1.92	2.12	1.97	1.97
top-2-box	83.5	88.7	95.8	68.4	76.5	81.0	87.8	85.6
bottom-2-box	16.5	11.3	4.2	31.6	23.5	19.0	12.2	14.4
BACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q68 Confidence in organizations: country specific regional organization (e.g. African Union)								
valid cases	84.9	99.0	97.0	100.0	98.0	-	100.0	95.3
missing	15.1	1.0	3.0	-	2.0	100.0	-	4.7
(1) A great deal	7.1	3.1	2.0	15.2	16.3	-	2.0	5.0
(2) Quite a lot	47.2	25.5	55.1	47.5	36.7	-	64.4	51.8
(3) Not very much	33.5	44.9	41.8	29.3	34.7	-	22.8	29.8
(4) None at all	12.1	26.5	1.0	8.1	12.2	-	10.9	13.5
Mean	2.51	2.95	2.42	2.30	2.43	-	2.43	2.52
top-2-box	54.3	28.6	57.1	62.6	53.1	-	66.3	56.7
bottom-2-box	45.7	71.4	42.9	37.4	46.9	-	33.7	43.3
BACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q69 Confidence in organizations: United Nations								
valid cases	98.5	99.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	96.6
missing	1.5	1.0	4.0	-	-	-	1.0	3.4
(1) A great deal (2) Quite a lot	9.8 56.9	9.2 46.9	6.2 67.0	14.1 46.5	7.0 39.0	8.0 78.0	22.0 61.0	4.2 58.7
(3) Not very much	27.4	31.6	26.8	33.3	48.0	12.0	15.0	25.9
(4) None at all	6.0	12.2	-	6.1	6.0	2.0	2.0	11.2
Mean	2.30	2.47	2.21	2.31	2.53	2.08	1.97	2.44
	66.6	56.1	73.2	60.6	46.0	86.0	83.0	62.9
top-2-box					54.0	14.0	17.0	37.1
I am going to name a number of organizations. For each one, could you tell me how much confidence you have in them: is it a great deal of confidence, quite a lot of confidence, not very much confidence or none at all?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q70 Confidence in organizations: Constitutional Court								
valid cases	97.7	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.1	96.6
missing	2.3	1.0	3.0	-	-	-	7.9	3.4
(1) A great deal	38.9	33.7	44.9	39.4	52.0	10.0	47.3	43.4
(2) Quite a lot	46.9	41.8	53.1	28.3	33.0	80.0	52.7	42.0
(3) Not very much	10.9	19.4	2.0	26.3	12.0	8.0	-	9.1
(4) None at all	3.3	5.1	-	6.1	3.0	2.0	-	5.6
Mean	1.79	1.96	1.57	1.99	1.66	2.02	1.53	1.77
top-2-box	85.8	75.5	98.0	67.7	85.0	90.0	100.0	85.3
bottom-2-box	14.2	24.5	2.0	32.3	15.0	10.0	-	14.7

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q71 Organization respondent has most confidence in - first place								
valid cases	94.8	99.0	91.1	97.0	100.0	92.0	97.0	89.9
missing	5.2	1.0	8.9	3.0	-	8.0	3.0	10.1
Church(es)	19.0	39.8	15.2	46.9	18.0	17.4	1.0	1.5
Armed forces	5.5	6.1	-	5.2	-	6.5	-	16.5
Press	0.8	1.0	-	-	2.0	3.3	-	-
Television	0.6	1.0	-	-	-	3.3	-	-
Labor unions	1.8	1.0	1.1	-	1.0	3.3	7.1	_
Police	2.1	8.2	4.3	-	-	-	3.1	-
Courts	7.2	1.0	7.6	1.0	5.0	16.3	18.4	3.0
Government	6.3	13.3	2.2	-	6.0	5.4	6.1	9.8
Political parties	0.8	-	2.2	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.5
Parliament	17.2	6.1	9.8	2.1	4.0	9.8	28.6	48.1
The civil service	1.1	3.1	-	1.0	-	2.2	2.0	-
Major companies	0.7	-	-	-	1.0	3.3	-	0.8
Environmental organizations	3.2	2.0	1.1	1.0	3.0	3.3	10.2	2.3
Women's organizations	1.7	3.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	2.2	3.1	0.8
Charitable or humanitarian organizations	5.2	9.2	7.6	3.1	4.0	10.9	3.1	0.8
Country specific regional organization (e.g. African Union)	1.4	1.0	-	5.2	-	4.3	-	-
United Nations	3.7	1.0	5.4	2.1	2.0	8.7	8.2	-
Constitutional Court	17.9	3.1	42.4	31.3	27.0	-	8.2	15.0
MF / World Bank (SA only)	0.3	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-
The President (SA only)	3.2	-	-	-	23.0	-	-	-

page 69

BACES

And which one woul	d be in seco	ond place?						
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q72 Organization respondent has most confidence in - second place								
valid cases	92.9	97.0	88.1	90.9	100.0	92.0	97.0	87.8
missing	7.1	3.0	11.9	9.1	-	8.0	3.0	12.2
~				10.0				
Church(es)	7.3	9.4	9.0	13.3	9.0	3.3	4.1	4.6
Armed forces	7.9	9.4	-	18.9	2.0	3.3	1.0	17.7
Press	1.9	2.1	-	-	7.0	4.3	-	-
Television	0.4	-	-	-	-	3.3	-	-
Labor unions	2.4	-	2.2	2.2	1.0	1.1	10.2	0.8
Police	4.5	14.6	7.9	-	1.0	-	6.1	2.3
Courts	8.8	1.0	16.9	6.7	4.0	10.9	17.3	6.2
Government	8.6	8.3	4.5	-	12.0	6.5	11.2	14.6
Political parties	2.9	1.0	2.2	-	1.0	4.3	6.1	4.6
Parliament	13.2	12.5	11.2	8.9	13.0	14.1	15.3	16.2
The civil service	1.7	2.1	-	-	-	4.3	2.0	3.1
Major companies	1.6	1.0	-	-	6.0	3.3	-	0.8
Environmental organizations	4.3	2.1	9.0	2.2	3.0	9.8	3.1	2.3
Women's organizations	2.2	6.3	-	1.1	2.0	4.3	2.0	-
Charitable or humanitarian organizations	7.9	12.5	12.4	11.1	2.0	7.6	6.1	5.4
Country specific regional organization (e.g. African Union)	3.9	-	2.2	15.6	3.0	7.6	1.0	-
United Nations	4.7	4.2	3.4	5.6	1.0	12.0	6.1	2.3
Constitutional Court	14.1	13.5	19.1	14.4	22.0	-	8.2	19.2
IMF / World Bank (SA only)	0.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
The President (SA only)	1.4	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q73a Expectation, which two organizations enjoy most confidence: people - first mention								
valid cases	68.4	97.0	-	97.0	98.0	-	99.0	82.4
missing	31.6	3.0	100.0	3.0	2.0	100.0	1.0	17.6
Church(es)	27.3	41.7	-	60.4	29.6	-	6.0	5.7
Armed forces	21.5	6.3	-	21.9	1.0	-	1.0	66.4
Press	0.4	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	-
Television	2.7	1.0	-	4.2	-	-	9.0	-
Labor unions	1.0	1.0	-	-	4.1	-	-	-
Police	5.7	7.3	-	2.1	-	-	20.0	-
Courts	4.3	1.0	-	-	4.1	-	16.0	0.8
Government	5.5	10.4	-	-	14.3	-	-	3.3
Political parties	0.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
Parliament	6.8	5.2	-	-	8.2	-	3.0	15.6
The civil service	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-
Major companies	0.4	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	-
Environmental organizations	1.8	3.1	-	-	-	-	6.0	-
Women's organizations	0.6	2.1	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
Charitable or humanitarian organizations	5.3	11.5	-	4.2	2.0	-	8.0	1.6
Country specific regional organization (e.g. African Union)	0.6	-	-	3.1	-	-	-	-
United Nations	6.3	3.1	-	1.0	1.0	-	27.0	-
Constitutional Court	6.6	6.3	-	2.1	16.3	-	2.0	6.6
IMF / World Bank (SA only)	0.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
The President (SA only)	2.7	-	-	-	14.3	-	-	-

If the [country] people in general were asked this question, which two of these organizations would you expect to enjoy the most confidence?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q73b Expectation, which two organizations enjoy most confidence: people - second mention								
valid cases	38.9	94.9	-	-	97.0	-	99.0	-
missing	61.1	5.1	100.0	100.0	3.0	100.0	1.0	100.0
Church(es)	9.3	7.4	-	-	8.2	-	12.0	-
Armed forces	6.5	10.6	-	-	4.1	-	5.0	-
Press	4.1	3.2	-	-	4.1	-	5.0	-
Television	1.7	-	-	-	3.1	-	2.0	-
Labor unions	3.1	1.1	-	-	5.2	-	3.0	-
Police	10.7	16.0	-	-	4.1	-	12.0	-
Courts	7.9	1.1	-	-	3.1	-	19.0	-
Government	7.6	9.6	-	-	12.4	-	1.0	-
Political parties	2.7	1.1	-	-	6.2	-	1.0	-
Parliament	9.6	11.7	-	-	8.2	-	9.0	-
The civil service	2.1	5.3	-	-	-	-	1.0	-
Major companies	1.4	-	-	-	1.0	-	3.0	-
Environmental organizations	2.7	1.1	-	-	1.0	-	6.0	-
Women's organizations	3.1	7.4	-	-	2.1	-	-	-
Charitable or humanitarian organizations	10.0	10.6	-	-	12.4	-	7.0	-
Country specific regional organization (e.g. African Union)	0.7	1.1	-	-	-	-	1.0	-
United Nations	4.5	2.1	-	-	-	-	11.0	-
Constitutional Court	7.6	10.6	-	-	10.3	-	2.0	-
MF / World Bank (SA only)	0.3	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
The President (SA only)	4.5	-	-	-	13.4	-	-	-

If the [country] people in general were asked this guestion, which two of these organizations would you

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_1 Two organizations public has most confidence in - church(es) (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	30.2	47.5	28.7	58.6	37.0	-	17.8	4

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_2 Two organizations public has most confidence in - armed forces (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	20.7	16.2	5.0	21.2	5.0	-	5.9	54.7
Not mentioned	79.3	83.8	95.0	78.8	95.0		94.1	45.3

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
ALL_73_3 Two organizations public has most confidence in - press (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)									
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	
Mentioned	2.6	3.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	-	5.0	-	
	1								

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_4 Two organizations public has most confidence in - television (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	4.2	1.0	7.9	4.0	3.0	-	10.9	
					0.0			

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - labor unions (dummy based on Q73b and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	2.2	2.0	-	-	9.0	-	3.0	-
Mentioneu								

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
ALL_73_6 Two organizations public has most confidence in - police (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)									
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	
Mentioned	13.9	22.2	29.7	2.0	4.0	-	31.7	-	
	1							100.0	

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_7 Two organizations public has most confidence in - courts (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	9.6	2.0	16.8	-	7.0	-	34.7	0.7
	1							99.3

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - government (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
0								
Mentioned	7.9	19.2	1.0	-	26.0	-	1.0	2.7

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - political parties (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	1.4	1.0	-	-	7.0	-	1.0	-

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_10 Two organizations public has most confidence in - parliament (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
					16.0	-	11.9	12.8
Mentioned	9.7	16.2	-	-	10.0	-	11.9	128

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - the civil service (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
meenig						10010		
Mentioned	1.1	5.1	-	-	-	-	2.0	-

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - major companies (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	1.2]	2.0	-	2.0	-	4.0	-
Mennoneo			 .		L.0			

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - environmental organizations (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

organizations public has most confidence in - women's organizations (dummy based on Q73a and					Cou	Intry of interv	view		
ALL_73_14 Two organizations public has most confidence in - women's organizations (dummy based on Q73a and	Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland			Sweden	Turkey
organizations public has most confidence in - women's organizations (dummy based on Q73a and	Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
,	organizations public has most confidence in - women's organizations (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)		7						
valid cases 86.6 100.0 100.0 100.0 - 100.0	valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing 13.4 100.0 -	missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
		2.0	9.1	1.0	-	3.0	-	-	-
Mentioned 2.0 9.1 1.0 - 3.0		98.0	90.9	99.0	100.0	97.0		100.0	100.0

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - charitable or humanitarian organizations (dummy based on Q73								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	12.8	21.2	26.7	4.0	14.0	-	14.9	1.4
	87.2	78.8	73.3	96.0	86.0		85.1	98.6

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - Political Union of respective country (e.g., the EU)(dummy b								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	0.8	1.0	-	3.0	-	-	1.0	-

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations public has most confidence in - United Nations (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	7.3	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	-	37.6	-
Not mentioned	92.7	94.9	98.0	99.0	99.0		62.4	100.0

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_73_18 Two organizations public has most confidence in - Constitutional Court (dummy based on Q73a and Q73b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	16.5	16.2	50.5	2.0	26.0	-	4.0	5.4
Not mentioned	83.5	83.8	49.5	98.0	74.0		96.0	94.6

confidence among voters of your party? **Country of interview** South South Chile Germany Poland Sweden Turkey Col % Total Africa Korea Ν 748 99 101 99 100 100 101 148 Q74a Expectation, which two organizations enjoy most confidence: respondent's party voters first mention valid cases 68.0 97.0 90.9 98.0 97.0 85.8 --32.0 3.0 missing 100.0 9.1 2.0 100.0 3.0 14.2 Church(es) 21.0 39.6 52.2 18.4 2.0 1.6 --Armed forces 12.2 8.3 1.0 36.2 7.8 --Press 0.6 3.1 ----Television 1.0 1.0 --_ 4.1 -Labor unions 3.9 1.0 10.2 0.8 5.6 3.1 --Police 4.7 6.3 5.6 13.3 --3.7 1.0 3.1 0.8 Courts -14.3 Government 11.6 17.7 11.2 8.2 18.1 _ -Political parties 3.3 -11.2 3.1 2.4 --Parliament 11.6 3.1 5.1 9.2 33.1 -The civil service 0.8 2.1 -1.0 1.0 Major companies 0.8 3.1 1.0 ---_ -Environmental 3.1 3.1 12.2 0.8 --organizations Women's 1.4 3.1 2.2 2.0 --organizations Charitable or humanitarian 3.5 7.3 2.2 3.1 5.1 0.8 organizations Country specific regional organization 2.0 2.6 1.0 -11.1 -_ (e.g. African Union) **United Nations** 2.8 1.0 1.1 12.2 -12.2 Constitutional Court 7.7 4.2 16.3 1.0 5.5 _ IMF / World Bank _ --(SA only) The President (SA 3.7 19.4 ---only) BACES

How about the voters of your party? Which two of these institutions would enjoy the highest level of

confidence among voters of your party? **Country of interview** South South Chile Germany Poland Sweden Turkey Col % Total Africa Korea Ν 748 99 101 99 100 100 101 148 Q74b Expectation, which two organizations enjoy most confidence: respondent's party voters second mention 38.6 96.0 97.0 96.0 valid cases ----100.0 missing 61.4 4.0 100.0 3.0 100.0 4.0 100.0 Church(es) 10.0 11.6 11.3 7.2 ----Armed forces 3.8 8.4 2.1 1.0 Press 2.8 3.2 3.1 2.1 Television 1.7 --2.1 3.1 Labor unions 6.6 2.1 17.5 --Police 8.3 14.7 2.1 8.2 --6.6 5.2 13.4 Courts 1.1 _ Government 6.9 4.2 9.3 7.2 _ _ 2.1 Political parties 1.4 1.1 1.0 --Parliament 13.5 12.6 19.6 8.2 _ The civil service 1.0 3.2 Major companies 0.3 1.0 -_ -_ _ Environmental 3.1 1.1 3.1 5.2 --organizations Women's 3.5 6.3 2.1 2.1 --organizations Charitable or humanitarian 10.0 15.8 5.2 9.3 organizations Country specific 2.1 3.1 regional organization 1.7 _ -_ (e.g. African Union) **United Nations** 5.2 4.2 3.1 8.2 Constitutional Court 9.3 12.6 14.4 1.0 _ _ IMF / World Bank 0.3 1.0 _ (SA only) The President (SA 3.8 11.3 --only) BACES

How about the voters of your party? Which two of these institutions would enjoy the highest level of

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - church(es) (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b)								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - armed forces (dummy based on Q74a and Q7									
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	
Mentioned	11.9	16.2	4.0	7.1	1.0	-	3.0	31.1	

Col %	Total	Chile			Country of interview							
			Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey				
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148				
ALL_74_3 Two organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - press (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b)												
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0				
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-				

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - television (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b									
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_74_5 Two organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - labor unions (dummy based on Q74a and Q7								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	7.9	1.0	12.9	5.1	4.0	-	26.7	0.7
	92.1	99.0	87.1	94.9	96.0		73.3	99.3

N 7 ALL_74_6 Two organizations	otal 748	Chile 99	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
ALL_74_6 Two organizations	748	99	101					
organizations				99	100	100	101	148
respondent s party voters have most confidence in - police (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b)								
valid cases 8	36.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing 1	3.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - courts (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b)										
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0		
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-		
		,								
Mentioned	8.3	2.0	15.8	-	8.0	-	26.7	0.7		
Not mentioned	91.7	98.0	84.2	100.0	92.0	-	73.3	99.3		

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - government (dummy based on Q74a and Q74b									
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - political parties (dummy based on Q74a a								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
••	3.9	1.0	4.0	-	12.0	-	5.0	2.0
Mentioned								

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - parliament (dummy based on Q74a and Q74								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	16.0	15.2	5.9	-	24.0	-	16.8	28.4
Not mentioned	84.0	84.8	94.1	100.0	76.0	-	83.2	71.6

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_74_11 Two organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - the civil service (dummy based on Q74a								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	1.1	5.1	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	-
	98.9	94.9	100.0	100.0	99.0		99.0	100.0
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
--	-------	-------	---------	--------	-----------------	----------------	--------	--------
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - major companies (dummy based on Q74a an								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - environmental organizations (dummy base								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - women's organizations (dummy based on Q								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	2.9	9.1	2.0	2.0	4.0	-	2.0	-
Not mentioned	97.1	90.9	98.0	98.0	96.0	-	98.0	100.0

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - charitable or humanitarian organization								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	10.3	22.2	19.8	2.0	8.0	-	13.9	0.7
	1				92.0		86.1	99.3

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - Political Union of respective country (e			100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100 2
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
Mentioned	3.1	1.0	2.0	10.1	2.0	-	5.0	-
Not mentioned	96.9	99.0	98.0	89.9	98.0	-	95.0	100.0

				Cou	Intry of interv	lew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_74_17 Two organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - United Nations (dummy based on Q74a and								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

				50u	ntry of interv	lew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
ALL_74_18 Two organizations respondent s party voters have most confidence in - Constitutional Court (dummy based on Q74								
valid cases	86.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0
missing	13.4	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q75 Political system: Having a strong leader who does not have to bother with parliament and elections								
valid cases	98.7	100.0	97.0	97.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.0
missing	1.3	-	3.0	3.0	-	1.0	-	2.0
(1) Very good	3.1	3.0	-	2.1	3.0	1.0	-	9.7
(2) Fairly good	13.1	13.1	2.0	13.5	9.0	14.1	-	31.7
(3) Fairly bad	25.7	41.4	1.0	36.5	9.0	58.6	6.9	26.9
(4) Very bad	58.0	42.4	96.9	47.9	79.0	26.3	93.1	31.7
Mean	3.39	3.23	3.95	3.30	3.64	3.10	3.93	2.81
top-2-box	16.3	16.2	2.0	15.6	12.0	15.2	-	41.4
bottom-2-box	83.7	83.8	98.0	84.4	88.0	84.8	100.0	58.6
BACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q76 Political system: Having experts, not government, make decisions according to what they think is best for country								
valid cases	97.9	100.0	97.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	100.0	95.3
missing	2.1	-	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	-	4.7
(1) Very good	3.7	9.1	-	8.2	8.2	1.0	-	0.7
(2) Fairly good	19.8	10.1	5.1	32.0	17.3	64.3	_	13.5
(3) Fairly bad	37.2	50.5	26.5	46.4	23.5	34.7	19.8	52.5
(4) Very bad	39.3	30.3	68.4	13.4	51.0	-	80.2	33.3
Mean	3.12	3.02	3.63	2.65	3.17	2.34	3.80	3.18
top-2-box	23.5	19.2	5.1	40.2	25.5	65.3	-	14.2
bottom-2-box	76.5	80.8	94.9	59.8	74.5	34.7	100.0	85.8

				Cou	Intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q77 Political system: Having the army rule								
valid cases	97.9	98.0	97.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	100.0	95.9
missing	2.1	2.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	-	4.1
(1) Very good(2) Fairly good	- 1.2	- 4.1	-	- 1.0	- 2.1	- 1.0	-	- 0.7
(3) Fairly bad	16.0	39.2	-	20.4	-	13.1	4.0	29.6
(4) Very bad	82.8	56.7	100.0	78.6	97.9	85.9	96.0	69.7
Mean	3.82	3.53	4.00	3.78	3.96	3.85	3.96	3.69
top-2-box	1.2	4.1	-	1.0	2.1	1.0	-	0.7
bottom-2-box	98.8	95.9	100.0	99.0	97.9	99.0	100.0	99.3
ACES								

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q78 Political system: Having a democratic political system										
valid cases	99.2	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6		
missing	0.8	-	3.0	-	-	1.0	-	1.4		
(1) Very good	81.8	69.7	98.0	50.5	94.0	68.7	99.0	89.0		
(2) Fairly good	17.8	30.3	2.0	47.5	6.0	31.3	1.0	10.3		
(3) Fairly bad	0.3	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	-		
(4) Very bad	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7		
Mean	1.19	1.30	1.02	1.52	1.06	1.31	1.01	1.12		
top-2-box	99.6	100.0	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3		
bottom-2-box	0.4	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	0.7		

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q79 Political system: Having strong opposition parties								
valid cases	98.5	100.0	97.0	94.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	1.5	-	3.0	5.1	-	1.0	-	1.4
		10 5						
(1) Very good	58.2	46.5	89.8	23.4	78.0	5.1	90.1	67.8
(2) Fairly good	36.1	53.5	10.2	59.6	22.0	69.7	9.9	31.5
(3) Fairly bad	5.3	-	-	16.0	-	23.2	-	0.7
(4) Very bad	0.4	-	-	1.1	-	2.0	-	-
Mean	1.48	1.54	1.10	1.95	1.22	2.22	1.10	1.33
top-2-box	94.3	100.0	100.0	83.0	100.0	74.7	100.0	99.3
bottom-2-box	5.7	-	-	17.0	-	25.3	-	0.7
CES								

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q80 Essential characteristic of democracy: Governments tax the rich and subsidize the poor								
valid cases	97.5	100.0	98.0	91.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	94.6
missing	2.5	-	2.0	8.1	-	1.0	-	5.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy 2	8.0 5.8	4.0 8.1	4.0 4.0	15.4 15.4	7.0 2.0	1.0 1.0	13.9 8.9	10.0 2.9
3	7.0	5.1	5.1	13.2	8.0	3.0	11.9	4.3
4	4.8	10.1	3.0	11.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.9
5	10.6	11.1	7.1	17.6	14.0	4.0	8.9	11.4
6	10.0	8.1	8.1	8.8	9.0	19.2	5.9	10.7
7	16.5	13.1	15.2	12.1	21.0	22.2	13.9	17.1
8	15.0	17.2	15.2	-	10.0	27.3	15.8	17.1
9	7.8	10.1	13.1	2.2	4.0	10.1	8.9	6.4
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	14.7	13.1	25.3	4.4	23.0	10.1	7.9	17.1
Mean	6.25	6.31	7.21	4.19	6.60	7.21	5.47	6.48
top-5-box	36.1	38.4	23.2	72.5	33.0	11.1	47.5	31.4
bottom-5-box	63.9	61.6	76.8	27.5	67.0	88.9	52.5	68.6

		Country of interview								
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q81 Essential characteristic of democracy: The individual human right to dignity (e.g. respect of others) is upheld										
valid cases	96.4	99.0	97.0	79.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6		
missing	3.6	1.0	3.0	20.2	-	1.0	-	1.4		
 Not an essential characteristic of democracy 	1.2	2.0	-	3.8	2.0	-	1.0	0.7		
2	0.3	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.0	-		
3	0.8	2.0	-	2.5	-	-	2.0	-		
4	0.6	1.0	-	1.3	2.0	-	-	-		
5	0.8	4.1	-	-	1.0	-	-	0.7		
6	1.5	1.0	-	3.8	-	3.0	2.0	1.4		
7	3.1	3.1	-	2.5	6.0	5.1	2.0	2.7		
8	9.6	13.3	4.1	-	11.0	21.2	13.9	4.1		
9	13.2	11.2	3.1	20.3	5.0	17.2	28.7	9.6		
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	68.9	62.2	92.9	64.6	73.0	53.5	49.5	80.8		
Mean	9.26	8.90	9.89	8.87	9.20	9.13	8.99	9.59		
top-5-box	3.7	9.2	-	8.9	5.0	-	4.0	1.4		
bottom-5-box	96.3	90.8	100.0	91.1	95.0	100.0	96.0	98.6		

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q82 Essential characteristic of democracy: People choose their leaders in free elections								
valid cases	98.7	100.0	98.0	94.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	1.3	-	2.0	5.1	-	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.1	3.0	-	2.1	2.0	-	-	0.7
2	0.5	-	-	2.1	-	1.0	1.0	-
3	0.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
5	0.7	1.0	-	1.1	1.0	-	-	1.4
6	1.2	-	-	2.1	1.0	5.1	-	0.7
7	1.2	2.0	-	1.1	-	2.0	-	2.7
8	4.3	6.1	1.0	-	3.0	9.1	1.0	8.2
9	10.7	6.1	7.1	22.3	10.0	20.2	2.0	8.9
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	79.9	80.8	91.9	69.1	83.0	62.6	96.0	76.7
Mean	9.53	9.36	9.91	9.24	9.57	9.27	9.88	9.47
top-5-box	2.6	5.1	-	5.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.7
bottom-5-box	97.4	94.9	100.0	94.7	97.0	99.0	99.0	97.3

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Germany Poland South South Swee Africa Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q83 Essential characteristic of democracy: People receive state aid for unemployment								
valid cases	97.1	100.0	98.0	85.9	100.0	99.0	99.0	97.3
missing	2.9	-	2.0	14.1	-	1.0	1.0	2.7
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.7	4.0	-	14.0	1.4
2	3.6	4.0	-	4.7	5.0	-	11.0	1.4
3	4.7	1.0	5.1	15.3	3.0	-	11.0	0.7
4	4.3	4.0	-	9.4	4.0	5.1	7.0	2.1
5	7.9	11.1	6.1	14.1	10.0	6.1	6.0	4.2
6	11.4	6.1	7.1	14.1	18.0	29.3	6.0	3.5
7	15.6	19.2	13.1	15.3	20.0	19.2	6.0	16.0
8	15.3	17.2	24.2	-	10.0	23.2	13.0	16.7
9	9.6	11.1	10.1	11.8	4.0	9.1	10.0	11.1
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	23.7	24.2	31.3	10.6	22.0	8.1	16.0	43.1
Mean	7.05	7.35	7.81	5.72	6.73	7.09	5.59	8.32
top-5-box	24.4	22.2	14.1	48.2	26.0	11.1	49.0	9.7
	75.6	77.8	85.9	51.8	74.0	88.9	51.0	90.3

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q84 Essential characteristic of democracy: Civil rights protect people's liberty against oppression								
valid cases	97.7	100.0	98.0	87.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	2.3	-	2.0	12.1	-	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.1	2.0	-	3.4	1.0	-	-	1.4
2	0.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	0.3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	0.1	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	-
5	2.3	1.0	-	5.7	6.0	3.0	-	1.4
6	1.6	1.0	-	2.3	1.0	5.1	-	2.1
7	3.0	2.0	-	4.6	3.0	11.1	-	1.4
8	6.3	10.1	3.0	-	12.0	15.2	-	4.1
9	15.6	19.2	8.1	19.5	18.0	29.3	4.0	13.0
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	69.5	61.6	88.9	63.2	59.0	36.4	96.0	76.7
Mean	9.31	9.05	9.86	8.91	9.06	8.72	9.96	9.47
top-5-box	4.0	6.1	-	10.3	7.0	3.0	-	2.7
bottom-5-box	96.0	93.9	100.0	89.7	93.0	97.0	100.0	97.3

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q85 Essential characteristic of democracy: The economy is prospering									
valid cases	96.8	99.0	97.0	84.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	97.3	
missing	3.2	1.0	3.0	15.2	-	1.0	-	2.7	
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	5.8	2.0	4.1	6.0	2.0	-	26.7	1.4	
2	2.9	-	2.0	6.0	-	-	13.9	-	
3	4.8	5.1	9.2	2.4	1.0	1.0	15.8	0.7	
4	3.5	1.0	3.1	4.8	1.0	-	10.9	3.5	
5	7.6	14.3	12.2	10.7	2.0	2.0	6.9	6.3	
6	6.4	5.1	10.2	9.5	3.0	11.1	4.0	3.5	
7	12.0	14.3	17.3	17.9	7.0	9.1	7.9	11.8	
8	16.6	22.4	24.5	-	21.0	25.3	6.9	14.6	
9	10.4	8.2	6.1	13.1	8.0	20.2	4.0	12.5	
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	30.1	27.6	11.2	29.8	55.0	31.3	3.0	45.8	
Mean	7.27	7.52	6.55	6.95	8.76	8.40	3.80	8.40	
top-5-box	24.6	22.4	30.6	29.8	6.0	3.0	74.3	11.8	
bottom-5-box	75.4	77.6	69.4	70.2	94.0	97.0	25.7	88.2	

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q86 Essential characteristic of democracy: People can change the laws in referendums								
valid cases	82.6	100.0	98.0	81.8	100.0	-	99.0	93.9
missing	17.4	-	2.0	18.2	-	100.0	1.0	6.1
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	5.7	6.1	3.0	1.2	6.0	-	11.0	5.8
2	4.5	4.0	9.1	2.5	5.0	-	7.0	0.7
3	5.8	4.0	9.1	2.5	5.0	-	11.0	3.6
4	4.5	2.0	5.1	-	7.0	-	12.0	1.4
5	11.0	6.1	12.1	3.7	13.0	-	15.0	13.7
6	9.4	13.1	11.1	2.5	10.0	-	13.0	6.5
7	9.1	6.1	7.1	8.6	10.0	-	8.0	12.9
8	13.4	21.2	19.2	-	13.0	-	10.0	14.4
9	10.8	11.1	10.1	24.7	8.0	-	7.0	7.9
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	25.7	26.3	14.1	54.3	23.0	-	6.0	33.1
Mean	6.87	7.18	6.31	8.73	6.60	-	5.18	7.39
top-5-box	31.6	22.2	38.4	9.9	36.0	-	56.0	25.2
bottom-5-box	68.4	77.8	61.6	90.1	64.0		44.0	74.8

				Cou	intry of interv	view			
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	n Turke	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q87 Essential characteristic of democracy: Women have the same rights as men									
valid cases	97.9	100.0	98.0	89.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.0	
missing	2.1	-	2.0	10.1	-	1.0	-	2.0	
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.2	3.0	-	3.4	1.0	-	1.0	0.7	
2	0.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	0.4	1.0	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	
4	0.1	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	
5	1.9	4.0	-	-	2.0	2.0	-	4.1	
6	1.8	2.0	-	1.1	1.0	6.1	-	2.1	
7	2.5	1.0	-	-	1.0	12.1	1.0	2.1	
8	7.2	12.1	1.0	-	6.0	19.2	4.0	7.6	
9	10.9	10.1	1.0	19.1	7.0	21.2	6.9	11.7	
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	73.8	65.7	98.0	74.2	82.0	38.4	87.1	71.7	
Mean	9.35	8.92	9.97	9.30	9.55	8.64	9.73	9.32	
top-5-box	3.8	9.1	-	5.6	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.8	
bottom-5-box	96.2	90.9	100.0	94.4	97.0	97.0	99.0	95.2	

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q88 Essential characteristic of democracy: Elected officials try to do what people want								
valid cases	82.8	100.0	95.0	78.8	100.0	-	99.0	98.6
missing	17.2	-	5.0	21.2	-	100.0	1.0	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.5	3.0	-	2.6	2.0	-	1.0	0.7
2	0.2	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-
3	1.3	3.0	1.0	1.3	-	-	3.0	-
4	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.8	-	-	4.0	-
5	3.9	5.1	-	5.1	3.0	-	9.0	2.1
6	6.0	11.1	2.1	14.1	3.0	-	5.0	3.4
7	9.4	8.1	6.3	24.4	9.0	-	9.0	4.8
8	14.4	20.2	14.6	-	12.0	-	28.0	10.3
9	13.6	13.1	14.6	21.8	12.0	-	15.0	8.9
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	48.5	35.4	60.4	25.6	59.0	-	26.0	69.9
Mean	8.54	7.98	9.16	7.58	8.92	-	7.83	9.26
top-5-box	8.2	12.1	2.1	14.1	5.0	-	17.0	2.7
bottom-5-box	91.8	87.9	97.9	85.9	95.0	-	83.0	97.3

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q89 Essential characteristic of democracy: Complete freedom for anyone to criticize the government								
valid cases	96.5	100.0	98.0	78.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	3.5	-	2.0	21.2	-	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.4	1.0	-	5.1	3.0	1.0	-	0.7
2	0.8	2.0	-	5.1	-	-	-	-
3	0.7	1.0	-	3.8	-	1.0	-	-
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	2.2	1.0	1.0	2.6	1.0	5.1	-	4.1
6	3.6	-	1.0	10.3	1.0	10.1	-	4.1
7	5.8	5.1	1.0	15.4	2.0	9.1	-	8.9
8	10.4	14.1	2.0	-	10.0	26.3	1.0	15.1
9	14.0	13.1	11.1	21.8	10.0	25.3	7.9	11.6
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	61.1	62.6	83.8	35.9	73.0	22.2	91.1	55.5
Mean	8.98	9.06	9.73	7.64	9.28	8.13	9.90	8.88
				107	10	7.4		10
top-5-box	5.1	5.1	1.0	16.7	4.0	7.1	-	4.8
bottom-5-box	94.9	94.9	99.0	83.3	96.0	92.9	100.0	95.2

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	Poland South South Swed	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q90 Essential characteristic of democracy: Equality of the vote in elections								
valid cases	84.2	97.0	98.0	89.9	100.0	-	100.0	98.0
missing	15.8	3.0	2.0	10.1	-	100.0	-	2.0
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.1	2.1	-	2.2	1.0		1.0	0.7
2	0.2	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
3	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1.3	1.0	1.0	3.4	1.0	-	-	1.4
6	0.3	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	0.7
7	2.4	3.1	1.0	4.5	3.0	-	-	2.8
8	2.4	6.3	-	-	3.0	-	-	4.1
9	5.9	6.3	3.0	14.6	5.0	-	4.0	4.1
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	86.3	81.3	92.9	75.3	87.0	-	95.0	85.5
Mean	9.62	9.48	9.77	9.35	9.66	-	9.87	9.59
top-5-box	2.7	3.1	2.0	5.6	2.0	-	1.0	2.8
bottom-5-box	97.3	96.9	98.0	94.4	98.0	-	99.0	97.2

				Cou	intry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turke
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q91 Essential characteristic of democracy: Basic necessities like shelter, food and water for everyone								
valid cases	84.0	99.0	97.0	87.9	99.0	-	100.0	98.0
missing	16.0	1.0	3.0	12.1	1.0	100.0	-	2.0
 Not an essential characteristic of democracy 	3.7	4.1	2.0	6.9	3.0	-	5.9	1.4
2	1.9	1.0	1.0	5.7	-	-	4.0	0.7
3	2.9	2.0	1.0	8.0	-	-	5.9	1.4
4	2.5	1.0	2.0	6.9	-	-	5.9	0.7
5	4.9	5.1	-	11.5	4.0	-	7.9	2.8
6	4.9	3.1	3.1	8.0	5.1	-	5.9	4.8
7	9.1	8.2	10.2	14.9	8.1	-	3.0	10.3
8	9.6	7.1	14.3	-	7.1	-	12.9	13.1
9	10.4	7.1	13.3	16.1	5.1	-	11.9	9.7
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	50.2	61.2	53.1	21.8	67.7	-	36.6	55.2
Mean	8.15	8.51	8.69	6.44	8.89	-	7.28	8.68
	15.9	13.3	6.1	39.1	7.1	-	29.7	6.9
top-5-box	10.0							

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q92 Essential characteristic of democracy: Jobs for everyone								
valid cases	97.6	99.0	97.0	89.9	99.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	2.4	1.0	3.0	10.1	1.0	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	6.3	3.1	8.2	12.4	4.0	-	15.8	2.7
2	3.4	2.0	5.1	13.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	0.7
3	4.4	-	5.1	10.1	1.0	1.0	14.9	0.7
4	2.7	2.0	5.1	1.1	2.0	2.0	6.9	0.7
5	8.4	9.2	5.1	15.7	4.0	12.1	9.9	4.8
6	8.9	4.1	9.2	13.5	7.1	15.2	4.0	9.6
7	13.0	8.2	17.3	16.9	10.1	18.2	8.9	12.3
8	16.7	14.3	23.5	-	9.1	29.3	19.8	18.5
9	8.2	9.2	8.2	7.9	6.1	10.1	5.9	9.6
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	27.9	48.0	13.3	9.0	55.6	11.1	10.9	40.4
Mean	7.11	8.19	6.50	5.12	8.33	7.28	5.50	8.15
top-5-box	25.2	16.3	28.6	52.8	12.1	16.2	50.5	9.6
	74.8	83.7	71.4	47.2	87.9	83.8	49.5	90.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q93 Essential characteristic of democracy: Equal opportunity in education								
valid cases	97.9	100.0	98.0	89.9	99.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	2.1	-	2.0	10.1	1.0	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.8	3.0	-	1.1	1.0	1.0	5.0	1.4
2	0.4	1.0	-	2.2	-	-	-	-
3	0.4	-	1.0	1.1	-	-	1.0	-
4	0.8	2.0	-	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	-
5	3.3	7.1	1.0	5.6	2.0	6.1	2.0	0.7
6	4.0	3.0	5.1	9.0	1.0	9.1	2.0	0.7
7	6.0	4.0	4.0	10.1	5.1	8.1	11.9	1.4
8	10.0	4.0	19.2	-	7.1	19.2	16.8	4.8
9	12.7	7.1	14.1	15.7	5.1	26.3	16.8	6.8
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	60.7	68.7	55.6	53.9	77.8	29.3	43.6	84.2
Mean	8.90	8.78	9.03	8.47	9.36	8.29	8.39	9.61
top-5-box	6.7	13.1	2.0	11.2	4.0	8.1	8.9	2.1
bottom-5-box	93.3	86.9	98.0	88.8	96.0	91.9	91.1	97.9

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q94 Essential characteristic of democracy: Minority Rights								
valid cases	97.2	99.0	98.0	84.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	2.8	1.0	2.0	15.2	-	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	1.1	-	-	2.4	4.0	-	-	1.4
2	0.6	2.0	-	1.2	1.0	-	-	-
3	0.3	-	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	-
4	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.2	-	-	-	-
5	3.6	3.1	-	8.3	7.0	5.1	1.0	2.1
6	3.7	5.1	2.0	2.4	1.0	11.1	1.0	3.4
7	6.1	5.1	3.0	6.0	8.0	14.1	2.0	4.8
8	13.1	22.4	13.1	-	16.0	19.2	5.0	13.7
9	13.6	7.1	9.1	26.2	7.0	22.2	11.9	13.7
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	57.6	54.1	71.7	51.2	56.0	27.3	79.2	61.0
Mean	8.91	8.74	9.41	8.58	8.54	8.20	9.63	9.08
top-5-box	5.9	6.1	1.0	14.3	12.0	6.1	1.0	3.4
bottom-5-box	94.1	93.9	99.0	85.7	88.0	93.9	99.0	96.6

				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q95 Essential characteristic of democracy: Political parties in parliament engage in political compromises								
valid cases	93.3	77.8	98.0	76.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.6
missing	6.7	22.2	2.0	23.2	-	1.0	-	1.4
(1) Not an essential characteristic of democracy	3.0	6.5	1.0	2.6	2.0	1.0	8.9	0.7
2	1.9	5.2	2.0	-	1.0	-	5.9	-
3	3.6	7.8	3.0	-	1.0	2.0	12.9	-
4	2.0	2.6	1.0	1.3	3.0	2.0	5.0	-
5	6.0	9.1	2.0	3.9	8.0	5.1	11.9	3.4
6	8.9	3.9	4.0	9.2	11.0	22.2	5.9	6.2
7	12.2	6.5	17.2	6.6	16.0	17.2	10.9	9.6
8	18.6	18.2	26.3	-	17.0	24.2	20.8	19.2
9	12.6	11.7	6.1	21.1	12.0	14.1	8.9	15.1
(10) An essential characteristic of democracy	31.2	28.6	37.4	55.3	29.0	12.1	8.9	45.9
Mean	7.69	7.01	8.11	8.71	7.71	7.36	5.86	8.70
top-5-box	16.5	31.2	9.1	7.9	15.0	10.1	44.6	4.1
bottom-5-box	83.5	68.8	90.9	92.1	85.0	89.9	55.4	95.9

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q96 Performance of current government: Government taxes the rich and subsidizes the poor								
valid cases	96.9	99.0	97.0	88.9	100.0	99.0	100.0	95.3
missing	3.1	1.0	3.0	11.1	-	1.0	-	4.7
(1) Very badly	14.8	10.2	9.2	10.2	2.0	4.0	41.6	22.0
(2) Fairly badly	27.7	42.9	26.5	35.2	11.0	53.5	17.8	14.2
(3) Fairly well	46.2	39.8	59.2	46.6	59.0	39.4	25.7	51.8
(4) Very well	11.3	7.1	5.1	8.0	28.0	3.0	14.9	12.1
Mean	2.54	2.44	2.60	2.52	3.13	2.41	2.14	2.54
top-2-box	42.5	53.1	35.7	45.5	13.0	57.6	59.4	36.2
bottom-2-box	57.5	46.9	64.3	54.5	87.0	42.4	40.6	63.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q97 Performance of current government: The individual human right to dignity (e.g. respect of others) is upheld								
valid cases	98.9	98.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	99.0	98.6
missing	1.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	1.4
	0.5	4.1	1.0	15.0	1.0	4.0	<u> </u>	11.6
(1) Very badly	6.5 21.4	4.1 21.6	1.0 7.0	15.3 27.6	18.2	4.0 20.0	6.0 35.0	20.5
(2) Fairly badly(3) Fairly well	44.1	53.6	38.0	27.6 34.7	44.4	20.0 62.0	35.0 35.0	20.5 41.8
(4) Very well	28.1	20.6	54.0	22.4	44.4 36.4	02.0 14.0	24.0	26.0
Mean	2.94	2.91	3.45	2.64	3.16	2.86	2.77	2.82
top-2-box	27.8	25.8	8.0	42.9	19.2	24.0	41.0	32.2
bottom-2-box	72.2	74.2	92.0	57.1	80.8	76.0	59.0	67.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q98 Performance of current government: People choose their leaders in free elections								
valid cases	98.4	98.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	98.0	97.3
missing	1.6	2.0	1.0	3.0	-	-	2.0	2.7
(1) Very badly	4.5	4.1	-	2.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	16.7
(2) Fairly badly	7.9	12.4	2.0	10.4	6.0	5.0	-	16.0
(3) Fairly well	33.8	44.3	14.0	51.0	23.0	56.0	14.1	34.7
(4) Very well	53.8	39.2	84.0	36.5	70.0	38.0	84.8	32.6
Mean	3.37	3.19	3.82	3.22	3.62	3.31	3.83	2.83
top-2-box	12.4	16.5	2.0	12.5	7.0	6.0	1.0	32.6
bottom-2-box	87.6	83.5	98.0	87.5	93.0	94.0	99.0	67.4

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q99 Performance of current government: People receive state aid for unemployment										
valid cases	98.5	100.0	98.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.6		
missing	1.5	-	2.0	4.0	-	-	-	3.4		
(1) Very badly	10.0	8.1	-	3.2	10.0	4.0	29.7	13.3		
(2) Fairly badly	29.7	49.5	17.2	26.3	21.0	38.0	24.8	30.8		
(3) Fairly well	44.6	36.4	38.4	57.9	55.0	55.0	24.8	45.5		
(4) Very well	15.6	6.1	44.4	12.6	14.0	3.0	20.8	10.5		
Mean	2.66	2.40	3.27	2.80	2.73	2.57	2.37	2.53		
		J								
top-2-box	39.8	57.6	17.2	29.5	31.0	42.0	54.5	44.1		
bottom-2-box	60.2	42.4	82.8	70.5	69.0	58.0	45.5	55.9		

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q100 Performance of current government: Civil rights protect people's liberty against oppression								
valid cases	98.8	99.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.0
missing	1.2	1.0	1.0	3.0	-	-	1.0	2.0
(1) Very badly	4.6	1.0	2.0	10.4	-	5.0	2.0	9.7
(2) Fairly badly	16.4	15.3	14.0	26.0	8.0	21.0	3.0	24.1
(3) Fairly well	44.5	65.3	23.0	36.5	51.0	59.0	36.0	42.1
(4) Very well	34.5	18.4	61.0	27.1	41.0	15.0	59.0	24.1
Mean	3.09	3.01	3.43	2.80	3.33	2.84	3.52	2.81
top-2-box	21.0	16.3	16.0	36.5	8.0	26.0	5.0	33.8
bottom-2-box	79.0	83.7	84.0	63.5	92.0	74.0	95.0	66.2

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q101 Performance of current government: The economy is prospering								
valid cases	97.9	99.0	98.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	97.0	95.9
missing	2.1	1.0	2.0	4.0	-	-	3.0	4.1
(1) Very badly	6.8	6.1	1.0	4.2	-	23.0	2.0	9.9
(2) Fairly badly	19.9	25.5	16.2	10.5	5.0	41.0	16.3	23.2
(3) Fairly well	44.3	54.1	68.7	53.7	48.0	31.0	33.7	28.2
(4) Very well	29.0	14.3	14.1	31.6	47.0	5.0	48.0	38.7
Mean	2.95	2.77	2.96	3.13	3.42	2.18	3.28	2.96
top-2-box	26.8	31.6	17.2	14.7	5.0	64.0	18.4	33.1
bottom-2-box	73.2	68.4	82.8	85.3	95.0	36.0	81.6	66.9

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q102 Performance of current government: People can change the laws in referendums								
valid cases	75.1	97.0	88.1	92.9	90.0	-	83.2	75.0
missing	24.9	3.0	11.9	7.1	10.0	100.0	16.8	25.0
(1) Vany badly	27.0	30.2	48.3	7.6	31.1	-	20.2	25.2
 (1) Very badly (2) Fairly badly 	34.9	42.7	40.3 39.3	25.0	31.1	-	20.2	25.2 40.5
(3) Fairly well	26.3	18.8	12.4	40.2	26.7	-	33.3	40.3 27.0
(4) Very well	11.7	8.3	-	27.2	11.1	-	17.9	7.2
Mean	2.23	2.05	1.64	2.87	2.18	-	2.49	2.16
top-2-box	61.9	72.9	87.6	32.6	62.2	-	48.8	65.8
bottom-2-box	38.1	27.1	12.4	67.4	37.8	-	51.2	34.2

Col %	Total	Country of interview						
		Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q103 Performance of current government: Women have the same rights as men								
valid cases	98.7	97.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	1.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	2.7
(1) Very badly	7.6	6.3	3.0	6.1	2.0	2.0	6.9	20.8
(2) Fairly badly	18.2	20.8	16.0	17.3	8.1	26.0	32.7	9.7
(3) Fairly well	45.1	52.1	44.0	41.8	41.4	63.0	35.6	40.3
(4) Very well	29.1	20.8	37.0	34.7	48.5	9.0	24.8	29.2
Mean	2.96	2.88	3.15	3.05	3.36	2.79	2.78	2.78
top-2-box	25.7	27.1	19.0	23.5	10.1	28.0	39.6	30.6
bottom-2-box	74.3	72.9	81.0	76.5	89.9	72.0	60.4	69.4
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
--	-------	-------	---------	--------	-----------------	----------------	--------	--------
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q104 Performance of current government: Elected officials try to do what people want								
valid cases	84.2	98.0	95.0	98.0	100.0	-	95.0	97.3
missing	15.8	2.0	5.0	2.0	-	100.0	5.0	2.7
(1) Very badly	8.3	7.2	2.1	8.2	7.0	-	8.3	13.9
(2) Fairly badly	29.5	46.4	25.0	39.2	25.0	-	30.2	17.4
(3) Fairly well	47.8	42.3	63.5	41.2	51.0	-	47.9	43.1
(4) Very well	14.4	4.1	9.4	11.3	17.0	-	13.5	25.7
Mean	2.68	2.43	2.80	2.56	2.78	-	2.67	2.81
top-2-box	37.8	53.6	27.1	47.4	32.0	-	38.5	31.3
bottom-2-box	62.2	46.4	72.9	52.6	68.0	-	61.5	68.8

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q105 Performance of current government: Complete freedom for anyone to criticize the government								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.0
missing	0.8	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	2.0
(1) Very badly	7.5	5.1	-	22.4	3.0	5.0	-	14.5
(2) Fairly badly	17.1	22.2	-	31.6	18.0	27.0	- 5.0	14.5
(3) Fairly well	37.7	47.5	38.0	26.5	45.0	47.0	24.0	36.6
(4) Very well	37.6	25.3	62.0	19.4	34.0	21.0	71.0	32.4
Mean	3.05	2.93	3.62	2.43	3.10	2.84	3.66	2.87
top-2-box	24.7	27.3	-	54.1	21.0	32.0	5.0	31.0
	75.3	72.7	100.0	45.9	79.0	68.0	95.0	69.0

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q106 Performance of current government: Equality of the vote in elections								
valid cases	84.5	98.0	99.0	96.0	100.0	-	99.0	94.6
missing	15.5	2.0	1.0	4.0	-	100.0	1.0	5.4
(1) Very badly	2.8	5.2	-	5.3	1.0	-	-	5.0
(2) Fairly badly	7.9	17.5	1.0	10.5	7.0	-	1.0	10.0
(3) Fairly well	27.8	40.2	18.0	42.1	29.0	-	6.0	31.4
(4) Very well	61.4	37.1	81.0	42.1	63.0	-	93.0	53.6
Mean	3.48	3.09	3.80	3.21	3.54	-	3.92	3.34
top-2-box	10.8	22.7	1.0	15.8	8.0	-	1.0	15.0
bottom-2-box	89.2	77.3	99.0	84.2	92.0	-	99.0	85.0

TRI - The Quality of Young Democracies: Values and Attitudes of Parliamentary E	lites
---	-------

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew			
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q107 Performance of current government: Basic necessities like shelter, food and water for everyone									
valid cases	85.4	100.0	99.0	96.0	100.0	-	100.0	97.3	
missing	14.6	-	1.0	4.0	-	100.0	-	2.7	
(1) Very badly	5.5	5.1	-	1.1	5.0	-	7.9	11.1	
(2) Fairly badly	23.6	36.4	12.0	32.6	28.0	_	11.9	22.2	
(3) Fairly well	49.9	47.5	51.0	58.9	20.0 51.0	_	48.5	45.1	
(4) Very well	21.0	11.1	37.0	7.4	16.0	-	31.7	21.5	
Mean	2.86	2.65	3.25	2.73	2.78	-	3.04	2.77	
top-2-box	29.1	41.4	12.0	33.7	33.0	-	19.8	33.3	
			88.0	66.3	67.0		80.2	66.7	

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q108 Performance of current government: Jobs for everyone								
valid cases	98.1	100.0	97.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	95.9
missing	1.9	-	3.0	4.0	-	-	1.0	4.1
(1) Very badly	15.7	15.2	13.3	8.4	15.0	25.0	9.0	21.1
(2) Fairly badly	43.2	44.4	57.1	50.5	41.0	56.0	35.0	26.1
(3) Fairly well	33.2	33.3	27.6	37.9	31.0	17.0	38.0	43.7
(4) Very well	7.9	7.1	2.0	3.2	13.0	2.0	18.0	9.2
Mean	2.33	2.32	2.18	2.36	2.42	1.96	2.65	2.41
top-2-box	58.9	59.6	70.4	58.9	56.0	81.0	44.0	47.2
bottom-2-box	41.1	40.4	29.6	41.1	44.0	19.0	56.0	52.8
BACES								

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q109 Performance of current government: Equal opportunity in education								
valid cases	98.9	100.0	97.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0
missing	1.1	-	3.0	2.0	-	-	-	2.0
<i></i>								
(1) Very badly	13.0	24.2	14.3	7.2	7.0	7.0	9.9	18.6
(2) Fairly badly	33.8	41.4	41.8	34.0	30.0	42.0	32.7	20.7
(3) Fairly well	36.8	23.2	41.8	41.2	40.0	46.0	25.7	38.6
(4) Very well	16.5	11.1	2.0	17.5	23.0	5.0	31.7	22.1
Mean	2.57	2.21	2.32	2.69	2.79	2.49	2.79	2.64
top-2-box	46.8	65.7	56.1	41.2	37.0	49.0	42.6	39.3
bottom-2-box	53.2	34.3	43.9	58.8	63.0	51.0	57.4	60.7

TRI - The Quality of Young Democracies: Values and Attitudes of Parliame	ntary Elites
--	--------------

How well or badly would you say the current government is handling the following matters I am going to
read to you now? Very bady, fairly badly, fairly well or very well?

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew				
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q110 Performance of current government: Minority Rights										
valid cases	98.4	99.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	100.0	97.0	98.0		
missing	1.6	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	-	3.0	2.0		
(1) Very badly	6.5	6.1	1.0	13.5	8.1	9.0	1.0	6.9		
(2) Fairly badly	23.4	38.8	21.0	17.7	15.2	45.0	5.1	21.4		
(3) Fairly well	48.0	40.8	53.0	36.5	56.6	41.0	60.2	47.6		
(4) Very well	22.1	14.3	25.0	32.3	20.2	5.0	33.7	24.1		
Mean	2.86	2.63	3.02	2.88	2.89	2.42	3.27	2.89		
top-2-box	29.9	44.9	22.0	31.3	23.2	54.0	6.1	28.3		
bottom-2-box	70.1	55.1	78.0	68.8	76.8	46.0	93.9	71.7		
ACES										

Col %				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q111 Performance of current government: Political parties in parliament engage in political compromises								
valid cases	94.3	68.7	97.0	97.0	99.0	100.0	97.0	98.6
missing	5.7	31.3	3.0	3.0	1.0	-	3.0	1.4
		7						
(1) Very badly	14.3	10.3	3.1	16.7	10.1	23.0	20.4	15.1
(2) Fairly badly	35.6	41.2	25.5	37.5	33.3	59.0	29.6	28.1
(3) Fairly well	39.1	45.6	53.1	30.2	44.4	16.0	30.6	50.7
(4) Very well	10.9	2.9	18.4	15.6	12.1	2.0	19.4	6.2
Mean	2.47	2.41	2.87	2.45	2.59	1.97	2.49	2.48
		51.5	28.6	54.2	43.4	82.0	50.0	43.2
top-2-box	49.9	51.5	20.0	04.2	1011			

I am going to read a statement that people sometimes say about a democratic political system. Could you please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree.

				Cou	ntry of interv	view			
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q112 Agree with statement: Although democracy has many shortcomings, it is still better than any other political system									
valid cases	99.2	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.0	
missing	0.8	-	2.0	-	-	-	1.0	2.0	
(1) Strongly agree	76.8	84.8	93.9	58.6	73.0	61.0	92.0	75.2	
(1) Strongly agree	76.8 22.4	15.2	93.9 6.1	38.4	73.0 27.0	39.0	92.0 8.0	75.2 22.8	
(2) Agree (3) Disagree	0.5	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	22.0 1.4	
(4) Strongly disagree	0.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.7	
Mean	1.24	1.15	1.06	1.45	1.27	1.39	1.08	1.28	
_									
top-2-box	99.2	100.0	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.9	
bottom-2-box	0.8	-	-	3.0	-	-	-	2.1	

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q113 Importance of living in a democratically governed country								
valid cases	98.3	100.0	99.0	89.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6
missing	1.7	-	1.0	10.1	-	-	-	1.4
(1) Not at all important	0.4	-	-	-	2.0	1.0	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	0.3	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	-
ļ	0.3	1.0	-	1.1	-	-	-	-
5	0.5	-	-	1.1	1.0	-	1.0	0.7
i	0.5	-	-	1.1	-	3.0	-	-
,	2.2	2.0	1.0	5.6	2.0	4.0	1.0	0.7
}	6.4	8.1	4.0	-	4.0	23.0	-	5.5
)	12.7	18.2	8.0	20.2	2.0	25.0	8.9	8.9
10) Absolutely mportant	76.7	70.7	87.0	68.5	89.0	44.0	89.1	84.2
Mean	9.56	9.54	9.81	9.30	9.61	8.96	9.83	9.75
op-5-box	1.5	1.0	-	4.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.7
bottom-5-box	98.5	99.0	100.0	95.5	97.0	99.0	99.0	99.3

How democratically is this country being governed today? Again using a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 means that it is 'not at all democratic' and 10 means that it is 'completely democratic', what position would you choose?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q114 Extent to which this country is democratically governed today								
valid cases	96.3	100.0	99.0	74.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6
missing	3.7	-	1.0	25.3	-	-	-	1.4
(1) Not at all democratic	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4
2	0.3	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	-
3	1.9	1.0	-	1.4	2.0	1.0	1.0	5.5
4	4.2	1.0	2.0	14.9	3.0	3.0	-	6.8
5	6.9	10.1	1.0	8.1	9.0	3.0	-	14.4
6	8.2	9.1	2.0	17.6	7.0	14.0	-	9.6
7	17.8	14.1	16.0	14.9	16.0	26.0	4.0	28.1
8	22.4	28.3	26.0	-	17.0	37.0	23.8	19.9
9	19.6	16.2	33.0	21.6	14.0	14.0	36.6	7.5
(10) Completely democratic	18.5	20.2	20.0	18.9	32.0	2.0	34.7	6.8
Mean	7.72	7.85	8.42	7.03	7.99	7.38	8.97	6.66
top-5-box	13.6	12.1	3.0	27.0	14.0	7.0	1.0	28.1
bottom-5-box	86.4	87.9	97.0	73.0	86.0	93.0	99.0	71.9

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q115 Satisfaction with development of democracy in this country										
valid cases	98.8	99.0	99.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.6		
missing	1.2	1.0	1.0	4.0	-	-	1.0	1.4		
(1) Very satisfied	18.0	20.4	26.0	4.2	29.0	3.0	13.0	26.0		
(2) Rather satisfied	61.4	65.3	62.0	55.8	47.0	79.0	65.0	57.5		
(3) Not very satisfied	18.3	13.3	12.0	32.6	22.0	16.0	20.0	14.4		
(4) Not at all satisfied	2.3	1.0	-	7.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1		
Mean	2.05	1.95	1.86	2.43	1.97	2.17	2.11	1.92		
top-2-box	79.4	85.7	88.0	60.0	76.0	82.0	78.0	83.6		
bottom-2-box	20.6	14.3	12.0	40.0	24.0	18.0	22.0	16.4		

On the whole are you very satisfied, rather satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy is developing in our country?

low important do y	ou think is t	ne constitut	ion for the sta	ability of dei	nocracy in t	his country	?		
		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q116 Importance of constitution for the stability of democracy in this country									
valid cases	97.6	100.0	99.0	84.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6	
missing	2.4	-	1.0	15.2	-	-	-	1.4	
(1) Not important at all	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	
2	0.4	-	-	1.2	-	1.0	1.0	-	
3	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	
4	0.3	-	-	2.4	-	-	-	-	
5	1.6	2.0	-	1.2	2.0	2.0	-	3.4	
6	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	2.0	-	2.7	
7	3.7	4.0	3.0	1.2	-	8.0	-	7.5	
8	10.8	15.2	6.0	-	8.0	22.0	7.9	13.7	
9 (10) Very important	16.0 65.3	17.2 60.6	15.0 75.0	20.2 72.6	4.0 85.0	37.0 28.0	5.9 84.2	14.4 56.8	
Mean	9.29	9.26	9.60	9.42	9.66	8.69	9.63	8.95	
top-5-box	2.7	2.0	-	4.8	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.8	
bottom-5-box	97.3	98.0	100.0	95.2	98.0	97.0	98.0	95.2	

Г

How much respect is there for individual human rights nowadays here in [country]? Do you feel there is __?

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 98.6 1.4 28.8 55.5 13.0 2.7
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q117 Extent of respect for individual human rights nowadays here in this country								
valid cases	99.6	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6
missing	0.4	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.4
(1) A great deal of respect for individual human rights	25.1	17.2	54.0	19.2	28.0	2.0	24.8	28.8
(2) Fairly much respect	57.0	57.6	39.0	51.5	47.0	80.0	69.3	55.5
(3) Not much respect	16.2	25.3	7.0	25.3	23.0	17.0	5.0	13.0
(4) No respect at all	1.6	-	-	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.7
Mean	1.94	2.08	1.53	2.14	1.99	2.17	1.82	1.90
top-2-box	82.1	74.7	93.0	70.7	75.0	82.0	94.1	84.2
bottom-2-box	17.9	25.3	7.0	29.3	25.0	18.0	5.9	15.8

How likely do you think it would be that the authorities could enforce the law if a person like yourself [interviewer insert text]: Very likely, likely, not very likely or not at all likely?

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 97.3 2.7 65.3 25.0 2.8 6.9 1.51
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q118 Likelihood: authorities could enforce the law if a person like yourself committed a serious crime								
valid cases	85.4	100.0	95.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	97.3
missing	14.6	-	5.0	-	-	100.0	-	2.7
(1) Very likely	75.9	78.8	88.5	78.8	58.0	-	91.1	65.3
(2) Likely	18.5	19.2	9.4	19.2	28.0	-	6.9	
(3) Not very likely	3.4	1.0	2.1	2.0	11.0	-	2.0	
(4) Not at all likely	2.2	1.0	-	-	3.0	-	-	6.9
Mean	1.32	1.24	1.14	1.23	1.59	-	1.11	1.51
top-2-box	94.4	98.0	97.9	98.0	86.0	-	98.0	90.3
bottom-2-box	5.6	2.0	2.1	2.0	14.0	-	2.0	9.7

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q119 Likelihood: authorities could enforce the law if a person like yourself did not pay tax on some of the income they								
valid cases	85.2	100.0	94.1	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	96.6
missing	14.8	-	5.9	-	-	100.0	-	3.4
(1) Very likely	74.7	71.7	83.2	77.8	70.0	-	88.1	62.9
2) Likely	19.0	24.2	14.7	19.2	25.0	-	8.9	21.0
(3) Not very likely	4.4	4.0	2.1	1.0	4.0	-	3.0	9.8
(4) Not at all likely	1.9	-	-	2.0	1.0	-	-	6.3
Vlean	1.33	1.32	1.19	1.27	1.36	-	1.15	1.59
op-2-box	93.7	96.0	97.9	97.0	95.0	-	97.0	83.9
bottom-2-box	6.3	4.0	2.1	3.0	5.0	-	3.0	16.1

How likely do you think it is that the courts will punish [interviewer: insert category] who take bribes. Very likely, likely, not very likey or not at all likely?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q120 Likelihood: court will punish elected public office holders who take bribes								
valid cases	98.3	100.0	92.1	100.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	98.0
missing	1.7	-	7.9	-	1.0	1.0	-	2.0
(1) Very likely	54.7	53.5	68.8	48.5	50.5	28.3	65.3	64.1
(2) Likely	35.9	37.4	22.6	43.4	25.3	60.6	33.7	30.3
(3) Not very likely	8.8	9.1	6.5	8.1	22.2	11.1	1.0	5.5
(4) Not at all likely	0.5	-	2.2	-	2.0	-	-	-
Mean	1.55	1.56	1.42	1.60	1.76	1.83	1.36	1.41
top-2-box	90.6	90.9	91.4	91.9	75.8	88.9	99.0	94.5
bottom-2-box	9.4	9.1	8.6	8.1	24.2	11.1	1.0	5.5
BACES								

How likely do you think it is that the courts will punish [interviewer: insert category] who take bribes. Very likely, likely, not very likey or not at all likely?

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q121 Likelihood: court will punish civil servants who take bribes								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	98.0
missing	0.8	-	2.0	-	-	1.0	-	2.0
(1) Very likely	52.3	42.4	68.7	40.4	50.0	33.3	60.4	64.8
(2) Likely	39.6	44.4	29.3	54.5	26.0	57.6	35.6	33.1
(3) Not very likely	7.4	13.1	2.0	5.1	20.0	9.1	4.0	1.4
(4) Not at all likely	0.7	-	-	-	4.0	-	-	0.7
Mean	1.56	1.71	1.33	1.65	1.78	1.76	1.44	1.38
top-2-box	91.9	86.9	98.0	94.9	76.0	90.9	96.0	97.9
bottom-2-box	8.1	13.1	2.0	5.1	24.0	9.1	4.0	2.1
ACES								

How likely do you think it is that the courts will punish [interviewer: insert category] who take bribes. Very likely, likely, not very likey or not at all likely?

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q122 Likelihood: court will punish managers of private businesses who take bribes								
valid cases	98.4	99.0	98.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	99.0	98.0
missing	1.6	1.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
(1) Very likely	34.8	27.6	30.3	21.4	50.5	12.1	34.0	57.2
(2) Likely	43.1	43.9	50.5	54.1	33.0	47.5	39.0	36.6
(3) Not very likely	19.8	23.5	17.2	23.5	15.5	35.4	27.0	4.1
(4) Not at all likely	2.3	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	5.1	-	2.1
Mean	1.90	2.06	1.91	2.04	1.67	2.33	1.93	1.51
top-2-box	77.9	71.4	80.8	75.5	83.5	59.6	73.0	93.8
bottom-2-box	22.1	28.6	19.2	24.5	16.5	40.4	27.0	6.2

TRI - The Q	uality of Y	oung Den	nocracies:	Values an	d Attitude	s of Parlia	mentary E	lites	
How widespread do	you think c	orruption is	in this count	ry?					
		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q123 Prevalence of corruption: amongst elected public office holders									
valid cases	97.3	100.0	96.0	96.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	92.6	
missing	2.7	-	4.0	4.0	1.0	-	-	7.4	
(1) Very few are corrupt	63.0	72.7	75.3	55.8	42.4	35.0	98.0	62.0	
(2) Less than half are corrupt	30.2	22.2	24.7	40.0	37.4	56.0	1.0	30.7	
(3) Most are engaged in it	5.9	4.0	-	4.2	17.2	8.0	1.0	6.6	
(4) Almost all of them are engaged in it	0.8	1.0	-	-	3.0	1.0	-	0.7	
Mean	1.45	1.33	1.25	1.48	1.81	1.75	1.03	1.46	
		I L							
top-2-box	93.3	94.9	100.0	95.8	79.8	91.0	99.0	92.7	
bottom-2-box	6.7	5.1	-	4.2	20.2	9.0	1.0	7.3	
ACES									

TRI - The Quality of Young Democracies: Values and Attitudes of Parliamentary Elites											
How widespread do	you think c	orruption is	in this count	r y?							
				Cou	Intry of interv	view					
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 93.2 6.8 56.5 37.0			
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q124 Prevalence of corruption: amongst civil servants											
valid cases	97.5	100.0	98.0	96.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	93.2			
missing	2.5	-	2.0	4.0	3.0	-	-	6.8			
(1) Very few are corrupt	56.0	63.6	73.7	38.9	25.8	34.0	97.0	56.5			
(2) Less than half are corrupt	36.4	31.3	26.3	54.7	47.4	56.0	3.0	37.0			
(3) Most are engaged in it	7.4	5.1	-	6.3	25.8	10.0	-	5.8			
(4) Almost all of them are engaged in it	0.3	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	0.7			
Mean	1.52	1.41	1.26	1.67	2.02	1.76	1.03	1.51			
top-2-box	92.3	94.9	100.0	93.7	73.2	90.0	100.0	93.5			
bottom-2-box	7.7	5.1	-	6.3	26.8	10.0	-	6.5			

How widespread do	you think c	orruption is	in this count	ry?				
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 92.6 7.4 61.3 28.5 8.8 8.8 1.5
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q125 Prevalence of corruption: amongst managers of private businesses								
valid cases	95.3	96.0	95.0	90.9	96.0	100.0	98.0	92.6
missing	4.7	4.0	5.0	9.1	4.0	-	2.0	7.4
(1) Very few are corrupt	50.4	56.8	47.9	42.2	31.3	21.0	86.9	61.3
(2) Less than half are corrupt	37.4	33.7	43.8	42.2	51.0	54.0	13.1	28.5
(3) Most are engaged in it	10.4	4.2	7.3	14.4	17.7	21.0	-	8.8
(4) Almost all of them are engaged in it	1.8	5.3	1.0	1.1	-	4.0	-	1.5
Mean	1.64	1.58	1.61	1.74	1.86	2.08	1.13	1.50
L								
top-2-box	87.8	90.5	91.7	84.4	82.3	75.0	100.0	89.8
bottom-2-box	12.2	9.5	8.3	15.6	17.7	25.0	-	10.2

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q126 Current political system: People have freedom of speech								
valid cases	99.5	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6
missing	0.5	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	1.4
 (1) Strongly agree (2) Agree (3) Neither agree nor disagree (4) Disagree (5) Strongly disagree 	43.8 43.5 7.7 4.6 0.4	49.5 44.4 3.0 3.0	75.8 24.2 - - -	35.4 48.5 9.1 6.1 1.0	48.0 48.0 2.0 2.0	20.0 47.0 24.0 7.0 2.0	75.2 24.8 - -	15.8 60.3 13.0 11.0
Mean	1.74	1.60	1.24	1.89	1.58	2.24	1.25	2.19
top-2-box	87.4	93.9	100.0	83.8	96.0	67.0	100.0	76.0
middle-box	7.7	3.0	-	9.1	2.0	24.0	-	13.0
bottom-2-box	5.0	3.0	-	7.1	2.0	9.0	-	11.0

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q127 Current political system: People have freedom of association								
valid cases	99.5	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.6
missing	0.5	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	1.4
(1) Strongly agree	47.8	33.3	73.7	57.6	50.0	10.0	72.3	41.1
(2) Agree	44.4	60.6	26.3	40.4	44.0	58.0	24.8	52.7
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	5.5	6.1	-	2.0	3.0	25.0	1.0	2.7
(4) Disagree	2.0	-	-	-	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.4
(5) Strongly disagree	0.3	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-
Mean	1.63	1.73	1.26	1.44	1.59	2.31	1.33	1.68
top-2-box	92.2	93.9	100.0	98.0	94.0	68.0	97.0	93.8
middle-box	5.5	6.1	-	2.0	3.0	25.0	1.0	2.7
bottom-2-box	2.3	-	-	-	3.0	7.0	2.0	3.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q128 Current political system: Ordinary people have an influence on government								
valid cases	99.1	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	0.9	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	2.7
 (1) Strongly agree (2) Agree (3) Neither agree nor disagree 	10.9 30.2 20.0	3.0 13.1 23.2	24.5 31.6 26.5	7.1 26.3 23.2	12.0 38.0 15.0	5.0 22.0 38.0	24.8 61.4 12.9	3.5 22.2 6.9
(4) Disagree	30.2	50.5	14.3	35.4	29.0	30.0	1.0	45.1
(5) Strongly disagree	8.6	10.1	3.1	8.1	6.0	5.0	-	22.2
Mean	2.95	3.52	2.40	3.11	2.79	3.08	1.90	3.60
top-2-box	41.2	16.2	56.1	33.3	50.0	27.0	86.1	25.7
middle-box	20.0	23.2	26.5	23.2	15.0	38.0	12.9	6.9
bottom-2-box	38.9	60.6	17.3	43.4	35.0	35.0	1.0	67.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q129 Current political system: Nobody needs to be afraid of arbitrary arrest								
valid cases	98.3	99.0	97.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	94.6
missing	1.7	1.0	3.0	-	1.0	-	-	5.4
(1) Strongly agree	23.7	14.3	55.1	21.2	27.3	9.0	29.7	13.6
(2) Agree	38.1	54.1	33.7	22.2	43.4	27.0	51.5	35.7
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	13.5	12.2	8.2	6.1	7.1	33.0	13.9	13.6
(4) Disagree	21.2	18.4	3.1	42.4	19.2	25.0	4.0	32.1
(5) Strongly disagree	3.5	1.0	-	8.1	3.0	6.0	1.0	5.0
Mean	2.43	2.38	1.59	2.94	2.27	2.92	1.95	2.79
		00.4	00.0	10.4	70.7		01.0	40.0
top-2-box	61.8	68.4	88.8	43.4	70.7	36.0	81.2	49.3
middle-box	13.5	12.2	8.2	6.1	7.1	33.0	13.9	13.6
bottom-2-box	24.8	19.4	3.1	50.5	22.2	31.0	5.0	37.1

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q130 Current political system: People have the freedom to choose who to vote for without being pressured								
valid cases	99.3	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.6
missing	0.7	-	2.0	-	-	-	1.0	1.4
(1) Strongly agree	45.1	24.2	72.7	42.4	37.0	37.0	74.0	33.6
(2) Agree	37.8	47.5	26.3	39.4	36.0	45.0	21.0	45.9
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	7.4	13.1	1.0	11.1	5.0	14.0	4.0	4.8
(4) Disagree	8.2	11.1	-	5.1	18.0	4.0	1.0	15.1
(5) Strongly disagree	1.5	4.0	-	2.0	4.0	-	-	0.7
Mean	1.83	2.23	1.28	1.85	2.16	1.85	1.32	2.03
top-2-box	82.9	71.7	99.0	81.8	73.0	82.0	95.0	79.5
middle-box	7.4	13.1	1.0	11.1	5.0	14.0	4.0	4.8
bottom-2-box	9.7	15.2	-	7.1	22.0	4.0	1.0	15.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q131 Current political system: People are safe from crime								
valid cases	98.9	99.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0	98.0
missing	1.1	1.0	2.0	-	-	-	2.0	2.0
(1) Strongly agree	6.1	-	18.2	2.0	-	2.0	1.0	15.2
(2) Agree	26.9	26.5	64.6	11.1	17.0	21.0	3.0	39.3
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	17.4	16.3	15.2	16.2	14.0	35.0	10.1	15.9
(4) Disagree	33.2	39.8	2.0	52.5	32.0	34.0	45.5	29.0
(5) Strongly disagree	16.4	17.3	-	18.2	37.0	8.0	40.4	0.7
Mean	3.27	3.48	2.01	3.74	3.89	3.25	4.21	2.61
_								
top-2-box	33.0	26.5	82.8	13.1	17.0	23.0	4.0	54.5
middle-box	17.4	16.3	15.2	16.2	14.0	35.0	10.1	15.9
bottom-2-box	49.6	57.1	2.0	70.7	69.0	42.0	85.9	29.7

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q132 Current political system: Competition between political parties never leads to violent conflict								
valid cases	98.9	100.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	97.3
missing	1.1	-	2.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	2.7
(1) Strongly agree (2) Agree	18.2 35.4	7.1 47.5	72.7 26.3	2.0 23.5	6.0 31.0	2.0 31.0	28.0 38.0	12.5 45.8
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	18.5	21.2	-	13.3	19.0	39.0	14.0	21.5
(4) Disagree	23.9	21.2	1.0	52.0	38.0	24.0	14.0	19.4
(5) Strongly disagree	3.9	3.0	-	9.2	6.0	4.0	6.0	0.7
Mean	2.60	2.66	1.29	3.43	3.07	2.97	2.32	2.50
top-2-box	53.6	54.5	99.0	25.5	37.0	33.0	66.0	58.3
middle-box	18.5	21.2	-	13.3	19.0	39.0	14.0	21.5
bottom-2-box	27.8	24.2	1.0	61.2	44.0	28.0	20.0	20.1

Col %		Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
٨	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q133 Frequency of contact: with rade unions									
alid cases	97.6	97.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	96.0	100.0	97.3	
nissing	2.4	3.0	5.9	-	1.0	4.0	-	2.7	
1) At least once a veek	10.3	20.8	18.9	13.1	5.1	1.0	12.9	3.5	
2) At least once a nonth	27.9	27.1	42.1	32.3	21.2	35.4	30.7	13.9	
3) At least every hree months	23.2	20.8	27.4	32.3	13.1	25.0	21.8	22.2	
4) At least once a rear	20.5	13.5	9.5	14.1	25.3	31.3	17.8	28.5	
5) More seldom	9.3	11.5	2.1	7.1	8.1	7.3	12.9	13.9	
6) No contact	8.8	6.3	-	1.0	27.3	-	4.0	18.1	

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q134 Frequency of contact: with farmers' organizations								
valid cases	96.7	99.0	93.1	100.0	99.0	88.0	100.0	97.3
missing	3.3	1.0	6.9	-	1.0	12.0	-	2.7
(1) At least once a week	6.6	7.1	3.2	9.1	-	2.3	4.0	16.0
(2) At least once a month	22.0	28.6	21.3	20.2	7.1	26.1	13.9	32.6
(3) At least every three months	21.7	16.3	23.4	26.3	13.1	28.4	23.8	21.5
(4) At least once a year	25.7	10.2	28.7	25.3	32.3	28.4	37.6	20.1
(5) More seldom	13.0	23.5	13.8	9.1	14.1	14.8	17.8	2.8
(6) No contact	10.9	14.3	9.6	10.1	33.3	-	3.0	6.9
Mean	3.49	3.57	3.57	3.35	4.54	3.27	3.60	2.82

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q135 Frequency of contact: with employers' organizations								
valid cases	96.8	100.0	93.1	100.0	99.0	89.0	100.0	96.6
missing	3.2	-	6.9	-	1.0	11.0	-	3.4
(1) At least once a week	3.7	12.1	4.3	6.1	-	2.2	1.0	1.4
(2) At least once a month	17.7	43.4	20.2	23.2	5.1	18.0	8.9	9.1
(3) At least every three months	25.8	26.3	43.6	42.4	15.2	24.7	18.8	15.4
(4) At least once a year	27.3	11.1	23.4	23.2	34.3	43.8	35.6	23.1
(5) More seldom	10.2	4.0	4.3	4.0	12.1	11.2	24.8	10.5
(6) No contact	15.2	3.0	4.3	1.0	33.3	-	10.9	40.6
Mean	3.68	2.61	3.16	2.99	4.54	3.44	4.07	4.54

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?					
			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q136 Frequency of contact: with business/branch organizations										
valid cases	97.6	100.0	93.1	100.0	99.0	95.0	100.0	96.6		
missing	2.4	-	6.9	-	1.0	5.0	-	3.4		
(1) At least once a week	5.2	2.0	4.3	6.1	1.0	4.2	6.9	9.8		
(2) At least once a month	20.4	15.2	22.3	18.2	4.0	21.1	24.8	32.2		
(3) At least every three months	31.5	31.3	36.2	34.3	13.1	34.7	38.6	32.2		
(4) At least once a year	25.3	24.2	27.7	30.3	23.2	35.8	23.8	16.8		
(5) More seldom	7.4	14.1	4.3	7.1	13.1	4.2	4.0	5.6		
(6) No contact	10.1	13.1	5.3	4.0	45.5	-	2.0	3.5		
Mean	3.40	3.73	3.21	3.26	4.80	3.15	2.99	2.87		

How often do you have contact with the named lobbies or associations?									
Col %		Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q137 Frequency of contact: with environmental organizations									
valid cases	97.5	99.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	95.0	99.0	96.6	
missing	2.5	1.0	5.9	-	1.0	5.0	1.0	3.4	
(1) At least once a week	4.5	5.1	5.3	5.1	2.0	1.1	7.0	5.6	
(2) At least once a month	21.3	24.5	36.8	14.1	10.1	23.2	24.0	18.2	
(3) At least every three months	27.0	22.4	36.8	28.3	25.3	26.3	29.0	23.1	
(4) At least once a year	27.8	25.5	18.9	36.4	27.3	35.8	24.0	27.3	
(5) More seldom	11.1	16.3	2.1	8.1	16.2	13.7	16.0	7.0	
(6) No contact	8.2	6.1	-	8.1	19.2	-	-	18.9	
Mean	3.44	3.42	2.76	3.53	4.03	3.38	3.18	3.69	

How often do you have contact with the named lobbies or associations?									
Col %		Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q138 Frequency of contact: with organizations for disabled people									
valid cases	98.0	99.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	96.6	
missing	2.0	1.0	5.9	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.4	
(1) At least once a week	5.5	6.1	5.3	5.1	7.1	3.0	3.0	7.7	
(2) At least once a month	25.1	26.5	29.5	29.3	18.2	28.3	22.0	23.1	
(3) At least every three months	33.0	39.8	37.9	35.4	33.3	31.3	23.0	31.5	
(4) At least once a year	25.6	23.5	23.2	20.2	22.2	33.3	37.0	21.7	
(5) More seldom	6.0	4.1	4.2	5.1	5.1	4.0	12.0	7.0	
(6) No contact	4.8	-	-	5.1	14.1	-	3.0	9.1	
Mean	3.16	2.93	2.92	3.06	3.42	3.07	3.42	3.24	

How often do you have contact with the named lobbies or associations?									
Col %		Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q139 Frequency of contact: with pensioners' organizations									
valid cases	98.0	100.0	93.1	100.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	96.6	
missing	2.0	-	6.9	-	2.0	1.0	-	3.4	
(1) At least once a week	6.5	13.1	6.4	4.0	11.2	3.0	3.0	5.6	
(2) At least once a month	23.3	41.4	27.7	20.2	11.2	25.3	21.8	18.2	
(3) At least every three months	29.3	32.3	27.7	34.3	25.5	37.4	23.8	25.9	
(4) At least once a year	24.1	9.1	26.6	23.2	24.5	26.3	35.6	23.8	
(5) More seldom	9.1	4.0	11.7	11.1	9.2	8.1	12.9	7.7	
(6) No contact	7.5	-	-	7.1	18.4	-	3.0	18.9	
Mean	3.29	2.49	3.10	3.38	3.64	3.11	3.43	3.66	
How often do you h	nave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?				
--	----------------------	--------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------	----------------	--------	---	
	Country of interview								
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 96.6 3.4 6.3 14.7 21.0	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q140 Frequency of contact: with religious organizations									
valid cases	98.0	100.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	96.6	
missing	2.0	-	5.9	-	1.0	3.0	-	3.4	
(1) At least once a week	9.7	10.1	15.8	5.1	19.2	7.2	5.9	6.3	
(2) At least once a month	24.0	37.4	37.9	23.2	25.3	26.8	7.9	14.7	
(3) At least every three months	25.2	31.3	26.3	29.3	21.2	28.9	20.8	21.0	
(4) At least once a year	20.6	10.1	13.7	26.3	18.2	28.9	19.8	25.2	
(5) More seldom	13.0	11.1	6.3	8.1	7.1	8.2	36.6	12.6	
(6) No contact	7.5	-	-	8.1	9.1	-	8.9	20.3	
Mean	3.26	2.75	2.57	3.33	2.96	3.04	4.00	3.84	

How often do you h	nave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q141 Frequency of contact: with women's organizations								
valid cases	97.3	100.0	94.1	100.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	93.9
missing	2.7	-	5.9	-	1.0	3.0	1.0	6.1
(1) At least once a week	6.0	14.1	4.2	2.0	13.1	3.1	5.0	2.2
(2) At least once a month	24.2	40.4	28.4	9.1	28.3	26.8	32.0	10.1
(3) At least every three months	26.1	25.3	28.4	19.2	28.3	32.0	29.0	22.3
(4) At least once a year	21.8	14.1	27.4	27.3	12.1	29.9	19.0	23.0
(5) More seldom	9.8	6.1	11.6	19.2	7.1	8.2	12.0	5.8
(6) No contact	12.1	-	-	23.2	11.1	-	3.0	36.7
Mean	3.41	2.58	3.14	4.22	3.05	3.13	3.10	4.30

How often do you h	avo contect	with the per	nod lobbics a	r acconictio	nc?		-		
		ave contact with the named lobbies or associations? Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q142 Frequency of contact: with refugee/ immigrants' organizations									
valid cases	95.3	100.0	93.1	100.0	99.0	80.0	99.0	95.9	
missing	4.7	-	6.9	-	1.0	20.0	1.0	4.1	
 (1) At least once a week (2) At least once a 	2.5	1.0	4.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	6.0	2.8	
(2) At least once a month	11.2	6.1	22.3	1.0	6.1	8.8	23.0	11.3	
(3) At least every three months	17.8	9.1	31.9	9.1	9.1	26.3	34.0	10.6	
(4) At least once a year	22.2	12.1	27.7	20.2	27.3	32.5	26.0	14.8	
(5) More seldom	20.5	40.4	11.7	32.3	12.1	31.3	10.0	11.3	
(6) No contact	25.8	31.3	2.1	36.4	44.4	-	1.0	49.3	
Mean	4.24	4.79	3.27	4.91	4.77	3.84	3.14	4.68	

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?						
			Country of interview								
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey			
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q143 Frequency of contact: with organizations dealing with international issues, including national branches of internat											
valid cases	71.4	100.0	94.1	-	97.0	-	99.0	96.6			
missing	28.6	-	5.9	100.0	3.0	100.0	1.0	3.4			
(1) At least once a week	5.2	5.1	12.6	-	1.0	-	10.0	-			
(2) At least once a month	15.7	8.1	34.7	-	10.3	-	22.0	7.7			
(3) At least every three months	18.9	10.1	30.5	-	21.6	-	25.0	11.2			
(4) At least once a year	23.0	23.2	18.9	-	26.8	-	26.0	21.0			
(5) More seldom	13.9	26.3	3.2	-	9.3	-	15.0	14.7			
(6) No contact	23.2	27.3	-	-	30.9	-	2.0	45.5			
Mean	3.94	4.39	2.65	-	4.26	-	3.20	4.79			

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?							
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 96.6 3.4 1.4 4.2 3.5 21.7				
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148				
Q144 Frequency of contact: with animal rights organizations												
valid cases	84.2	96.0	94.1	100.0	98.0	-	99.0	96.6				
missing	15.8	4.0	5.9	-	2.0	100.0	1.0	3.4				
(1) At least once a week	0.6	1.1	-	1.0	-	-	-	1.4				
(2) At least once a month	5.2	7.4	8.4	3.0	6.1	-	3.0	4.2				
(3) At least every three months	10.8	7.4	25.3	8.1	11.2	-	13.0	3.5				
(4) At least once a year	27.3	27.4	42.1	19.2	30.6	-	26.0	21.7				
(5) More seldom	25.9	30.5	18.9	38.4	13.3	-	41.0	16.8				
(6) No contact	30.2	26.3	5.3	30.3	38.8	-	17.0	52.4				
Mean	4.63	4.58	3.87	4.82	4.67	-	4.56	5.06				

How often do you h	ave contact	with the nar	ned lobbies o	r associatio	ns?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q145 Frequency of contact: with human rights organizations								
valid cases	97.6	100.0	93.1	100.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	95.9
missing	2.4	-	6.9	-	1.0	3.0	1.0	4.1
(1) At least once a week	6.3	8.1	10.6	3.0	7.1	2.1	4.0	8.5
(2) At least once a month	20.3	19.2	35.1	2.0	27.3	24.7	24.0	13.4
(3) At least every three months	27.1	26.3	25.5	22.2	25.3	34.0	26.0	29.6
(4) At least once a year	26.4	20.2	24.5	31.3	23.2	24.7	36.0	25.4
(5) More seldom	12.9	16.2	4.3	28.3	11.1	14.4	10.0	7.7
(6) No contact	7.0	10.1	-	13.1	6.1	-	-	15.5
Mean	3.40	3.47	2.77	4.19	3.22	3.25	3.24	3.57

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q146 Quality of communication: between the governing and opposition parties								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0	98.0
missing	0.8	-	1.0	-	-	-	2.0	2.0
(1) Very badly	11.7	19.2	1.0	29.3	13.0	5.0	13.1	4.8
(2) Fairly badly	43.5	51.5	26.0	45.5	20.0	72.0	42.4	46.2
(3) Fairly well	41.8	26.3	67.0	24.2	59.0	23.0	41.4	48.3
(4) Very well	3.0	3.0	6.0	1.0	8.0	-	3.0	0.7
Mean	2.36	2.13	2.78	1.97	2.62	2.18	2.34	2.45
top-2-box	55.3	70.7	27.0	74.7	33.0	77.0	55.6	51.0
bottom-2-box	44.7	29.3	73.0	25.3	67.0	23.0	44.4	49.0
BACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q147 Quality of communication: between the governing party/ parties and mass media								
valid cases	97.5	100.0	97.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	92.1	96.6
missing	2.5	-	3.0	2.0	1.0	-	7.9	3.4
(1) Very badly	3.8	6.1	-	12.4	2.0	7.0	1.1	-
(2) Fairly badly	30.0	32.3	14.3	52.6	20.2	60.0	15.1	19.6
(3) Fairly well	54.3	50.5	63.3	29.9	61.6	33.0	75.3	63.6
(4) Very well	11.8	11.1	22.4	5.2	16.2	-	8.6	16.8
Mean	2.74	2.67	3.08	2.28	2.92	2.26	2.91	2.97
top-2-box	33.9	38.4	14.3	64.9	22.2	67.0	16.1	19.6
bottom-2-box	66.1	61.6	85.7	35.1	77.8	33.0	83.9	80.4

				Country of interview				
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q148 Quality of communication: between the opposition parties and mass media								
valid cases	96.8	100.0	94.1	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	89.2
missing	3.2	-	5.9	1.0	1.0	-	-	10.8
(1) Very badly	3.0	2.0	1.1	5.1	4.0	1.0	1.0	6.1
(2) Fairly badly	25.0	26.3	21.1	20.4	13.1	32.0	21.8	36.4
(3) Fairly well	56.2	40.4	57.9	54.1	55.6	63.0	70.3	53.0
(4) Very well	15.7	31.3	20.0	20.4	27.3	4.0	6.9	4.5
Mean	2.85	3.01	2.97	2.90	3.06	2.70	2.83	2.56
top-2-box	28.0	28.3	22.1	25.5	17.2	33.0	22.8	42.4
bottom-2-box	72.0	71.7	77.9	74.5	82.8	67.0	77.2	57.6

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q149 Quality of communication: between the governing party/ parties and NGOs										
valid cases	94.8	96.0	97.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	81.2	93.9		
missing	5.2	4.0	3.0	4.0	-	-	18.8	6.1		
(1) Very badly	3.7	1.1	-	7.4	2.0	1.0	7.3	6.5		
(2) Fairly badly	29.5	17.9	22.4	40.0	26.0	40.0	34.1	27.3		
(3) Fairly well	56.6	69.5	66.3	49.5	50.0	54.0	50.0	56.1		
(4) Very well	10.3	11.6	11.2	3.2	22.0	5.0	8.5	10.1		
Mean	2.73	2.92	2.89	2.48	2.92	2.63	2.60	2.70		
top-2-box	33.1	18.9	22.4	47.4	28.0	41.0	41.5	33.8		
bottom-2-box	66.9	81.1	77.6	52.6	72.0	59.0	58.5	66.2		
BACES										

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 85.8 14.2 0.8 13.4 77.2 8.7 2.94
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q150 Quality of communication: between the opposition parties and NGOs								
valid cases	93.0	93.9	91.1	92.9	94.0	100.0	97.0	85.8
missing	7.0	6.1	8.9	7.1	6.0	-	3.0	14.2
(1) Very badly	2.9	12.9	-	-	5.3	2.0	-	0.8
(2) Fairly badly	23.6	53.8	4.3	8.7	22.3	61.0	3.1	13.4
(3) Fairly well	64.2	31.2	73.9	80.4	59.6	37.0	86.7	77.2
(4) Very well	9.3	2.2	21.7	10.9	12.8	-	10.2	8.7
Mean	2.80	2.23	3.17	3.02	2.80	2.35	3.07	2.94
	26.4	66.7	4.3	8.7	27.7	63.0	3.1	14.2
top-2-box				91.3			96.9	85.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q151 Quality of communication: between Congress/ Parliament and state administration (ministerial bureaucracy)								
valid cases	97.3	98.0	98.0	96.0	97.0	100.0	99.0	94.6
missing	2.7	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	-	1.0	5.4
				2.4				
(1) Very badly	2.2	2.1	1.0	2.1	3.1	6.0	1.0	0.7
(2) Fairly badly	26.0	37.1	24.2	26.3	13.4	43.0	9.0	27.9
(3) Fairly well	61.1	57.7	58.6	66.3	55.7	50.0	68.0	68.6
(4) Very well	10.7	3.1	16.2	5.3	27.8	1.0	22.0	2.9
Mean	2.80	2.62	2.90	2.75	3.08	2.46	3.11	2.74
	00.0	00.0	05.0	00.4	10.5	40.0	10.0	00.0
ten O have	28.2	39.2	25.3	28.4	16.5	49.0	10.0	28.6
top-2-box bottom-2-box	71.8	60.8	74.7	71.6	83.5	51.0	90.0	71.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q152 Quality of communication: between State administration (ministerial bureaucracy) and mass media								
valid cases	91.8	97.0	87.1	97.0	96.0	100.0	87.1	83.1
missing	8.2	3.0	12.9	3.0	4.0	-	12.9	16.9
(1) Very badly	3.5	-	2.3	2.1	7.3	12.0	-	0.8
(2) Fairly badly	40.6	39.6	51.1	44.8	20.8	64.0	28.4	35.8
(3) Fairly well	51.8	54.2	44.3	51.0	58.3	23.0	69.3	61.8
(4) Very well	4.1	6.3	2.3	2.1	13.5	1.0	2.3	1.6
Mean	2.56	2.67	2.47	2.53	2.78	2.13	2.74	2.64
top-2-box	44.1	39.6	53.4	46.9	28.1	76.0	28.4	36.6
bottom-2-box	55.9	60.4	46.6	53.1	71.9	24.0	71.6	63.4
BACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q153 Quality of communication: between State administration (ministerial bureaucracy) and NGOs								
valid cases	89.7	94.9	87.1	91.9	97.0	100.0	72.3	86.5
missing	10.3	5.1	12.9	8.1	3.0	-	27.7	13.5
(1) Very badly	4.3	-	1.1	5.5	5.2	6.0	6.8	5.5
(2) Fairly badly	38.3	33.0	40.9	34.1	30.9	44.0	39.7	43.8
(3) Fairly well	52.9	60.6	50.0	54.9	52.6	50.0	53.4	50.0
(4) Very well	4.5	6.4	8.0	5.5	11.3	-	-	0.8
Mean	2.58	2.73	2.65	2.60	2.70	2.44	2.47	2.46
top-2-box	42.6	33.0	42.0	39.6	36.1	50.0	46.6	49.2
bottom-2-box	57.4	67.0	58.0	60.4	63.9	50.0	53.4	50.8
ACES								

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q154 Evaluation of amount of foreign aid allocation in comparison with national income										
valid cases	51.3	-	98.0	86.9	-	99.0	99.0	-		
missing	48.7	100.0	2.0	13.1	100.0	1.0	1.0	100.0		
(1) Too low	72.7]	78.8	70.9	-	69.7	71.0	-		
(2) About right	25.5	-	20.2	25.6	-	27.3	29.0	-		
(3) Too high	1.8	-	1.0	3.5	-	3.0	-	-		
Mean	1.29		1.22	1.33	_	1.33	1.29	-		

Thinking of your own country's problems, should your country's leaders give top priority to help reducing poverty in the world or should they give top priority to solve your own country's problems? Use this scale where 1 means 'top priority to help reducing poverty in the world' and 10 means 'top priority to solve my own country's problems'.

				Cou	Intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q155 Top priority to help reducing poverty in the world vs. top priority to solve own country's problems								
valid cases	94.8	100.0	95.0	73.7	100.0	100.0	97.0	96.6
missing	5.2	-	5.0	26.3	-	-	3.0	3.4
(1) Top priority to reducing poverty in the world	1.8 2.7	3.0 1.0	6.3	1.4	1.0		2.0 10.2	4.2 0.7
3	5.5	2.0	11.5	5.5	3.0	-	17.3	1.4
4	3.1	1.0	4.2	1.4	1.0	-	14.3	0.7
5	13.7	2.0	34.4	9.6	11.0	5.0	25.5	9.8
6 7	12.6	9.1 9.1	15.6	15.1	8.0	26.0	16.3	2.8
8	14.8 15.5	18.2	17.7 6.3	19.2 -	9.0 20.0	29.0 20.0	6.1 7.1	14.7 27.3
o 9	9.2	19.2	0.3 3.1	- 21.9	20.0	20.0	1.0	27.3 9.1
(10) Top priority to solve my own country's problems	21.2	35.4	1.0	24.7	44.0	10.0	-	29.4
Mean	7.02	8.15	5.42	7.42	8.07	7.34	4.66	7.75
top-5-box	26.8	9.1	56.3	19.2	16.0	5.0	69.4	16.8
bottom-5-box	73.2	90.9	43.8	80.8	84.0	95.0	30.6	83.2

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q156 Frequency: think about the meaning and purpose of life								
valid cases	99.1	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.3
missing	0.9	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	2.7
(1) Often	55.6	67.7	44.9	45.5	74.0	44.0	35.6	70.8
(2) Sometimes	33.9	27.3	37.8	39.4	19.0	53.0	35.6	27.8
3) Rarely	9.9	5.1	17.3	13.1	5.0	3.0	27.7	1.4
4) Never	0.7	-	-	2.0	2.0	-	1.0	-
Vlean	1.56	1.37	1.72	1.72	1.35	1.59	1.94	1.31
top-2-box	89.5	94.9	82.7	84.8	93.0	97.0	71.3	98.6
bottom-2-box	10.5	5.1	17.3	15.2	7.0	3.0	28.7	1.4

We would now like to ask you a few questions on your conception of evil in this world. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree.

			Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q157 Conception of evil in this world: Evil is everywhere										
valid cases	80.3	99.0	92.1	100.0	98.0	-	99.0	76.4		
missing	19.7	1.0	7.9	-	2.0	100.0	1.0	23.6		
_										
(1) Strongly agree	15.6	16.3	5.4	11.1	22.4	-	20.0	17.7		
(2) Agree	33.8	51.0	17.2	29.3	30.6	-	25.0	46.9		
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	16.3	18.4	12.9	24.2	17.3	-	14.0	11.5		
(4) Disagree	22.6	11.2	24.7	30.3	19.4	-	32.0	18.6		
(5) Strongly disagree	11.6	3.1	39.8	5.1	10.2	-	9.0	5.3		
Mean	2.81	2.34	3.76	2.89	2.64	-	2.85	2.47		
L										
top-2-box	49.4	67.3	22.6	40.4	53.1	-	45.0	64.6		
middle-box	16.3	18.4	12.9	24.2	17.3	-	14.0	11.5		
bottom-2-box	34.3	14.3	64.5	35.4	29.6	-	41.0	23.9		

We would now like to ask you a few questions on your conception of evil in this world. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree.

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey 148 74.3 25.7 2.7 7.3 2.7 26.4 60.9
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q158 Conception of evil in this world: Evil can take possession of some people								
valid cases	79.9	97.0	91.1	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	74.3
missing	20.1	3.0	8.9	-	-	100.0	-	25.7
 (1) Strongly agree (2) Agree (3) Neither agree nor disagree (4) Disagree (5) Strongly disagree 	11.7 40.5 14.5 13.9 19.4	11.5 41.7 33.3 9.4 4.2	9.8 31.5 9.8 17.4 31.5	18.2 66.7 10.1 5.1	18.0 55.0 9.0 12.0 6.0	- - - -	10.9 43.6 23.8 11.9 9.9	7.3 2.7 26.4
Mean [2.89	2.53	3.29	2.02	2.33	-	2.66	4.35
top-2-box	52.2	53.1	41.3	84.8	73.0	-	54.5	10.0
middle-box	14.5	33.3	9.8	10.1	9.0	-	23.8	2.7
bottom-2-box	33.3	13.5	48.9	5.1	18.0	-	21.8	87.3

We would now like to ask you a few questions on your conception of evil in this world. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree.

			Country of interview								
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey			
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q159 The community must get rid of people possessed by evil even if it means that they have to be killed											
valid cases	65.4	96.0	94.1	100.0	100.0	-	99.0	-			
missing	34.6	4.0	5.9	-	-	100.0	1.0	100.0			
		1									
(1) Strongly agree	1.2 5.7	-	-	1.0	3.0	-	2.0	-			
(2) Agree	5.7	9.5	2.1	10.1	6.0	-	1.0	-			
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	5.9	7.4	4.2	12.1	4.0	-	2.0	-			
(4) Disagree	27.8	24.2	13.7	53.5	29.0	-	18.0	-			
(5) Strongly disagree	59.3	58.9	80.0	23.2	58.0	-	77.0	-			
Mean	4.38	4.33	4.72	3.88	4.33	-	4.67	-			
L											
top-2-box	7.0	9.5	2.1	11.1	9.0	-	3.0	-			
middle-box	5.9	7.4	4.2	12.1	4.0	-	2.0	-			
bottom-2-box	87.1	83.2	93.7	76.8	87.0	_	95.0	-			

Do you belong to a religion or religious denomination? If yes, which one? (Code answer due to list below. Code 0, if respondent answers to have no denomination!)

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q160 Religion or religious denomination								
valid cases	98.4	99.0	98.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	94.6
missing	1.6	1.0	2.0	-	1.0	-	-	5.4
Do not belong to a denomination	18.5	23.5	33.3	8.1	19.2	22.0	30.7	-
Roman catholic	28.0	66.3	33.3	70.7	13.1	24.0	1.0	-
Protestant	29.2	4.1	33.3	18.2	60.6	35.0	64.4	-
Orthodox (Russian/ Greek/etc.)	0.3	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	-
Jewish	0.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	1.0	-
Muslim	19.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	99.3
Hindu	0.3	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-
Buddhist	2.6	-	-	-	-	19.0	-	-
Other	1.9	6.1	-	1.0	3.0	-	3.0	0.7

Apart from weddings	s and funera	als, about h	ow often do y	ou attend re	ligious serv	ices these o	lays?	
		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q161 Frequency: attend religious services these days								
valid cases	96.8	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	87.2
missing	3.2	1.0	3.0	-	-	-	1.0	12.8
(1) More than once a week	15.1	18.4	-	17.2	7.0	4.0	-	48.8
(2) Once a week	25.7	17.3	18.4	49.5	30.0	36.0	4.0	24.8
(3) Once a month	18.8	26.5	20.4	23.2	26.0	32.0	6.0	2.3
(4) Only on special holy days	10.1	9.2	28.6	2.0	11.0	16.0	6.0	0.8
(5) Once a year	8.8	4.1	9.2	1.0	6.0	3.0	34.0	5.4
(6) Less often	4.6	2.0	9.2	1.0	7.0	2.0	12.0	-
(7) Never, practically never	17.0	22.4	14.3	6.1	13.0	7.0	38.0	17.8
Mean	3.54	3.59	4.13	2.47	3.52	3.12	5.58	2.60

TRI - The (Quality of V	Young Der	nocracies:	Values an	d Attitude	s of Parlia	mentary E	lites
Independently of w	hether you a	attend religio	ous services o	or not, would	d you say yo	ou are?		
				Cou	intry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q162 Self- evaluation as religious person								
valid cases	95.9	98.0	95.0	94.9	96.0	100.0	97.0	91.9
missing	4.1	2.0	5.0	5.1	4.0	-	3.0	8.1
A religious person	68.6	56.7	59.4	93.6	87.5	60.0	39.8	80.1
Not a religious person	22.7	40.2	30.2	-	10.4	26.0	35.7	17.6
An atheist	8.6	3.1	10.4	6.4	2.1	14.0	24.5	2.2
ACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q163 Opinion on churches: are giving adequate answers to the moral problems and needs of the individual								
valid cases	94.7	97.0	97.0	100.0	96.0	100.0	81.2	92.6
missing	5.3	3.0	3.0	-	4.0	-	18.8	7.4
Yes	51.3	47.9	76.5	69.7	29.2	76.0	20.7	38.0
	48.7	52.1	23.5	30.3	70.8	24.0	79.3	62.0

Generally speaking, do you think that the [churches] in your country are giving adequate answers to (read out and code one answer for each):

			Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q164 Opinion on churches: are giving adequate answers to the problems of family life									
valid cases	94.8	97.0	98.0	100.0	97.0	100.0	86.1	88.5	
missing	5.2	3.0	2.0	-	3.0	-	13.9	11.5	

			Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q165 Opinion on churches: are giving adequate answers to people's spiritual needs									
valid cases	93.6	98.0	95.0	100.0	98.0	99.0	77.2	89.9	
missing	6.4	2.0	5.0	-	2.0	1.0	22.8	10.1	
Yes	70.7	78.4	81.3	83.8	74.5	74.7	48.7	54.9	
No	29.3	21.6	18.8	16.2	25.5	25.3	51.3	45.1	

	one answer for each): Country of interview									
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew				
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q166 Opinion on churches: are giving adequate answers to the social problems facing our society										
valid cases	94.9	99.0	96.0	100.0	96.0	100.0	86.1	89.9		
missing	5.1	1.0	4.0	-	4.0	-	13.9	10.1		
Yes	41.4	49.0	78.4	53.5	34.4	30.0	12.6	32.3		
162	41.4 58.6	49.0	78.4 21.6	53.5	34.4 65.6	30.0 70.0	12.6 87.4	32.3 67.7		

How important is God in your life? Please use this scale to indicate. 10 means 'very important' and 1 means 'not at all important'.

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q167 Importance of God in life								
valid cases	97.3	98.0	98.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	98.0	92.6
missing	2.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	-	2.0	7.4
(1) Not at all important	11.0	4.1	17.2	2.0	6.1	2.0	44.4	3.6
2	4.0	1.0	7.1	4.1	-	4.0	12.1	0.7
3	3.8	3.1	9.1	1.0	-	9.0	4.0	1.5
4	2.9	3.1	5.1	1.0	-	6.0	5.1	0.7
5	5.1	6.2	6.1	3.1	3.1	10.0	4.0	3.6
6	6.5	3.1	10.1	1.0	6.1	22.0	3.0	1.5
7	7.3	6.2	8.1	7.1	4.1	18.0	6.1	2.9
8	9.6	10.3	13.1	11.2	4.1	15.0	8.1	6.6
9	8.7	14.4	7.1	15.3	7.1	7.0	3.0	7.3
(10) Very important	41.2	48.5	17.2	54.1	69.4	7.0	10.1	71.5
Mean	7.24	8.18	5.67	8.57	8.78	6.22	3.75	8.93
		1						
top-5-box	26.8	17.5	44.4	11.2	9.2	31.0	69.7	10.2
bottom-5-box	73.2	82.5	55.6	88.8	90.8	69.0	30.3	89.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q168 Agree with statement: Politicians who do not believe in God are unfit for public office.								
valid cases	83.2	100.0	98.0	100.0	97.0	-	99.0	86.5
missing	16.8	-	2.0	-	3.0	100.0	1.0	13.5
(1) Strongly agree	3.7	-	-	2.0	8.2	-	-	10.2
(2) Agree	7.6	6.1	2.0	7.1	9.3	-	-	18.0
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	12.4	14.1	8.1	13.1	21.6	-	2.0	14.8
(4) Disagree	51.0	28.3	29.3	77.8	34.0	-	98.0	40.6
5) Strongly disagree	25.4	51.5	60.6	-	26.8	-	-	16.4
Mean	3.87	4.25	4.48	3.67	3.62	-	3.98	3.35
L								
top-2-box	11.3	6.1	2.0	9.1	17.5	-	-	28.1
niddle-box	12.4	14.1	8.1	13.1	21.6	-	2.0	14.8
bottom-2-box	76.4	79.8	89.9	77.8	60.8	-	98.0	57.0

	uanty of 1	oung Den	nocracies: `	values all		SOFFAINA		intes
How strongly do you	u agree or d	isagree with	each of the f	ollowing sta	tements?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q169 Agree with statement: Religious leaders should not influence how people vote in elections.								
valid cases	84.2	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	-	99.0	89.9
missing	15.8	-	2.0	-	-	100.0	1.0	10.1
(1) Strongly agree	39.5	28.3	36.4	37.4	20.0	-	69.0	44.4
(2) Agree	29.7	26.3	29.3	30.3	33.0	-	12.0	42.9
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	11.4	17.2	14.1	13.1	13.0	-	7.0	6.0
(4) Disagree	16.3	25.3	13.1	19.2	25.0	-	12.0	6.8
(5) Strongly disagree	3.0	3.0	7.1	-	9.0	-	-	-
Mean	2.14	2.48	2.25	2.14	2.70	-	1.62	1.75
top-2-box	69.2	54.5	65.7	67.7	53.0	-	81.0	87.2
middle-box	11.4	17.2	14.1	13.1	13.0	-	7.0	6.0
bottom-2-box	19.4	28.3	20.2	19.2	34.0	-	12.0	6.8

How strongly do you	agree or d	isagree with	each of the f	ollowing sta	atements?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q170 Agree with statement: It would be better for the country if more people with strong religious beliefs held public o								
valid cases	84.2	100.0	95.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	91.2
missing	15.8	-	5.0	-	-	100.0	-	8.8
(1) Strongly agree	6.7	6.1	9.4	8.1	10.0	-	1.0	5.9
(2) Agree	23.8	21.2	16.7	36.4	28.0	-	3.0	34.1
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	24.0	34.3	22.9	22.2	28.0	-	18.8	19.3
(4) Disagree	32.7	20.2	27.1	33.3	24.0	-	77.2	18.5
(5) Strongly disagree	12.9	18.2	24.0	-	10.0	-	-	22.2
Mean	3.21	3.23	3.40	2.81	2.96	-	3.72	3.17
top-2-box	30.5	27.3	26.0	44.4	38.0	_	4.0	40.0
middle-box	24.0	34.3	20.0	22.2	28.0	-	4.0	40.0 19.3
bottom-2-box	45.6	38.4	51.0	33.3	34.0	_	77.2	40.7

How strongly do you	l agree or d	isagree with	each of the f	ollowing sta	atements?			
				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q171 Agree with statement: Religious leaders should not influence government decisions.								
valid cases	84.4	99.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	-	99.0	91.2
missing	15.6	1.0	2.0	-	-	100.0	1.0	8.8
(1) Strongly agree	34.5	28.6	29.3	32.3	10.0	-	62.0	42.2
(2) Agree	29.3	20.4	29.3	36.4	28.0	-	13.0	43.7
(3) Neither agree nor disagree	17.7	20.4	20.2	17.2	28.0	-	12.0	11.1
(4) Disagree	15.2	28.6	14.1	14.1	24.0	-	13.0	2.2
(5) Strongly disagree	3.2	2.0	7.1	-	10.0	-	-	0.7
Mean	2.23	2.55	2.40	2.13	2.96	-	1.76	1.76
top-2-box	63.9	49.0	58.6	68.7	38.0	-	75.0	85.9
middle-box	17.7	20.4	20.2	17.2	28.0	-	12.0	11.1
bottom-2-box	18.4	30.6	21.2	14.1	34.0	-	13.0	3.0

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q172 Justification of statement: claiming government benefits to which you are not entitled								
valid cases	99.2	99.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0
missing	0.8	1.0	2.0	-	-	-	-	2.0
(1) Never justifiable	62.3	39.8	64.6	44.4	89.0	18.0	65.3	97.9
2	13.3	13.3	13.1	29.3	5.0	17.0	20.8	0.7
3	8.4	5.1	15.2	8.1	1.0	24.0	7.9	0.7
4	4.4	4.1	3.0	6.1	2.0	17.0	1.0	-
5	5.8	11.2	1.0	6.1	1.0	18.0	5.0	0.7
6	1.8	3.1	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	-	-
7	1.5	6.1	2.0	3.0	-	-	-	-
8	0.9	5.1	-	-	-	2.0	-	-
9	0.3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
(10) Always ustifiable	1.3	10.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	2.05	3.77	1.74	2.21	1.27	3.22	1.59	1.05
	L							
top-5-box	94.2	73.5	97.0	93.9	98.0	94.0	100.0	100.0
bottom-5-box	5.8	26.5	3.0	6.1	2.0	6.0	-	-

Total 748 99.1 0.9 47.9	Chile 99 99.0 1.0 35.7	Germany 101 98.0 2.0	Poland 99 100.0 -	South Africa 100 100.0 -	South Korea 100 100.0 -	Sweden 101 100.0 -	Turkey 148 97.3 2.7
99.1 0.9 47.9	99.0 1.0	98.0		100.0		100.0	97.3
0.9	1.0		100.0 -		100.0		
0.9	1.0		100.0 -		100.0 -		
47.9		2.0	-	-	-	-	2.7
	35.7						
		28.3	36.4	79.0	28.0	40.6	75.0
17.8	18.4	23.2	30.3	9.0	22.0	21.8	5.6
13.8	11.2	22.2	14.1	6.0	22.0	18.8	5.6
5.9	8.2	8.1	6.1	2.0	11.0	6.9	1.4
8.4	8.2	10.1	8.1	2.0	14.0	7.9	8.3
1.5	3.1	3.0	-	-	1.0	2.0	1.4
1.8	6.1	4.0	2.0	-	-	-	0.7
1.6	6.1	1.0	2.0	-	2.0	-	0.7
0.4	1.0	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	-
0.9	2.0	-	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	1.4
2.38	3.19	2.79	2.44	1.52	2.74	2.39	1.83
							95.8 4.2
	8.4 1.5 1.8 1.6 0.4 0.9	8.4 8.2 1.5 3.1 1.8 6.1 1.6 6.1 0.4 1.0 0.9 2.0 2.38 3.19 93.8 81.6	8.4 8.2 10.1 1.5 3.1 3.0 1.8 6.1 4.0 1.6 6.1 1.0 0.4 1.0 - 0.9 2.0 - 2.38 3.19 2.79 93.8 81.6 91.9	8.4 8.2 10.1 8.1 1.5 3.1 3.0 - 1.8 6.1 4.0 2.0 1.6 6.1 1.0 2.0 0.4 1.0 - - 0.9 2.0 - 1.0 2.38 3.19 2.79 2.44 93.8 81.6 91.9 94.9	8.4 8.2 10.1 8.1 2.0 1.5 3.1 3.0 1.8 6.1 4.0 2.0 - 1.6 6.1 1.0 2.0 - 0.4 1.0 1.0 0.9 2.0 - 1.0 1.0 2.38 3.19 2.79 2.44 1.52 93.8 81.6 91.9 94.9 98.0	8.4 8.2 10.1 8.1 2.0 14.0 1.5 3.1 3.0 1.0 1.8 6.1 4.0 2.0 1.6 6.1 1.0 2.0 - 2.0 0.4 1.0 1.0 - 0.9 2.0 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.38 3.19 2.79 2.44 1.52 2.74 93.8 81.6 91.9 94.9 98.0 97.0	8.4 8.2 10.1 8.1 2.0 14.0 7.9 1.5 3.1 3.0 1.0 2.0 1.8 6.1 4.0 2.0 1.6 6.1 1.0 2.0 - 2.0 - 0.4 1.0 1.0 - 1.0 0.9 2.0 - 1.0 1.0 - 1.0 2.38 3.19 2.79 2.44 1.52 2.74 2.39 93.8 81.6 91.9 94.9 98.0 97.0 96.0

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q174 Justification of cheating on taxes if you have a chance								
valid cases	99.3	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0
missing	0.7	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	2.0
(1) Never justifiable	81.6	89.9	63.6	69.7	94.0	75.0	72.3	98.6
2	12.1	9.1	25.3	20.2	1.0	15.0	17.8	1.4
3	4.0	1.0	9.1	5.1	2.0	6.0	6.9	-
4	0.9	-	2.0	2.0	1.0	-	2.0	-
5	0.4	-	-	2.0	-	1.0	-	-
6	0.5	-	-	-	1.0	2.0	1.0	-
7	0.3	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	-
3	0.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(10) Always ustifiable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean [1.30	1.11	1.49	1.51	1.20	1.47	1.43	1.01
top-5-box bottom-5-box	99.1 0.9	100.0	100.0	99.0 1.0	98.0 2.0	97.0 3.0	99.0 1.0	100.0 -

				Cou	ntry of interv	view					
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey			
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q175 Justification of someone accepting a bribe in the course of their duties											
valid cases	99.3	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0			
missing	0.7	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	2.0			
(1) Never justifiable	91.7	98.0	92.9	89.9	98.0	81.0	78.2	100.0			
2	4.8	2.0	6.1	8.1	1.0	8.0	10.9	-			
3	2.2	-	1.0	1.0	-	6.0	7.9	-			
1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-			
5	0.5	-	-	1.0	-	2.0	1.0	-			
6	0.3	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-			
7	0.1	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-			
3	0.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
(10) Always ustifiable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Mean	1.15	1.02	1.08	1.14	1.08	1.44	1.37	1.00			
top-5-box	99.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.0	97.0	100.0	100.0			
bottom-5-box	0.5	-	-	-	1.0	3.0	-	-			
				Cou	Country of interview						
---	-------	-------	---------	--------	----------------------	----------------	--------	-------	--	--	--
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turke			
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q176 Justification of homosexuality											
valid cases	95.7	93.9	93.1	98.0	94.0	99.0	97.0	95.3			
missing	4.3	6.1	6.9	2.0	6.0	1.0	3.0	4.7			
(1) Never justifiable	24.9	8.6	-	18.6	28.7	11.1	-	80.9			
2	3.5	2.2	1.1	11.3	3.2	7.1	-	0.7			
3	5.6	11.8	1.1	8.2	1.1	12.1	-	5.0			
4	3.9	5.4	-	3.1	4.3	14.1	-	1.4			
5	12.7	12.9	7.4	14.4	23.4	24.2	1.0	7.8			
6	4.5	6.5	1.1	6.2	2.1	15.2	-	1.4			
7	3.8	8.6	2.1	10.3	5.3	-	1.0	0.7			
8	5.4	10.8	5.3	7.2	2.1	10.1	3.1	1.4			
9	3.1	6.5	3.2	5.2	5.3	2.0	1.0	-			
(10) Always justifiable	32.7	26.9	78.7	15.5	24.5	4.0	93.9	0.7			
Mean	5.79	6.46	9.22	5.19	5.32	4.70	9.85	1.74			
top-5-box	50.6	40.9	9.6	55.7	60.6	68.7	1.0	95.7			
bottom-5-box	49.4	59.1	90.4	44.3	39.4	31.3	99.0	4.3			

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q177 Justification of prostitution								
valid cases	96.9	96.0	94.1	100.0	98.0	100.0	96.0	95.3
missing	3.1	4.0	5.9	-	2.0	-	4.0	4.7
(1) Never justifiable	37.2	9.5	1.1	22.2	45.9	41.0	40.2	80.1
2	10.2	5.3	9.5	21.2	4.1	16.0	17.5	1.4
3	10.1	14.7	9.5	10.1	3.1	17.0	13.4	5.0
4	6.6	11.6	5.3	7.1	7.1	9.0	5.2	2.8
5	14.8	18.9	26.3	13.1	21.4	10.0	9.3	7.8
6	6.2	7.4	9.5	7.1	5.1	3.0	10.3	2.8
7	5.4	15.8	8.4	6.1	7.1	3.0	-	-
8	4.8	7.4	15.8	7.1	1.0	1.0	4.1	-
9	2.1	2.1	7.4	5.1	1.0	-	-	-
(10) Always justifiable	2.6	7.4	7.4	1.0	4.1	-	-	-
Mean	3.46	5.12	5.83	3.86	3.38	2.57	2.77	1.65
top-5-box	78.9	60.0	51.6	73.7	81.6	93.0	85.6	97.2
bottom-5-box	21.1	40.0	48.4	26.3	18.4	7.0	14.4	2.8

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turke
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q178 Justification of abortion								
valid cases	98.1	98.0	97.0	100.0	98.0	99.0	99.0	96.6
missing	1.9	2.0	3.0	-	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.4
(1) Never justifiable	25.2	51.5	5.1	32.3	26.5	21.2	1.0	35.0
2	7.8	5.2	7.1	22.2	6.1	7.1	-	7.0
3	7.6	5.2	11.2	11.1	10.2	12.1	-	4.9
4	5.0	4.1	6.1	4.0	2.0	12.1	3.0	4.2
5	12.5	9.3	9.2	8.1	24.5	19.2	3.0	14.0
6	8.7	4.1	12.2	5.1	8.2	17.2	1.0	11.9
7	6.4	6.2	9.2	8.1	6.1	5.1	6.0	4.9
8	9.8	9.3	16.3	4.0	8.2	6.1	18.0	7.7
9	6.0	1.0	10.2	4.0	4.1	-	23.0	1.4
(10) Always justifiable	10.9	4.1	13.3	1.0	4.1	-	45.0	9.1
Mean	4.85	3.33	6.16	3.32	4.35	4.03	8.77	4.21
top-5-box	58.2	75.3	38.8	77.8	69.4	71.7	7.0	65.0
bottom-5-box	41.8	24.7	61.2	22.2	30.6	28.3	93.0	35.0

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q179 Justification of divorce								
valid cases	98.3	99.0	95.0	100.0	98.0	100.0	99.0	97.3
missing	1.7	1.0	5.0	-	2.0	-	1.0	2.7
(1) Never justifiable	6.8	4.1	-	9.1	15.3	3.0	-	13.2
2	3.7	6.1	1.0	10.1	3.1	3.0	-	2.8
3	6.7	7.1	1.0	12.1	5.1	13.0	-	7.6
4	4.8	6.1	1.0	9.1	4.1	8.0	1.0	4.2
5	20.8	14.3	8.3	23.2	35.7	30.0	-	29.9
6	8.0	5.1	6.3	7.1	7.1	21.0	2.0	7.6
7	7.3	7.1	6.3	10.1	6.1	6.0	3.0	11.1
8	12.0	9.2	18.8	11.1	9.2	12.0	15.0	9.7
9	7.1	6.1	10.4	6.1	3.1	3.0	22.0	1.4
(10) Always justifiable	22.9	34.7	46.9	2.0	11.2	1.0	57.0	12.5
Mean	6.45	6.90	8.45	4.95	5.31	5.31	9.25	5.47
top-5-box	42.7	37.8	11.5	63.6	63.3	57.0	1.0	57.6
bottom-5-box	57.3	62.2	88.5	36.4	36.7	43.0	99.0	42.4

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q180 Justification of euthanasia - ending the life of the incurable sick								
valid cases	95.6	99.0	95.0	100.0	98.0	98.0	95.0	87.8
missing	4.4	1.0	5.0	-	2.0	2.0	5.0	12.2
(1) Never justifiable	34.5	49.0	30.2	55.6	23.5	9.2	9.4	56.9
2	7.0	5.1	9.4	12.1	4.1	7.1	8.3	3.8
3	7.0	9.2	5.2	5.1	4.1	14.3	10.4	2.3
4	5.3	3.1	6.3	5.1	5.1	8.2	10.4	0.8
5	14.0	6.1	11.5	9.1	25.5	22.4	16.7	8.5
6	7.7	4.1	8.3	3.0	6.1	23.5	8.3	2.3
7	7.6	8.2	7.3	5.1	12.2	5.1	13.5	3.1
8	9.0	10.2	14.6	3.0	4.1	8.2	13.5	9.2
9	2.5	-	3.1	2.0	6.1	1.0	5.2	0.8
(10) Always justifiable	5.5	5.1	4.2	-	9.2	1.0	4.2	12.3
Mean	4.09	3.44	4.34	2.57	4.94	4.72	5.24	3.56
			-					
top-5-box	67.8	72.4	62.5	86.9	62.2	61.2	55.2	72.3
bottom-5-box	32.2	27.6	37.5	13.1	37.8	38.8	44.8	27.7

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turke
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q181 Justification of suicide								
valid cases	94.7	97.0	84.2	99.0	97.0	99.0	87.1	98.0
missing	5.3	3.0	15.8	1.0	3.0	1.0	12.9	2.0
(1) Never justifiable	52.3	58.3	20.0	36.7	71.1	44.4	11.4	95.2
2	10.9	14.6	12.9	17.3	5.2	14.1	14.8	2.1
3	6.2	4.2	7.1	10.2	2.1	12.1	11.4	-
4	4.4	1.0	3.5	7.1	4.1	9.1	6.8	0.7
5	9.7	6.3	9.4	16.3	11.3	9.1	19.3	1.4
6	3.1	3.1	7.1	1.0	3.1	5.1	4.5	-
7	3.8	5.2	10.6	4.1	1.0	2.0	6.8	-
8	4.2	4.2	8.2	2.0	1.0	4.0	12.5	0.7
9	2.0	-	5.9	3.1	1.0	-	5.7	-
(10) Always justifiable	3.4	3.1	15.3	2.0	-	-	6.8	-
Mean	2.90	2.55	5.16	3.11	2.04	2.68	4.93	1.14
	,							
top-5-box	83.5	84.4	52.9	87.8	93.8	88.9	63.6	99.3
bottom-5-box	16.5	15.6	47.1	12.2	6.2	11.1	36.4	0.7

		Country of interview						
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q182 Justification of a man to beat his wife								
valid cases	99.2	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.0
missing	0.8	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	2.0
(1) Never justifiable	88.4	90.9	78.6	87.9	96.0	78.0	91.1	93.8
2	7.7	3.0	16.3	11.1	1.0	14.0	6.9	3.4
3	1.8	2.0	3.1	1.0	1.0	3.0	-	2.1
4	0.3	1.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	-
5	0.7	2.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	-	0.7
6	0.5	1.0	-	-	1.0	2.0	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(10) Always ustifiable	0.7	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	2.0	-
Mean	1.23	1.23	1.36	1.13	1.17	1.46	1.25	1.10
top-5-box	98.8	99.0	99.0	100.0	98.0	97.0	98.0	100.0
bottom-5-box	1.2	1.0	1.0	-	2.0	3.0	2.0	-

				Cou	ntry of interv	view		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q183 Justification of death penalty								
valid cases	84.4	99.0	96.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	91.9
missing	15.6	1.0	4.0	-	-	100.0	-	8.1
(1) Never justifiable	67.5	61.2	92.8	34.3	61.0	-	89.1	66.9
2	7.0	10.2	-	19.2	3.0	-	5.9	4.4
3	5.7	6.1	3.1	13.1	4.0	-	1.0	6.6
4	1.9	4.1	-	3.0	2.0	-	-	2.2
5	6.0	4.1	2.1	10.1	8.0	-	2.0	8.8
6	1.1	3.1	-	2.0	1.0	-	-	0.7
7	2.1	2.0	-	5.1	5.0	-	1.0	-
8	5.1	7.1	1.0	9.1	9.0	-	-	4.4
9	0.8	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	1.5
(10) Always justifiable	2.9	2.0	-	3.0	6.0	-	1.0	4.4
Mean	2.34	2.47	1.30	3.34	3.09	-	1.31	2.46
top-5-box	88.1	85.7	97.9	79.8	78.0	-	98.0	89.0
bottom-5-box	11.9	14.3	2.1	20.2	22.0	-	2.0	11.0

TRI - The C	Quality of N	oung Den	nocracies:	Values and	d Attitude	s of Parlia	mentary E	lites
How proud are you	to be [count	try]?						
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q184 Pride to be citizen of this country								
valid cases	97.6	100.0	89.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.0	97.3
missing	2.4	-	10.9	-	-	-	3.0	2.7
(1) Very proud	67.1	72.7	18.9	82.8	87.0	66.0	44.9	84.7
(2) Quite proud	26.2	23.2	45.6	15.2	11.0	34.0	48.0	13.9
(3) Not very proud	4.1	2.0	16.7	2.0	2.0	-	7.1	1.4
(4) Not at all proud	2.6	2.0	18.9	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	1.42	1.33	2.36	1.19	1.15	1.34	1.62	1.17
top-2-box	93.3	96.0	64.4	98.0	98.0	100.0	92.9	98.6
bottom-2-box	6.7	4.0	35.6	2.0	2.0	-	7.1	1.4
ACES								

				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	al Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q184a Ethnic diversity erodes a country's unity vs. enriches life								
valid cases	59.1	100.0	98.0	-	100.0	-	-	97.3
missing	40.9	-	2.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	2.7
 Ethnic diversity erodes a country's unity 	2.3	2.0	-	-	5.0	-	-	2.1
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1.4	1.0	3.0	-	2.0	-	-	-
4	1.1	1.0	2.0	-	1.0	-	-	0.7
5	5.2	4.0	4.0	-	5.0	-	-	6.9
6	3.2	3.0	5.1	-	1.0	-	-	3.5
7	9.5	7.1	15.2	-	7.0	-	-	9.0
3	17.9	15.2	26.3	-	11.0	-	-	18.8
9	7.9	11.1	12.1	-	2.0	-	-	6.9
(10) Ethnic diversity enriches life	51.6	55.6	32.3	-	66.0	-	-	52.1
Mean	8.52	8.74	8.16	-	8.61	-	-	8.57
top-5-box	10.0	8.1	9.1	-	13.0	-	-	9.7
bottom-5-box	90.0	91.9	90.9	-	87.0	-	-	90.3

What is your gene	der?								
		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q186 Gender									
valid cases	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
missing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Male	80.6	84.8	69.3	80.8	79.0	90.0	55.4	97.3	
Female	19.4	15.2	30.7	19.2	21.0	10.0	44.6	2.7	

Col %		Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Age group									
valid cases	79.4	98.0	100.0	98.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	-	
missing	20.6	2.0	-	2.0	2.0	-	-	100.0	
18 - 34 years	8.2	8.2	10.9	12.4	3.1	-	14.9	-	
35 - 44 years	20.7	24.7	19.8	17.5	21.4	16.0	24.8	-	
45 - 54 years	35.5	32.0	34.7	39.2	35.7	45.0	26.7	-	
55 - 64 years	28.8	27.8	33.7	28.9	22.4	30.0	29.7	-	
more than 65 years	6.7	7.2	1.0	2.1	17.3	9.0	4.0	-	
Mean	50.29	50.12	48.98	48.51	52.59	53.23	48.35	-	

		Country of interview							
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Year of birth grouped									
valid cases	99.2	98.0	100.0	98.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
missing	0.8	2.0	-	2.0	2.0	-	-	-	
-1929	0.3	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	
1930-1939	3.6	6.2	1.0	-	5.1	4.0	3.0	5.4	
1940-1949	21.8	18.6	24.8	19.6	22.4	23.0	21.8	22.3	
1950-1954	20.2	17.5	15.8	20.6	15.3	24.0	16.8	27.7	
1955-1959	19.7	17.5	14.9	19.6	24.5	23.0	15.8	21.6	
1960+	34.4	40.2	43.6	40.2	30.6	26.0	42.6	23.0	

What is the highest level of formal education you have completed? Please select only one option by circling the number of your choice.

Col %			Country of interview							
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q189 Highest level of formal education										
valid cases	97.5	100.0	100.0	99.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	89.2		
missing	2.5	-	-	1.0	2.0	-	-	10.8		
Primary	1.8	-	3.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.3		
Secondary	10.2	3.0	5.9	14.3	15.3	3.0	21.8	8.3		
Tertiary	88.1	97.0	91.1	85.7	83.7	96.0	77.2	86.4		

What is your marital	status?										
		Country of interview									
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey			
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148			
Q191 Marital status											
valid cases	84.6	99.0	100.0	98.0	99.0	-	92.1	98.0			
missing	15.4	1.0	-	2.0	1.0	100.0	7.9	2.0			
Married	79.9	76.5	69.3	86.6	72.7	-	66.7	98.6			
Divorced/separated	8.5	7.1	5.9	4.1	10.1	-	28.0	0.7			
Widow/widower	1.9	1.0	2.0	3.1	3.0	-	2.2	0.7			
Living with a partner	3.9	9.2	5.9	2.1	6.1	-	2.2	-			
Never been married	5.7	6.1	16.8	4.1	8.1	-	1.1	-			

IF MARRIED OR LIVING WITH A PARTNER: What is your spouse's or partner's highest formal qualification?

Col %				Cou	Country of interview				
	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey	
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148	
Q192 Spouse's or partner's highest formal education									
valid cases	67.8	87.9	76.2	89.9	77.0	-	87.1	60.1	
missing	32.2	12.1	23.8	10.1	23.0	100.0	12.9	39.9	
Primary	10.3	-	22.1	1.1	1.3	-	8.0	29.2	
Secondary	18.9	9.2	5.2	28.1	26.0	-	25.0	19.1	
Tertiary	70.8	90.8	72.7	70.8	72.7	-	67.0	51.7	

How many children do you have?										
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey		
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148		
Q193 Number of children										
valid cases	86.0	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	98.0		
missing	14.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	100.0	-	2.0		
	. <u> </u>									
0	13.7	6.1	32.0	21.2	6.0	-	19.8	2.1		
1	12.3	8.2	16.0	14.1	10.0	-	14.9	11.0		
2	30.6	26.5	23.0	35.4	25.0	-	34.7	36.6		
3	22.4	23.5	16.0	20.2	27.0	-	20.8	25.5		
4	12.1	23.5	9.0	7.1	17.0	-	4.0	12.4		
5	5.4	7.1	2.0	2.0	8.0	-	5.0	7.6		
6	2.3	4.1	2.0	-	5.0	-	1.0	2.1		
7	0.6	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	2.1		
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
9	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7		
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12	0.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-		
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
20	0.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-		

TRI - The C	Quality of N	oung Der	nocracies:	Values an	d Attitude	s of Parlia	mentary E	lites
What is your father	's highest le	vel of forma	I education?					
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
Ν	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q194 Father's highest level of formal education								
valid cases	75.5	98.0	94.1	98.0	75.0	-	98.0	68.9
missing	24.5	2.0	5.9	2.0	25.0	100.0	2.0	31.1
D :	07.5		40.0	00.4	00.7		01.0	
Primary	37.5	10.3	40.0	38.1	22.7	-	31.3	77.5
Secondary	30.4	29.9	25.3	47.4	32.0	-	37.4	11.8
Tertiary	32.0	59.8	34.7	14.4	45.3	-	31.3	10.8
BACES								

And your mother's?)							
				Cou	ntry of interv	/iew		
Col %	Total	Chile	Germany	Poland	South Africa	South Korea	Sweden	Turkey
N	748	99	101	99	100	100	101	148
Q195 Mother's highest level of formal education								
valid cases	73.8	97.0	94.1	98.0	85.0	-	99.0	53.4
missing	26.2	3.0	5.9	2.0	15.0	100.0	1.0	46.6
Primary	41.1	10.4	52.6	47.4	24.7	-	34.0	83.5
Secondary	35.9	54.2	35.8	36.1	44.7	-	35.0	5.1
Tertiary	23.0	35.4	11.6	16.5	30.6	-	31.0	11.4